













# **Probing the Inner Proton Structure**

Protons have a complex structure from quarks, gluons and virtual pions

1.6 GeV electron accelerator Mainz Mikrotron

- Electron scattering (A1 Collaboration)
- Real photon scattering (A2 Collaboration)





Probing the Inner Proton Structure

1.6 GeV electron accelerator Mainz Mikrotron

- Electron scattering (A1 Collaboration) ٠
- Real photon scattering (A2 Collaboration) •



Real photons are ideal probes

- massless, uncharged ٠
- interact electromagnetically ٠

Protons have a complex structure from quarks, gluons and virtual pions

robing the Inner Proton Structure

1.6 GeV electron accelerator Mainz Mikrotron

- Electron scattering (A1 Collaboration)
- Real photon scattering (A2 Collaboration)





### **Possible experiments**

Unpolarized

Maik Biroth, Institute of Nuclear Physics, Mainz, Germany

mbiroth@uni-mainz.de

Protons have a complex structure from quarks, gluons and virtual pions

**Probing the Inner Proton Structure** 

1.6 GeV electron accelerator Mainz Mikrotron

- Electron scattering (A1 Collaboration)
- Real photon scattering (A2 Collaboration)





### **Possible experiments**

- Unpolarized
- Polarized

Protons have a complex structure from quarks, gluons and virtual pions

robing the Inner Proton Structure

1.6 GeV electron accelerator Mainz Mikrotron

- Electron scattering (A1 Collaboration)
- Real photon scattering (A2 Collaboration)





### **Possible experiments**

- Unpolarized
- Polarized
- Double-polarized

### 

- Polarization P is the asymmetry of the occupied states  $N_s$
- Thermal equilibrium population follows Boltzmann statistics



**Solution:** Dynamic Nuclear Polarization uses the high electron polarization to generate a high hydrogen polarization

## **Concept of Dynamic Nuclear Polarization**

- Embedding of unpaired electron spins with density n
- Electron and hydrogen spins are super-hyperfinely coupled



3

1.  $\mu$ Wave irradiation  $\omega_m$  excites transitions that induce coupled spin-flips

$$\omega_{\rm m}^{\pm} = (\gamma_{\rm e} \mp \gamma_{\rm H}) B_0 = 2\pi \times \begin{cases} 70.0 \text{ GHz} & + \\ 70.2 \text{ GHz} & - \end{cases}$$

Gyromagnetic ratios of the electrons  $\gamma_{\rm e} = g_{\rm e}/2 e_0/m_{\rm e}$  and hydrogen  $\gamma_{\rm H} = \kappa_{\rm p} e_0/m_{\rm p}$ , magnetic field  $B_0 = 2.5$  T

## **Concept of Dynamic Nuclear Polarization**

- Embedding of unpaired electron spins with density n
- Electron and hydrogen spins are super-hyperfinely coupled



3

1.  $\mu$ Wave irradiation  $\omega_m$  excites transitions that induce coupled spin-flips

$$\omega_{\rm m}^{\pm} = (\gamma_{\rm e} \mp \gamma_{\rm H}) B_0 = 2\pi \times \begin{cases} 70.0 \text{ GHz} & + \\ 70.2 \text{ GHz} & - \end{cases}$$

2. Electron spins relax during irradiation (dashed arrows)

Gyromagnetic ratios of the electrons  $\gamma_{\rm e} = g_{\rm e}/2 e_0/m_{\rm e}$  and hydrogen  $\gamma_{\rm H} = \kappa_{\rm p} e_0/m_{\rm p}$ , magnetic field  $B_0 = 2.5$  T

## **Concept of Dynamic Nuclear Polarization**

- Embedding of unpaired electron spins with density n
- Electron and hydrogen spins are super-hyperfinely coupled



3



1.  $\mu$ Wave irradiation  $\omega_m$  excites transitions that induce coupled spin-flips

$$\omega_{\rm m}^{\pm} = (\gamma_{\rm e} \mp \gamma_{\rm H}) B_0 = 2\pi \times \begin{cases} 70.0 \text{ GHz} & + \\ 70.2 \text{ GHz} & - \end{cases}$$

2. Electron spins relax during irradiation (dashed arrows)

3. Polarization decays by phonon emission or absorption with the nuclear spin-lattice relaxation time  $\tau_1$  in the essential holding field  $B_{\rm HF}$ 

$$\tau_1 \propto \frac{1}{n} \frac{P_{\text{TE,e}}^{1/4}}{1 - P_{\text{TE,e}}^2} B_{\text{HF}}^{3/4}$$

Gyromagnetic ratios of the electrons  $\gamma_e = (g_e/2) e_0/m_e$  and hydrogen  $\gamma_H = \kappa_p e_0/m_p$ , magnetic field  $B_0 = 2.5 \text{ T}$ 

The Mainz Frozen Spin Target provides 2 different fields:

### High field $B_0$

- Superconducting solenoid,  $\delta B_0/B_0 \le 10^{-4}$
- Polarization build-up and measurement

### Holding field B<sub>HF</sub>

- Internal superconducting coil
- Saddle coil for transverse polarization







$$\vec{B}_{\rm HF} \coloneqq 437.5 \text{ mT} \times \vec{e}_x$$





Initial and final state photon energies  $E_{\gamma 0}$ ,  $E_{\gamma}$ , proton mass  $m_{\rm p}$ , square four-momentum transfer  $Q^2 = -t$ 





### Minimum requirements for operation

- He-tightness under thermal cycling
- Minimum heat input / exchange
- Immunity to magnetic fields  $\sim 1$  Tesla
- Tolerance to beam / µWave irradiation
- Acceptance of overall tiny dimensions



#### 1. Active target head

- Polarizable scintillator stack: Providing polarized hydrogen, emitting light for charged tracks
- Light concentrating element: Distributing scintillation light into the beam / light guide tube

#### 2. Sealed beam / light guide tube

- Beam guide: Transport of the photon beam in vacuum in the inner volume
- Light guide: Transport of the scintillation light between the surfaces inside the wall
- Inner / outer seal: Separation of mixing chamber and beam vacuum, multiple feedthroughs

#### 3. Compensating detector board

- Optical detectors: Converting light to electric signals and distribute them to the amplifiers
- Electronical compensation: Measuring the temperature for detector gain control
- Mechanical compensation: Equalization of thermal contraction of the tube

#### 4. Custom frontend electronics and software

### Spin-polarizable Plastic Scintillator

### Transparent base material with a high dilution factor

• Polystyrene  $C_8H_8$ , d = 7.7% (Butanol  $C_4H_{10}O$ , d = 13.5%)

### Standard scintillator components

- 1<sup>st</sup> scintillator: PPO / 2,5-Diphenyloxazole ( $\lambda_{em} = 360 \text{ nm}$ )
- 2<sup>nd</sup> scintillator: Dimethyl-POPOP ( $\lambda_{abs} = 360 \text{ nm}, \lambda_{em} = 410 \text{ nm}$ )

### **Unpaired electron spins**

- Doping with the paramagnetic free radical 4-Oxo-TEMPO
- Produced with 3 spin densities  $n \in [1.5, 2.2, 3.0] \times 10^{19} \text{ cm}^{-3}$





D. Von Maluski, R.R. Miskimen, et al. Polarizable Scintillator for Nuclear Targets. Technical report, Triangle Universities Nuclear Laboratory (TUNL), 2009

Dilution factor d = #hydrogen/#nucleon

Light Output of the Polarizable Scintillator

Component	Wavelength of max.			
Component	absorption	emission		
PPO/2,5-Diphenylloxazole	303 nm	358 nm		
Dimethyl-POPOP	360 nm	411 nm		



The radical deteriorates the quantum efficiency to 50% of a standard plastic scintillator



Light Output of the Polarizable Scintillator

Component		Wavelength of max.		PPO 358 nm POPOP
	Component	absorption	emission	
	PPO/2,5-Diphenylloxazole	303 nm	358 nm	Shall
	Dimethyl-POPOP	360 nm	411 nm	electron 4-oxo
		-		TEMPO

The radical deteriorates the quantum efficiency to 50% of a standard plastic scintillator or even lower, since wavelengths within the Stokes Shift does not excite the POPOP.



Component	Wavelengt	h of max.	PPO 358 nm POPOP	3C-4   494
Component	absorption	emission		
PPO/2,5-Diphenylloxazole	303 nm	358 nm	Shall	
Dimethyl-POPOP	360 nm	411 nm	electron 4-oxo	
BC-482A (Saint-Gobain)	420 nm	494 nm	ТЕМРО	
	•			

The WLS light concentrator consists of a hollow cylinder of wavelength-shifting material. The scintillation light is redistributed isotropically only if its wavelength matches the absorption spectrum.



Component	Wavelengt	h of max.	PPO 358 nm POPOP	BC-482 494 r
Component	absorption	emission		
PPO/2,5-Diphenylloxazole	303 nm	358 nm	Shall	
Dimethyl-POPOP	360 nm	411 nm	electron 4-oxo	
BC-482A (Saint-Gobain)	420 nm	494 nm	TEMPO	$\geq$

The WLS light concentrator consists of a hollow cylinder of wavelength-shifting material. The scintillation light is redistributed isotropically only if its wavelength matches the absorption spectrum.



# **Light Concentrator Based on Total Reflexion**



11

The benefit in light collection efficiency is +25%, already with standard plastic scintillator.

The increased outer diameter  $26mm \rightarrow 38mm$  was problematic for mounting and cooling.

The light collection by a polished surface does only weak depend on the wavelength.

A linear interpolation between a straight track and traveling under  $\varphi_{tot} = \sin^{-1} n^{-1}$  was selected as boundary condition for the incident angle  $\varphi$ .

$$\varphi = \varphi_{\text{tot}}(1-\kappa) + \frac{\pi}{2}\kappa = \sin^{-1}n^{-1} + \kappa\cos^{-1}n^{-1}$$

The surface parameterization was used for a computer-controlled manufacturing process.



See: M. Biroth, et al., Design of the Mainz Active Polarized Proton Target, Proc. Sci. (PSTP 2015) 005, Refractive index of PMMA n

# Active Target Operated in the Experiment

Photograph of the target part installed in the mixing chamber:



# Active Target Operated in the Experiment

Photograph of the target part installed in the mixing chamber:





Maik Biroth, Institute of Nuclear Physics, Mainz, Germany

Modification to the PMMA tube were necessary to enable dilution mode at 45 mK. Cooling-down took 5 days due to the low thermal conductivity of PMMA.



13

- Placing radiation shields in the beam path to block heat exchange *Q* ∝ ε T<sup>4</sup> (perfect mirror ε = 0, black body ε = 1).
- Setting thermal contacts by copper tape at the first radiation shield and by brass springs at the others.

Thermal radiation at temperature *T* follows the Stefan-Boltzmann law  $\dot{Q} = \varepsilon A \sigma T^4$  with emission factor  $\varepsilon$ , area *A*, constant  $\sigma = 56.7 \text{ nW} \text{ m}^{-2} \text{ K}^{-4}$ , thermal conductivity of PMMA 0.2 W m<sup>-1</sup> K<sup>-1</sup>, glass 1 W m<sup>-1</sup> K<sup>-1</sup>, SS 10 W m<sup>-1</sup> K<sup>-1</sup>, copper 400 W m<sup>-1</sup> K<sup>-1</sup>

Light Transport Inside the Beam / Light Guide Tube

- Monte Carlo simulation of single photons
- Ray tracing trough the 1.5m light guide tube

Good path

14



Matarial	Intens	sity I[L]	Attenuation $\lambda$ / m	
WIDLEITAI	MC Sim.	Measured	MC Sim.	Measured
Borosilicate	36(1) %		1.47(4)	
PMMA	37(1) %		1.50(4)	

Bad path



The intensity-weighted path lengths follow a Weibull distribution.



Refractive index of PMMA n = 1.49, refractive index of Borosilicate n = 1.47

Light Transport Inside the Beam / Light Guide Tube

- Monte Carlo simulation of single photons
- Ray tracing trough the 1.5m light guide tube

Good path

14



Bad path



Matarial	Intens	sity I[L]	Attenuation $\lambda$ / m		
Material	MC Sim.	Measured	MC Sim.	Measured	
Borosilicate	36(1) %	8.4(2) %	1.47(4)	0.607(3)	
PMMA	37(1) %	—	1.50(4)	_	

The measurement of Borosilicate shows a drop in intensity by a factor of four because of imperfections in

- surface quality
- transparency

Scattering at the relaxation pod, the copper tape and at scratches from mounting lead to a further significant degradation of the energy resolution.

Refractive index of PMMA n = 1.49, refractive index of Borosilicate n = 1.47

# Readout by the Compensating Detector Board

Mechanical compensation of the PMMA integral thermal contraction  $\sim 1\%$ .



SiPM amplifiers: M. Biroth, et al., NIM A 787 (2015) 185-188



#### 15 silicon photomultipliers

- Fully-differential signals
  - increasing signal-to-noise ratio  $\times \sqrt{2}$
  - suppression of common-mode noise
  - Individual floating shielding
    - avoids ground loops
- Tolerate long connections
  - reduction of the heat input

#### **3 temperature probes**

- Pt sensors with 4-wire readout
- Enable gain compensation

### Stabilization of the Detector Gain and Energy Calculation

### Constant pixel gain G control

- Radial interpolated temperature T<sub>i</sub>
- Individual operational voltage V<sub>i</sub>
  - Pixel capacitance  $C_{\rm eff}$
  - Breakdown voltage V<sub>BD</sub>

$$G = C_{\text{eff}}[T_i] (V_i - V_{\text{BD}}[T_i]) := \text{const.}$$

### Individual pixel gain $G_i$ calibration

- For each run:
  - Pixel gain  $G_i$ , pedestal charge  $Q_i^0$  by curve-fitting
- For each event *k*:
  - Fired pixels  $n_{k,i}$  with respect to the charge  $Q_{k,i}$
  - Energy sum  $E_k$  as total sum of fired pixels

$$n_{k,i} = \left\lfloor \frac{Q_{k,i} - Q_i^0}{G_i} + \frac{1}{2} \right\rfloor \qquad E_k = \sum_i n_{k,i}$$





Additional SiPM literature: M. Biroth, et al., NIM A 787 (2015) 68-71, P. Achenbach, et al., NIM A 824 (2016) 74-75, M. Biroth et al., IEEE Trans. Nucl. Sci. 64 (2017) 1619-1624, P. Achenbach, et al., NIM A 912 (2018) 110-111

mbiroth@uni-mainz.de

# Proton Detection Efficiency and Threshold Energy

(In-)coherent processes are delimitated by requiring a recoil proton

 $\pi^{0}$ -photoproduction events for which the recoil proton reached the veto detector:



17

 $N \longrightarrow N_{E>0}$  Target fired  $N_{E=0}$  Target did not fire

Definition of the proton detection efficiency  $\varepsilon_p$ :

$$\varepsilon_{\rm p} = \frac{N_{E>0}}{N_{E=0} + N_{E>0}} \cong \varepsilon_{\infty} \left( 1 - \exp\left[ -\ln 2\frac{T_{\rm p}}{E_{\rm th}} \right] \right)$$

Detector	Maximum	Threshold	
	efficiency $\varepsilon_{\infty}$	energy E <sub>th</sub>	
Veto	0.39 - 0.59*	70 MeV*	
Active target	0.55(1)	3(1) MeV	

Result confirmed by a missing mass analysis  $\langle \varepsilon_{\rm p} \rangle_{\rm MM} \approx 0.54$ 

(\*) Detection efficiency of the Veto was investigated in the PhD thesis of P.P. Martel

- · Field-modulated signal is the derivative of the spectrum
- Spectrum is comparable to TEMPO-doped butanol
- Discontinuities are caused by the base material and the radical



ESR = Electron Spin Resonance,  $\mu$ Wave frequency  $\omega_{ESR} = 2\pi \times 9.36303$  GHz, investigated radical density n =  $3.0 \times 10^{19}$  cm<sup>-3</sup>, TEMPO spectrum: S.T. Görtz, et al., NIM A526 (2004) 43-52

Maik Biroth, Institute of Nuclear Physics, Mainz, Germany

- · Field-modulated signal is the derivative of the spectrum
- Spectrum is comparable to TEMPO-doped butanol
- Discontinuities are caused by the base material and the radical



ESR = Electron Spin Resonance,  $\mu$ Wave frequency  $\omega_{ESR} = 2\pi \times 9.36303$  GHz, investigated radical density n =  $3.0 \times 10^{19}$  cm<sup>-3</sup>, TEMPO spectrum: S.T. Görtz, et al., NIM A526 (2004) 43-52

- · Field-modulated signal is the derivative of the spectrum
- Spectrum is comparable to TEMPO-doped butanol
- Discontinuities are caused by the base material and the radical



ESR = Electron Spin Resonance,  $\mu$ Wave frequency  $\omega_{ESR} = 2\pi \times 9.36303$  GHz, investigated radical density n =  $3.0 \times 10^{19}$  cm<sup>-3</sup>, TEMPO spectrum: S.T. Görtz, et al., NIM A526 (2004) 43-52

- · Field-modulated signal is the derivative of the spectrum
- Spectrum is comparable to TEMPO-doped butanol
- Discontinuities are caused by the base material and the radical



ESR = Electron Spin Resonance,  $\mu$ Wave frequency  $\omega_{ESR} = 2\pi \times 9.36303$  GHz, investigated radical density n =  $3.0 \times 10^{19}$  cm<sup>-3</sup>, TEMPO spectrum: S.T. Görtz, et al., NIM A526 (2004) 43-52



Transformation of the Spectrum to DNP Frequencies

• spectrum is not simply scaled, but also narrowed



Principal axes  $B_j$  transform proportional to the field  $B_0$ 

$$\omega_j = \frac{\omega_{\rm ESR}}{B_j} B_0$$

Fringe fields add up to  $B_0$ 

$$\delta\omega_j \cong \frac{\omega_{\rm ESR}}{B_j} \,\delta B_j$$

- hyperfine tensor  $\mathbb{B}_{ij}$
- super-hyperfine broadening



Expected Polarization Build-up

• Overlapping contributions cancel by the differential solid effect

$$\frac{\partial P}{\partial t} \propto P_{\text{TE,e}} \mathbb{P}_{\text{m}} \left( \frac{S_e[\omega_{\text{m}} + \gamma_{\text{H}}B_0]}{S_e[\omega_{\text{m}} - \gamma_{\text{H}}B_0]} \right)$$





- Spin spectrum  $S_e$  determines the initial polarization growth  $\partial P/\partial t$
- Overlapping contributions cancel by the differential solid effect

$$\frac{\partial P}{\partial t} \propto P_{\text{TE,e}} \mathbb{P}_{\text{m}} \left( \frac{S_e[\omega_{\text{m}} + \gamma_{\text{H}}B_0]}{S_e[\omega_{\text{m}} - \gamma_{\text{H}}B_0]} - \frac{S_e[\omega_{\text{m}} - \gamma_{\text{H}}B_0]}{S_e[\omega_{\text{m}} - \gamma_{\text{H}}B_0]} \right)$$




- Spin spectrum  $S_e$  determines the initial polarization growth  $\partial P/\partial t$
- Overlapping contributions cancel by the differential solid effect

$$\frac{\partial P}{\partial t} \propto P_{\text{TE,e}} \mathbb{P}_{\text{m}} \left( S_e [\omega_{\text{m}} + \gamma_{\text{H}} B_0] - S_e [\omega_{\text{m}} - \gamma_{\text{H}} B_0] \right)$$



20



- Spin spectrum  $S_e$  determines the initial polarization growth  $\partial P/\partial t$
- Overlapping contributions cancel by the differential solid effect

$$\frac{\partial P}{\partial t} \propto P_{\text{TE,e}} \mathbb{P}_{\text{m}} \left( S_e [\omega_{\text{m}} + \gamma_{\text{H}} B_0] - S_e [\omega_{\text{m}} - \gamma_{\text{H}} B_0] \right)$$



20

### Saturation of the Polarization Build-up

- Polarization build-up saturates under high μWave power
- Adaptive reduction optimizes the growth during DNP



- Cooling power is limited by the molar flux  $\dot{n}_{3He}$  into the diluted phase
- Temperature changes typically by  $1/\sqrt{-\dot{n}_{3He}} (\Delta S/T) = 48 \text{ mK}/\sqrt{\text{mW}}$

Molar flux of <sup>3</sup>He  $\dot{n}_{3He} = 5.2 \text{ mmol s}^{-1}$ , entropy gradient  $\Delta S$  for <sup>3</sup>He phase transition with  $(\Delta S/T) = -84 \text{ J K}^{-2}$ 



•	Stack optimizes	s heat exchange	by increasing t	he surface

- 10 scintillator disks  $\emptyset$ 20 mm  $\times$  1 mm
- 9 PMMA rings  $\emptyset$ 20 mm ×  $\emptyset$ 18 mm × 0.5 mm
- Optical coupling to the light concentrator by epoxy
- Slitting opens inter-disk volume
  - liquid helium circulation provides cooling during DNP



PMMA = Poly Methyl Methacrylate, CW-NMR = Continuous Wave Nuclear Magnetic Resonance



22

- Stack optimizes heat exchange by increasing the surface
  - 10 scintillator disks  $\emptyset$ 20 mm  $\times$  1 mm
  - 9 PMMA rings  $\emptyset$ 20 mm ×  $\emptyset$ 18 mm × 0.5 mm
- Optical coupling to the light concentrator by epoxy



- Slitting opens inter-disk volume
  - liquid helium circulation provides cooling during DNP
  - excitation and pick-up coil for NMR measurements

PMMA = Poly Methyl Methacrylate, CW-NMR = Continuous Wave Nuclear Magnetic Resonance

 $\vec{P}_{\perp}^{+} \quad \vec{P}_{\perp}^{-}$  $\vec{B}_{0} \quad \omega_{m}$ 

22

- Stack optimizes heat exchange by increasing the surface
  - 10 scintillator disks  $\emptyset$ 20 mm  $\times$  1 mm
  - 9 PMMA rings  $\emptyset$ 20 mm ×  $\emptyset$ 18 mm × 0.5 mm
- Optical coupling to the light concentrator by epoxy
- Slitting opens inter-disk volume
  - liquid helium circulation provides cooling during DNP
  - excitation and pick-up coil for NMR measurements
- Continuous wave NMR measurement
  - excitation  $\omega_{\rm m} \sim \gamma_{\rm H} B_0$  around the Larmor frequency

PMMA = Poly Methyl Methacrylate, CW-NMR = Continuous Wave Nuclear Magnetic Resonance

 $\Lambda E < 0$ 

22

- Stack optimizes heat exchange by increasing the surface
  - 10 scintillator disks  $\emptyset$ 20 mm  $\times$  1 mm
  - 9 PMMA rings  $\emptyset$ 20 mm ×  $\emptyset$ 18 mm × 0.5 mm
- Optical coupling to the light concentrator by epoxy
- Slitting opens inter-disk volume
  - liquid helium circulation provides cooling during DNP
  - excitation and pick-up coil for NMR measurements
- Continuous wave NMR measurement
  - excitation  $\omega_{\rm m} \sim \gamma_{\rm H} B_0$  around the Larmor frequency
  - pick-up of the Gaussian energy dissipation  $\Delta E$

$$A := \int d\omega_{\rm m} \, \Delta E \, \propto -P$$

PMMA = Poly Methyl Methacrylate, CW-NMR = Continuous Wave Nuclear Magnetic Resonance

Maik Biroth, Institute of Nuclear Physics, Mainz, Germany

An absolute measurement requires a thermal equilibrium calibration:



23

- 1. Temperature settles at  $T_{\rm TE} \sim 1 K$  after removal of <sup>3</sup>He
- 2. Polarization decays exponentially with  $\tau_{1,TE} \sim 46 \text{ min to}$ :

$$P_{\rm TE} = \tanh \frac{\hbar \gamma_{\rm H} B_0}{2k_{\rm B} T_{\rm TE}} = 0.25 \%$$

3. Integral relaxes to its thermal equilibrium value  $A_{\text{TE}}$ 

$$\frac{P}{P_{\rm TE}} \cong \frac{A}{A_{\rm TE}} = 1 - \exp\left[-\frac{t}{\tau_{1,\rm TE}}\right]$$

# Effect of the Supporting Structure on the Calibration

- Non-doped materials are also enclosed by the NMR coil
- Non-polarizable hydrogen constitutes 44% of the NMR signal
- Resonance frequency shift of -160 ppm enables separation

#### Double-peak structure in the NMR signal

24



**Double-Gaussian curve-fit yields:** 

 $A_{\rm TE}^{\rm pol} = -28 \, {\rm AU}$ 

 $A_{\rm TE}^{\rm non} = -22 \, {\rm AU}$ 



#### Blue peak does not polarize under DNP

#### The actual polarization is calculated as:

$$P = \frac{P_{\rm TE}}{A_{\rm TE}^{\rm pol}} \left( A - A_{\rm TE}^{\rm non} \right)$$

#### Maik Biroth, Institute of Nuclear Physics, Mainz, Germany

 $A_{\rm TE} = -50 \, {\rm AU}$ 

mbiroth@uni-mainz.de

# Achieved Degree of Polarization and Relaxation Time

Maximum polarization and spinlattice relaxation time are low compared to TEMPO-doped Butanol:

Droporty	Active	Target	Duton of torget	
Property	Positive	Negative	Butanoi target	
Temperature	> 45 mK		28 mK	
Max. Polarization	46.2 %	-49.2 %	P  > 80 %	
Relaxation time	78.5 h	75.4 h	> 1200 h	

25

#### Approaches to optimize the polarization:

- 1. Reducing the spin density (n <  $1.5 \times 10^{19} \text{ cm}^{-3}$ )
- 1. Reducing the temperature to T < 30 mKpredicts relaxation times of  $\tau_1 > 1000 \text{ h}$
- 2. Doubling the high field  $B_0 = 5$  T corresponds to halving the temperature during DNP





Semi-active Target Concept: A cage of segmented standard plastic scintillators surrounds a Teflon container with doped Butanol inside.

- Fiber readout minimizes the intensity attenuation
- Enables carbon subtraction using an carbon foam
- Doped pellets can be H- or D-Butanol







Semi-active Target Concept: A cage of segmented standard plastic scintillators surrounds a Teflon container with doped Butanol inside.

- Fiber readout minimizes the intensity attenuation
- Enables carbon subtraction using an carbon foam
- Doped pellets can be H- or D-Butanol
- Segmentation provides  $\phi$ -resolution. Efficiency gaps are avoided by dovetailing of the scintillator bars.
- Alternating coupling of the bars could provide  $\theta$ -resolution by next-neighbor crosstalk.





The next-generation polarizable scintillator could be developed

- by doping in cooperation with the Mainz PRISMA+ Laboratory for Scintillation and Fluorescence Detectors
- by irradiation at ELSA in cooperation with the Bonn Polarized Target Group







• Spin-lattice relaxation time  $\tau_1 \sim 75$  h

• Threshold energy  $E_{\rm th} \sim 3 \,{\rm MeV}$ 



• Spin-lattice relaxation time  $\tau_1 \sim 75 \text{ h}$ 

• Threshold energy  $E_{\rm th} \sim 3 \,{\rm MeV}$ 

# Thank you for your attention!

# Appendix

Maik Biroth, Institute of Nuclear Physics, Mainz, Germany

mbiroth@uni-mainz.de

### ESR of the Supporting Structure

No unpaired electron spins were found in the supporting materials. The supporting structure is not polarizable by DNP.



Polarized electrons  $E_{e0} = 450 \text{ MeV}$  on an amorphous FeCo radiator

**Generation of Circular Photon Polarization** 

Incoherent Bremsstrahlung with Bethe-Heidler cross-section

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma}{\mathrm{d}E_{\gamma 0}} = \frac{A}{\chi_0 N_A} \frac{1}{E_{\gamma 0}} \left(\frac{4}{3}(1-x) + x^2\right) \qquad \text{with } x = \frac{E_{\gamma 0}}{E_{\mathrm{e}0}}$$

• Transfer of longitudinal electron polarization  $P_{\rm e} \gtrsim 75$  % to the circular photon polarization  $P_{\gamma}$ 



The spin orientations modulate a dependence on the azimuthal photon angle  $\phi_{\gamma}$  on the cross-sections  $\sigma_{2x}$  and  $\sigma_{3}$ .

(Double-)Polarized Compton Asymmetries

$$\vec{\gamma} \bigcap_{L}^{R} \sum_{q_{1}} \vec{\gamma} \sum_{q_{1}}^{r} \sum_{q_{1}}^{r$$

Degree of nucleon polarization  $P_{\rm N}$  and photon polarization  $P_{\rm V}$ 

Maik Biroth, Institute of Nuclear Physics, Mainz, Germany

mbiroth@uni-mainz.de

### Sensitivity to the Spin-polarizabilities



Maik Biroth, Institute of Nuclear Physics, Mainz, Germany

### **Neutral Pion Count Rate Asymmetry**

The  $\pi^0$ -photoproduction count rate asymmetry scales with  $\mathcal{F}$ . Additionally, an intrinsic transverse target asymmetry  $\mathcal{T}$  contributes.

$$\frac{N_{\pm}^{\mathrm{R}} - N_{\pm}^{\mathrm{L}}}{N_{\pm}^{\mathrm{R}} + N_{\pm}^{\mathrm{L}}} = \pm \frac{P_{\mathrm{p}}P_{\gamma} \mathcal{F}\sin\phi_{\gamma}}{1 \pm P_{\mathrm{p}} \mathcal{T}\cos\phi_{\gamma}}$$



Analysis of the 2016, June data by P.P. Martel



- For each run: detector specific time reference  $\tau_i^0$  by curve-fitting
- For each event: target time  $t_k$  as the minimum time-to-reference

 $t_k = \tau_{k,m} - \tau_m^0$  :  $|\tau_{k,m} - \tau_m^0| \le |\tau_{k,i} - \tau_i^0| \forall i \in [0,14]$ 

Coincidence with tagged photons is overlapped by a uniform distribution



Maik Biroth, Institute of Nuclear Physics, Mainz, Germany

## **Delimitation of Background Contributions**

Accidental photons are the main background contribution



#### **Prompt-Random subtraction**

- Prompt events *P* in a  $\pm \Delta t_P/2$ environment around the coincidence peak
- Random events *R* far off from the coincidence peak with the total width  $\Delta t_R$
- True estimate N of coincident events

$$N = P - \left(\frac{\Delta t_P}{\Delta t_R}\right) R$$

Threading two large contributions requires angular resolution

- Nuclear background by scattering off <sup>4</sup>He, <sup>12</sup>C, or heavier nuclei inside the target
- Photon misidentification of one neutral pion decay photon if the other is not detected

Angular resolution was not achievable due to the 1.5 m long light guide tube

### Proton Detection Efficiency from Missing Mass

(In-)coherent  $\pi^{o}$  events (I) do not include any charged recoil particles. Recoil protons with E = 0 are not detected (II).



Energy	Case	Contributions	Peak mass	Branching	Average Proton	
E = 0	I	(in-)coherent	921 MeV	$\kappa_{\rm I} = 0.363$	detection efficiency:	
		not detected	935 MeV	$\kappa_{\mathrm{II}} = 0.295$	$\kappa_{\rm III} = 0.54$	
E > 0		detected	_	$\kappa_{\rm III} = 0.342$	$\left( \frac{\kappa_{\rm p}}{MM} - \frac{\kappa_{\rm II}}{\kappa_{\rm II} + \kappa_{\rm III}} - 0.34 \right)$	

### Separation of (In-)coherent Processes

(In-)coherent processes do not include any charged recoil particles.

(500 0 ⊕ 160 PPT\_Theta\_vs\_TransfEnergy\_Pi0 800 Entries 671484 41.54 Mean x 700 Mean v 77.52 140 RMS x 33.82 32.8 600 RMS 120 500 400 80 (In-)Coherent 300 60 events off <sup>12</sup>C 200 40 100 0 200 20 100 120 140 160 180 E,-E, (MeV)

21

Recoil detection for  $\pi^0$  photoproduction, E = (280 ± 10) MeV Counts Target not fired Target fired 0 140 160 18  $π^0$  CMS angle θ (deg) 60 100 20 40 80 120 180

If the target fired, only free and quasifree processes are identified. The count rates follow a sine-square distribution.

D. Drechsel, et al., Medium effects in coherent pion photo- and electroproduction on <sup>4</sup>He and <sup>12</sup>C, Nucl. Phys. A 660 (1999) 423-438

 $\left(\frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma}{\mathrm{d}\Omega}\right)_0 \propto \sin^2\theta_\pi^*$ 





All  $\pi^0$  events

Compton off the Proton and its Background Processes



Real Compton scattering is a two-body reaction and has a well defined kinematic.

$$\cos \theta_{\gamma,p} = \left(1 - \frac{m_p}{E_\gamma}\right) / \sqrt{1 + \frac{2 m_p}{E_{\gamma 0} - E_\gamma}}$$

Kinematical cuts on the opening angle require angular resolution.



Kinematics are shown for  $E_{\gamma 0} = 300$  MeV.

Maik Biroth, Institute of Nuclear Physics, Mainz, Germany



22

Real Compton scattering is a two-body reaction and has a well defined kinematic.

$$\cos\theta_{\gamma} = 1 - m_p \, \left(\frac{1}{E_{\gamma}} - \frac{1}{E_{\gamma 0}}\right)$$

The detection of the recoil proton is essential for the delimitation of background processes with a kinematical overlap. Critical are quasi-

 $\theta_{\nu}^{N}$ 

#### free processes,

whereby the proton has the initial Fermi momentum  $p_{\rm F}$ , that is isotropically oriented. The

kinetic energy  $T_p$  from breakup is reduced by the difference in binding energy.

$$T_{\rm p} = E_{\gamma 0} - E_{\gamma}$$
  $T_{\rm p}^{12} = T_{\rm p} - \left(m_{\rm p} + \sqrt{m_{11}^2 + p_{12}^2} - m_{12}\right) \cong T_{\rm p} - 16 \,\,{\rm MeV}$ 

Veto Energy vs Kinetic Energy Veto Energy (MeV) PT ValbEnergy vs kinEnergy C . . Entries 12868 Mean x 47.36 35 Mean v 2.025 10<sup>2</sup> RMS x 22.74 30 F RMS v 1.97 25 20 F 10 15 10F 5F 20 100 120 140 160 180 200 Kinetic Energy (MeV) APPT Energy vs Kinetic Energy Energy (# Photons) PPT\_Energy\_vs\_kinEnergy\_Charg Entries 12864 35 Mean x 47.36 Mean v 1.381 22.74 RMS x 10<sup>2</sup> 30 RMS v 1.621 25 20 10 15 10 5 160 180 20 Kinetic Energy (MeV) 20 40 60 80 100 120 140 200

Real Compton scattering is a two-body reaction and has a well defined kinematic.

$$\cos\theta_{\gamma} = 1 - m_p \left(\frac{1}{E_{\gamma}} - \frac{1}{E_{\gamma 0}}\right)$$

The detection of the recoil proton is essential for the delimitation of background processes with a kinematical overlap. Critical are guasi-

#### free processes,

whereby the proton has the  $\sim$ initial Fermi momentum  $p_{\rm F}$ , that is isotropically oriented. The

kinetic energy  $T_{\rm p}$  from breakup is reduced by the difference in binding energy.

$$T_{\rm p} = E_{\gamma 0} - E_{\gamma}$$
  $T_{\rm p}^{12} = T_{\rm p} - \left(m_{\rm p} + \sqrt{m_{^{11}\rm B}^2 + p_{^{12}\rm C}^2} - m_{^{12}\rm C}\right) \cong T_{\rm p} - 16 \text{ MeV}$ 

22

The electron radical density effects also the light output of the scintillator.

Light Output of the Polarizable Scintillator

Component	Wavelengt	1	
Component	absorption	emission	
PPO/2,5-Diphenylloxazole	303 nm	358 nm	
Dimethyl-POPOP	360 nm	411 nm	/



The radical deteriorates the quantum efficiency to 50% of a standard plastic scintillator or even lower, since wavelengths within the Stokes Shift does not excite the POPOP.



Maik Biroth, Institute of Nuclear Physics, Mainz, Germany

mbiroth@uni-mainz.de

### **Electronics and Data Acquisition**



HV/Temp controller "ctrl-appt.online.a2.kph"

### Appendix – Angular Resolution

mbiroth@uni-mainz.de

# Indication for Angular Resolution





If *N* photons are unitary distributed over 15 detectors, then  $P_{M,N}$  is the probability distribution for *M* fired detectors.

$$P_{M,N} = \sum_{m=0}^{M} (-1)^m \binom{15}{M} \binom{M}{m} \left(\frac{M-m}{15}\right)^N$$

 $\langle M \rangle_N = 15 (1 - (1 - 1/15)^N)$  is the most probable number of involved detectors.

The measured target energy with respect to the detector multiplicity showed higher intensities distributed over less detectors than expected statistically.

## **Indication for Angular Resolution**





If *N* photons are unitary distributed over 15 detectors, then  $P_{M,N}$  is the probability distribution for *M* fired detectors.

$$P_{M,N} = \sum_{m=0}^{M} (-1)^m \binom{15}{M} \binom{M}{m} \left(\frac{M-m}{15}\right)^N$$

 $\langle M \rangle_N = 15 (1 - (1 - 1/15)^N)$  is the most probable number of involved detectors.

Even considering crosstalk  $X_{N,n}$  with the pixel-to-pixel crosstalk probability q does not reproduce the result.

$$X_{N,n} = \binom{n}{N} (1-q)^{N+1} q^{n-N}$$

Firing pixels: 
$$n = \frac{N+q}{1-q} \cong \frac{N}{1-q}$$

### Factorization of the Light Output

The intensity distribution dI/dx of a charged track inside the scintillator stack can be factorized in a geometrical fill factor f, the energy loss in a solid scintillator dE/dx, and the light transport properties  $\Psi$  with respect to the azimuthal angle  $\phi$ .

 $\frac{\mathrm{d}I}{\mathrm{d}x} \propto -f \, \frac{\mathrm{d}E}{\mathrm{d}x} \, \Psi$ 

Caused by the finite stack height *H* and the disk radius *R* the maximum path length  $x_0$  is limited with respect to the polar angle  $\theta$  and the penetration depth  $z_0$ .

$$x_{0} = \frac{R}{\sin \theta} \times \begin{cases} (H - z_{0}) \tan \theta & |0 \le \theta \le \theta_{\rightarrow} \\ 1 & |\theta_{\rightarrow} \le \theta \le \theta_{\leftarrow} \\ -z_{0} \tan \theta & |\theta_{\leftarrow} \le \theta \le \pi \end{cases} \qquad \theta_{\leftarrow} = \pi - \tan^{-1} R/z_{0} \end{cases}$$





For long paths in forward direction the fill factor converges:  $f \rightarrow f_{\infty}$ 

Spherical Bessel function  $j_n[x] = (-x)^n (1/x d/dx)^n \sin x/x$ 

Maik Biroth, Institute of Nuclear Physics, Mainz, Germany

mbiroth@uni-mainz.de


• High sensitivity under  $\theta \sim 90^{\circ}$  on the scintillator disk of incidence is assumed to vanish under multiple scattering

$$\Delta \theta \approx \frac{z_{\rm p} \psi}{2 T_{\rm p}} \sqrt{\frac{\Delta x}{\chi_0} \left(1 + \alpha \ln \frac{\Delta x}{\chi_0}\right)}$$

Approximation:  $c p \beta \sim 2 T$ , Values for calculation:  $\psi = 13.6 \text{ MeV m}^{-1}$ ,  $\alpha = 0.038$ 

Maik Biroth, Institute of Nuclear Physics, Mainz, Germany



Bethe formula describes mean energy loss by inelastic collisions with the shell electrons for heavy particles in thick absorbers.

$$\left\langle \frac{\mathrm{d}T}{\mathrm{d}x} \right\rangle = -\frac{\mathrm{d}\epsilon}{\mathrm{d}x} \frac{1}{\beta^2} \cdot \left( \frac{1}{2} \ln \left[ \kappa^2 \frac{\Delta T_{\mathrm{max}}}{2m_{\mathrm{e}}} \gamma^2 \beta^2 \right] - \beta^2 - C \right)$$

Assuming low kinetic energy  $\beta^2 \approx 2T / m_p$  leads to an explicit, homogenous differential equation of the first order.

Specific energy loss  $d\epsilon/dx = 4\pi n_e r_e^2 m_e$ 

lonizability  $\kappa = 2 m_{\rm e} / \epsilon_{\rm ion}$ 

Logarithmic integral li = Ei • In

Exponential integral Ei(x) =  $\sum_{x} dt \exp[t] / t$ 

$$\left\langle \frac{\mathrm{d}T}{\mathrm{d}x} \right\rangle_{\beta \ll 1} \approx -\frac{\mathrm{d}\epsilon}{\mathrm{d}x} \cdot \ln\left[\kappa \frac{2 T}{m_{\mathrm{p}}}\right] \cdot \frac{m_{\mathrm{p}}}{2 T}$$

Solving this equation yields the mean kinetic energy  $\langle T \rangle$  with respect to the path length *x*.

$$\langle T \rangle = \frac{m_{\rm p}}{2 \kappa} \cdot \sqrt{\operatorname{li}^{-1} \left[ 2 \kappa^2 \frac{\mathrm{d}\epsilon}{\mathrm{d}x} \frac{\langle d \rangle - x}{m_{\rm p}} \right]}$$

Maximum energy transfer per collision  $\Delta T_{\rm max} = m_{\rm p} \ \gamma^2 \ \beta^2 \ / \ ( \ (m_{\rm e}^2 + m_{\rm p}^2) \ / \ (2 \ m_{\rm e} \ m_{\rm p}) + \gamma \ )$ 



Maik Biroth, Institute of Nuclear Physics, Mainz, Germany

Maximum energy deposition along the Bragg peak at  $x \sim \langle d \rangle$ 

**Energy Loss and Total Deposition of Protons** 

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}E}{\mathrm{d}x} = \begin{cases} \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}x} \langle T \rangle & |x \le \langle d \rangle \\ 0 & |x > \langle d \rangle \end{cases}$$

$$\Delta E = \begin{cases} T_{i} - \langle T \rangle & |x \leq \langle d \rangle \\ T_{i} & |x > \langle d \rangle \end{cases}$$



The light output of the scintillator depends on two properties:

• Surface quality



Following the Fresnel equations, the fraction of reflected light  $\rho$  with respect to the refractive index n of the scintillator depends on the angle of incidence  $\alpha$ .

$$\rho = \frac{1}{2} \left( \left( \frac{1-\xi}{1+\xi} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{1-n^2\xi}{1+n^2\xi} \right)^2 \right) \quad \xi = \sqrt{\frac{n^{-2} - \sin^2 \alpha}{1-\sin^2 \alpha}}$$

The intensity contribution  $I_{ref}$  by reflective losses follows an exponential law with respect to the number of reflections k.

$$I_{\rm ref} \propto \rho^k \equiv e^{-\frac{x}{\lambda_{\rm ref}}} \qquad \lambda_{\rm ref} = -h \tan \alpha / \ln \rho$$

• Purity of the base material



Molecules can become excited by absorbing the scintillation light, that is undetectable. The intensity contribution  $I_{abs}$  by internal absorption follows an exponential law with the attenuation length  $\lambda_{abs}$ .

$$I_{\rm abs} \propto {\rm e}^{-rac{x}{\lambda_{\rm abs}}}$$

Maik Biroth, Institute of Nuclear Physics, Mainz, Germany

## Distribution of the Scintillation Light at the Edge

The radius of the scintillator disks R = 10 mm is much larger than their thickness of h = 1 mm. Away from the edge, the light output can be described by an exponential law  $I = \exp - l_{\phi}/\lambda$  with the light path  $l_{\phi}$  to the edge and the combined attenuation length  $\lambda^{-1} = \lambda_{ref}^{-1} + \lambda_{abs}^{-1}$ .



$$l_{\phi} = \sqrt{R^2 - x_0^2} \sin^2 \theta \sin^2 \phi - x_0 \sin \theta \cos \phi \cong R - x_0 \sin \theta \cos \phi$$

Attenuation by reflective losses  $\lambda_{ref}$ , attenuation by absorption  $\lambda_{abs}$ 

Maik Biroth, Institute of Nuclear Physics, Mainz, Germany

## Simplifications Considering the Energy Loss Profile

The energy deposition of protons can be approximated by a continuous energy loss profile, followed by the Bragg peak at zero kinetic energy.





## **Parallel Projection Approach**

Assumption: The light transport in the tube is lossy because of surface defects. Therefore, only straight tracks survive. Gaussian filtering of the intensity map is able to conserve the finest structure  $\check{\sigma}_{\theta} = \sqrt{\lambda/R}$ , that is identical for tracks and Bragg peaks.



- The maximum of the distribution should be related to the azimuthal angle  $\phi$ .
- The dynamic range of forward/backward tracks should be related to the polar angle  $\delta_{\theta} \propto \tan \theta$ .

### Polar Angular Information from the Intensity Distribution

The correlation between the dynamic range and the missing proton angle from  $\pi^0$  photoproduction were determined.



Correlation:

$$\tan\theta/\delta = 0.54(5)$$

Corresponding attenuation:

 $\lambda = 3.9(5) \, \text{mm}$ 

Shrinking to a fixed correlation did not remove the <sup>12</sup>C background.





The angular mixing in the beam/light guide tube destroys the azimuthal angular information.

• The maximum of the intensity distribution shows no correlation with  $\phi$ .

• Selecting the first fired detector shows no correlation with  $\phi$ .



The properties of light transport are derived from a simple model.

Angular Mapping by the Light Guide

 $\Delta z$  is the step *z*-increment per traveled arc with respect to the effective radius *R* and the tangential injection angle  $\beta$ :

$$\Delta z = 2\pi R \tan \beta$$

 $\phi^* \in (-\infty, \infty)$  is the extended detection angle after outrunning the full tube length  $L_{tube}$ :

$$\phi^* = 2\pi \frac{L}{\Delta z}$$
  $\Delta \beta = \pm 1^\circ \rightarrow \Delta \phi^* = \pm 130^\circ$ 

 $\Delta t$  is the time resolution to distinguish between photons with different detection angles:

$$\Delta t = \frac{L}{c_0/n} \sqrt{1 + \left(\frac{R}{L}\right)^2 \Delta \phi^{*2}} \qquad \Delta \phi^* = \pm 24^\circ \rightarrow \Delta t = \pm 38 \text{ fs}$$

Effective tube radius  $R_{\text{tube}} = (R_{\text{out}} + R_{\text{in}})/2 = 11.5 \text{ mm}$ , tube length  $L_{\text{tube}} = 1.5 \text{ m}$ , refractive index of PMMA n = 1.49

R

L

## Statistics of the Light Transport in the Tube

The measured intensity  $I_n$  is reduced by reflective losses and the initial intensity can be approximated by the most-probable intensity. Therefor the k-th moment of the Binomial distribution  $\langle I_n^k \rangle_{\rm MP}$  can be calculated by:

$$\langle I_n^k \rangle_{\rm MP} = \sum_{I=I_n}^{\infty} I^k \begin{pmatrix} I \\ I_n \end{pmatrix} p_{\rm tube}^{I_n} (1-p_{\rm tube})^{I-I_n}$$

The most-probable intensity  $\langle I_n \rangle_{\rm MP} > 0$  is obtained from the 1<sup>st</sup> moment:

$$\langle I_n \rangle_{\rm MP} := \frac{\langle I_n^1 \rangle_{\rm MP}}{\langle I_n^0 \rangle_{\rm MP}} = \underbrace{\frac{1 - p_{\rm tube}}{p_{\rm tube}}}_{p_{\rm tube}} + \frac{I_n}{p_{\rm tube}} \qquad \leftrightarrow \qquad p_{\rm tube} = \frac{I_n + 1}{\langle I_n \rangle_{\rm MP} + 1}$$

The error of initial and measured intensity are directly correlated and calculated by help of the 2<sup>nd</sup> moment:

$$\Delta I_n = p_{\text{tube}} \ \Delta I_{n,\text{MP}} = p_{\text{tube}} \ \sqrt{\frac{\langle I_n^2 \rangle_{\text{MP}}}{\langle I_n^0 \rangle_{\text{MP}}} - \left(\frac{\langle I_n^1 \rangle_{\text{MP}}}{\langle I_n^0 \rangle_{\text{MP}}}\right)^2} = \sqrt{1 - p_{\text{tube}}} \ \sqrt{I_n + 1}$$

For a very lossy (  $p_{tube} \ll 1$  ) light guide one obtains the same result as from Poisson distribution and the incremented intensity ( $I_n + 1$ ) is proportional to the most-probable intensity.

$$\lim_{p_{\text{tube}}\to 0} \langle I_n \rangle_{\text{MP}} = \frac{I_n + 1}{p_{\text{tube}}} \qquad \qquad \lim_{p_{\text{tube}}\to 0} \Delta I_n = \sqrt{I_n + 1}$$

The point-source was placed under the angle of detector 0.

Intensity Distribution from Monte Carlo

The intensity-weighted path lengths follow a Weibull distribution.

No angular resolution can be achieved with the light guide tube.



Refractive index of PMMA n = 1.49, refractive index of Borosilicate n = 1.47

# Appendix – SiPM Spectrum and Cryogenic Properties

Maik Biroth, Institute of Nuclear Physics, Mainz, Germany



# Study in Optical Detectors

Depending on the placement of the optical detectors, the operational condition change dramatically. Only one single type was matching the requirements:

- Photomultiplier tubes: sensitive to magnetic fields, He diffusion
- Avalanche photodiodes: low internal gain  $10^2 10^3 e_0/\gamma$
- Super-conducting nanowires: no sensitivity in the visible range
- Silicon photomultipliers (SiPM): study in cryogenic characteristics needed

The development of the differential transimpedance SiPM amplifiers with pseudo-floating shielding enabled the required 2.5 m connection cables between SiPM and amplifier:



- The differential readout increases the signal-to-noise ratio by a factor  $\sqrt{2}$ .
- The fully-differential topology provides excellent common-mode noise suppression.

M. Biroth, et al., NIM A 787 (2015) 185-188

Study in Statistical Properties of SiPMs

The combinatorics of the fired pixels composition is calculated by the Binomial coefficient. The probability of a specific composition follows a Binomial distribution  $B_{i,n}$  with the crosstalk probability q.

$$B_{i,n} = \binom{n}{i} (1-q)^i q^{n-i}$$

i=n i=n-1 i=n-2



Dark count events are always triggered by a single thermally activated pixel. The spectrum follows for i = 1:

$$D_n = \mathcal{N} B_{1,n} = n (1-q)^2 q^{n-1}$$

### **Extraction of SiPM Parameters from Low-intensity Spectra**

The fluctuation of a coherent light source in emitting *i* photons follows a Poisson distribution  $P_i = e^{-\lambda} \lambda^i / i!$  with the mean number of photons  $\lambda$ . The probability of an event with *n* fired pixels is given by the probability  $\Omega_n$ .

$$\Omega_n = \mathcal{N} \sum_{i=1}^n P_i B_{i,n} = \frac{(1-q) q^n}{e^{\lambda} - 1} \left( \mathcal{L}_n \left[ -\frac{1-q}{q} \lambda \right] - 1 \right)$$

The spectrum  $S_n$  is obtained by considering the charge distribution of the pedestal distribution  $G_0$  with the noise  $\sigma_0$  and the *n*-pixel peaks  $G_n$ .

$$S_n = e^{-\lambda} G_0 + (1 - e^{-\lambda}) \sum_n \Omega_n G_n$$

The mean charge of an *n*-pixel event appears as the *n*-fold of the Gaussian distributed single-pixel gain  $g_{SP}$ . The variation of the single-pixel gain  $\sigma_{SP}$  leads to a broadening of the *n*-pixel distribution by the factor  $\sqrt{n}$ .

$$G_n \propto \exp{-\frac{1}{2} \frac{(Q - n g_{\rm SP})^2}{\sigma_0^2 + n \sigma_{\rm SP}^2}}$$
 $g_{\rm SP} = \langle g_j \rangle$ 
 $\sigma_{\rm SP} = \sqrt{\langle g_j^2 \rangle - \langle g_j \rangle^2}$ 

Laguerre polynomials  $\mathcal{L}_n = \sum_{i=0}^n \binom{n}{k} (-x)^k / k!$ 

Number of incident photons  $\lambda = \eta \lambda_{initial}$  is reduced by the Photon detection efficiency (PDE)  $\eta$ 

Maik Biroth, Institute of Nuclear Physics, Mainz, Germany

## **Overview of Important SiPM Parameters**

Charge spectra at single-photon intensity



Optical cross and band-band tunneling









Optimum peak resolution

Maik Biroth, Institute of Nuclear Physics, Mainz, Germany



Poisson and Binomial distribution can be approximated by Gaussian distributions for large intensities  $\lambda \to \infty$  and many fired pixels  $n \to \infty$  respectively:

$$P_{i,\lambda\to\infty} \propto \exp{-\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{i-\lambda}{\sqrt{\lambda}}\right)^2} \qquad B_{i,n\to\infty} \propto \exp{-\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{i-(1-q)n}{\sqrt{q(1-q)n}}\right)^2}$$

The probability distribution can be calculated as a continuous integral. It has a Gaussian form with the central intensity  $\mu$  and the standard deviation  $\sigma$ :

$$P_n \cong \mathcal{N} \int_0^n \mathrm{d}i \ P_{i,\lambda\to\infty} \ B_{i,n\to\infty} \cong \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi \sigma^2}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{n-\mu}{\sigma}\right)^2\right)$$
$$\mu = \frac{\lambda}{1-q} \qquad \sigma = \sqrt{\frac{\mu+n\,q}{1-q}} \ \stackrel{n\sim\mu}{\cong} \sqrt{\frac{1+q}{1-q}\,\mu}$$

## Appendix – Polarization Relaxation, Measurement, Build-up

Maik Biroth, Institute of Nuclear Physics, Mainz, Germany



## **Electron Spin-Lattice Relaxation**

The lattice  $\mathcal{H}_{L}$  can be treated as one dimensional oscillator with creation  $a_{j}^{\dagger}$  and annihilation  $a_{j}$  operators of optical phonon modes  $\hbar \omega_{\mathrm{ph},j}$ .

$$\mathcal{H}_{\rm L} = \sum_{j} \hbar \omega_{\rm ph,j} \left( a_j^{\dagger} a_j + \frac{1}{2} \right)$$

The spin-lattice coupling  $\mathcal{H}_{SLC}$  with the complex coupling constants  $\Omega^{\pm}$  enables spin flips by phonon absorption and emission.



$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{SLC}} \propto \sum_{j} \left( \Omega^{-} \sigma_{\mathrm{e}}^{+} a_{j} + \Omega^{+} \sigma_{\mathrm{e}}^{-} a_{j}^{\dagger} \right) \\ \mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{SLC}} |n\rangle_{\mathrm{ph}} |\uparrow\rangle_{\mathrm{e}} &= |n+1\rangle_{\mathrm{ph}} |\downarrow\rangle_{\mathrm{e}} \\ \mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{SLC}} |n\rangle_{\mathrm{ph}} |\downarrow\rangle_{\mathrm{e}} &= |n-1\rangle_{\mathrm{ph}} |\uparrow\rangle_{\mathrm{e}} \end{aligned}$$

The statistic nature of the process leads to a relaxation of the electron polarization  $P_{\rm e}$  to the thermal equilibrium polarization  $P_{\rm TE,e}$  with the electron spin-lattice relaxation time  $\tau_{1,e}$ .

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}P_{\rm e} = -\frac{1}{\tau_{1,\rm e}} \left(P_{\rm e} - P_{\rm TE,\rm e}\right) \qquad \tau_{1,\rm e} \propto \frac{P_{\rm TE,\rm e}}{\omega_{\rm e}^5}$$



Direct nuclear spin-lattice relaxation induces a coupled electron-nuclear spin flip under absorption or emission of a phonon. Indirect relaxation takes place by dipolar coupling of electron and nuclear spins.



Within the diffusion boundary  $\vec{r}$  with n = 4, the nuclear resonance frequency  $\omega'_{I} \neq \omega_{I}$  is still affected by the dipolar coupling to the electron spin.

$$r := |\vec{r}| \propto \left(\frac{3\sin^2\Theta\cos^2\Theta}{4D}\right)^{1/n}$$

The mutual coupling between nuclear spins inside and beyond the diffusion boundary is reduced. Therefore, the nuclear spin-lattice relaxation time  $\tau_{1,I}$  depends on  $\tau_{1,e}$  to the power 1/n with  $n \approx 4$ .

$$\tau_{1,\mathrm{I}} \propto \frac{\omega_{\mathrm{I}}^2}{1 - P_{\mathrm{TE},\mathrm{e}}^2} \tau_{1,\mathrm{e}}^{1/n} \propto \frac{P_{\mathrm{TE},\mathrm{e}}^{1/n}}{1 - P_{\mathrm{TE},\mathrm{e}}^2} \frac{\omega_{\mathrm{I}}^2}{\omega_{\mathrm{e}}^{5/n}}$$



The (micro-)canonical ensemble requires a vanishing transverse component  $\bar{P}_{\perp}$  to reach an equilibrium state.

Mutual dipolar coupling enables flipflop transitions  $\mathcal{H}_{FF}$ , that lead to homogeneous broadening  $\Delta \omega_{FF}$  of the  $\omega_0$ . In the time domain the perpendicular polarization decays with the transverse spin-spin relaxation time  $\tau_2$ .



Maik Biroth, Institute of Nuclear Physics, Mainz, Germany

### **Method of Continuous Wave Polarization Measurement**

The spins are exited by a high-frequency field  $\omega_m$  around the hydrogen resonance  $\omega_0 = \gamma_I B_0$  with magnitude  $2\omega_1$ . The effective field  $\vec{\omega}^R$  in the rotating frame is constant in time.

$$\vec{\omega}_{1} = 2\omega_{1}\cos\omega_{m}t \ \vec{e}_{x} \qquad \stackrel{\Longrightarrow}{\smile} \omega_{m}t \qquad \vec{\omega}^{R} \cong \begin{pmatrix} \omega_{1} \\ 0 \\ \omega_{0} - \omega_{m} \end{pmatrix}$$

The excitation adds additional terms to the equation of motion for the polarization  $P^{R}$  in the rotating frame.

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\vec{P}^{\mathrm{R}} = \begin{pmatrix} -1/\tau_{2} & (\omega_{0} - \omega_{\mathrm{m}}) & 0 & 0\\ -(\omega_{0} - \omega_{\mathrm{m}}) & -1/\tau_{2} & \omega_{1} & 0\\ 0 & -\omega_{1} & -1/\tau_{1} & 1/\tau_{1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \vec{P}^{\mathrm{R}} \\ P_{\mathrm{TE}} \end{pmatrix} \coloneqq \vec{o}$$

The static solution yields the complex perpendicular polarization  $\overline{P}_{\perp}$  that is proportional to complex magnetization  $\overline{M}_{\perp}$  with the number of spins *N* and the gyromagnetic ratio  $\gamma$ .

$$\vec{P}^{\mathrm{R}} = \frac{P_{\mathrm{TE}}}{1 + \tau_1 \tau_2 \omega_1^2 + \tau_2^2 (\omega_0 - \omega_{\mathrm{m}})^2} \begin{pmatrix} \tau_2^2 \,\omega_1(\omega_0 - \omega_{\mathrm{m}}) \\ \tau_2 \,\omega_1 \\ 1 + \tau_2^2 (\omega_0 - \omega_{\mathrm{m}})^2 \end{pmatrix} \quad \vec{P}_{\perp} = \begin{pmatrix} P_x^{\mathrm{R}} + j \, P_y^{\mathrm{R}} \end{pmatrix} \exp j \,\omega_{\mathrm{m}} t$$
$$\vec{M}_{\perp} = N \,\gamma \, \frac{\hbar}{2} \, \vec{P}_{\perp}$$

### Signal Shape of Polarization Measurement

The NMR coil is perpendicularly oriented to the polarization coil. The spins are exited by a high-frequency field  $\omega_m$  around the hydrogen resonance  $\omega_0 = \gamma_1 B_0$  with magnitude  $2\omega_1$ .

 $E_{\leftarrow} = 2\hbar\omega_1 \cos\omega_{\rm m} t$ 

The induced spin precession adds the Lorentzian energy distribution  $\Delta E_{\leq}$  per cycle to the deflected wave.

For the a non-saturated ESR  $\omega_1 \ll 1/\sqrt{\tau_1 \tau_2}$ , the width  $\Gamma$  would equal the natural line width. In NMR, homogenous broadening leads to a Gaussian form.

The frequency integral A is directly proportional to the number of spins N in the sample and to their polarization P.

$$\Delta E_{\leftrightarrows} = A \frac{2}{\pi \Gamma} \frac{1}{1 + \left(2 \frac{\omega_0 - \omega_m}{\Gamma}\right)^2}$$

$$\Gamma = \sqrt{1 + \tau_1 \tau_2 \omega_1^2} \frac{2}{\tau_2}$$

 $\tau_1$ : spin-lattice relaxation time  $\tau_2$ : spin-spin relaxation time

$$A = -2\pi \,\Omega \,\hbar\omega_1 \times N \,P \quad \text{with} \quad \Omega = \frac{\pi \,\omega_1}{\sqrt{1 + \tau_1 \tau_2 \omega_1^2}}$$



The solid effect is the 1<sup>st</sup> order mechanism in DNP.

A hydrogen spin sample is doped with a paramagnetic free radical.  $\sigma_{\rm e} \mathbb{B}_{el} \sigma_{\rm I}$   $B_1 \cos[\omega_{\rm m} t] \sigma_{\rm e}$ A microwave field induces a coupled e-H spin flip by super-hyperfine interaction.  $\sigma_{\rm I} \mathbb{B}_{II} \sigma_{I'}$ Polarization spreads out by mutual H-H interaction. The electron spin flips back by spin-lattice relaxation.

Maik Biroth, Institute of Nuclear Physics, Mainz, Germany

If the nuclear gyromagnetic ratio is in the order of the ESR line, the polarization transfer by the solid effect is suppressed.

A hydrogen spin sample is doped with a paramagnetic free radical.



A microwave field flips an electron spin. If electron spin diffusion is fast, the polarization spreads out over the spectrum.

Polarization is transferred to the nucleon off-resonance by a triple spin flip.

Polarization spreads out by mutual H-H interaction.

$$\frac{g_{\rm e}-2}{2} = \frac{\alpha_{\rm em}}{2\pi} + \dots = 1.160 \times 10^{-3}$$



$$\frac{g_{jj-2}}{2} = \frac{\hbar\omega_{\rm m}}{2\,\mu_{\rm B}\,B_j} - 1 = \begin{pmatrix} 4.4 & & \\ & 2.9 & \\ & & 0.5 \end{pmatrix} 10^{-3}$$

The g-factor of a free electron  $g_e$  is calculatable by the QED. If free electron spins are embedded in a single-crystal, they show isotropic alignment under an applied magnetic field.

Amorphous Polystyrene is a glass, since the position of the Phenyl group is randomly distributed.

It follows, resonance condition is degenerated. The resulting g-tensor  $g_{jj}$  is anisotropic and can be diagonalized in the principal axes  $B_i$ .

Fine-structure constant  $\alpha_{\rm em} = e_0^2/4\pi\varepsilon_0 \hbar c$ , Bohr magneton  $\mu_{\rm B} = e_0 \hbar/2m_{\rm e}$ , Excitation frequency  $\omega_{\rm m} = 2\pi \times 9.36303$  GHz Hyperfine Interaction with a Spin-1 Atom



$$\mathbb{B} \approx -\frac{\mu_0}{4\pi} \frac{\Gamma_e}{r^3} \left( I_3 - 3\frac{\vec{r}\,\vec{r}}{r^2} \right)$$
$$= \begin{pmatrix} 0.5 \\ 0.3 \\ 3.2 \end{pmatrix} \text{mT}$$

The radical 4-Oxo-TEMPO offers an unpaired electron spin  $\vec{r}_e$  at the nitroxide group, that is hyperfine-coupled to the spin-1 nitrogen  $\vec{r}_I$  in the distance  $\vec{r} = \vec{r}_I - \vec{r}_e$ .

The hyperfine Hamiltonian  $\mathcal{H}_{HF}$  can be described as an dipolar interaction using the symmetric magnetic field tensor  $\mathbb{B}$ .

$$\mathcal{H}_{\rm HF} = -\vec{\sigma}_{\rm e} \ \hbar \mathbb{B} \ \gamma_{\rm I} \vec{\sigma}_{\rm I} \approx -m_{\rm I} \ \sigma_{{\rm e},z} \ \hbar \mathbb{B}_{zz} \ \gamma_{\rm I}$$

Its strong *zz*-component leads to characteristic discontinuities in the *z*-axis with the quantum numbers  $m_{\rm I} \in [-1,0,+1]$  to the <sup>14</sup>N Eigenstates  $|m_{\rm I}\rangle$ .

Gyromagnetic ratio tensor  $\Gamma_j = g_{jj} \mu_{\rm B}/\hbar$ , Bohr magneton  $\mu_{\rm B} = e_0 \hbar/2m_{\rm e}$ , Excitation frequency  $\omega_{\rm ESR} = \Gamma_j B_j = 2\pi \times 9.36303 \text{ GHz}$ 



The maximum achievable polarization  $P_{\infty}$  depends on the asymmetry of the overlapping spectrum contributions, reduced by the factor  $\xi$ .

Maximum Achievable Polarization

$$P_{\infty} = P_{\text{TE,e}} \frac{S_e[\omega_{\text{m}} + \omega_{\text{H}}] - S_e[\omega_{\text{m}} - \omega_{\text{H}}]}{S_e[\omega_{\text{m}} + \omega_{\text{H}}] + S_e[\omega_{\text{m}} - \omega_{\text{H}}] + \xi}$$



## Heat Input by Micro Wave Irradiation

The cryostat has the cooling power  $\dot{Q}_c = \alpha T^2$ ,  $\alpha = -\dot{n}_{3\text{He}} \left(\frac{\Delta S}{T}\right) = 0.44 \,\mu\text{W mK}^{-2}$ . The temperature  $T_m$  is expected to depend on the square root of the  $\mu\text{Wave}$  power  $\mathbb{P}_m$ . The measured temperature  $\tilde{T}_m$  shows a dependence of the power 1/n with n = 3.4 due to a bolometric voltage drop over the probe.

$$T_{\rm m} = \sqrt{T_0^2 + \mathbb{P}_{\rm m}/\alpha}$$

 $\tilde{T}_{\rm m} = (T_0^n + \mathbb{P}_{\rm m}/\tilde{\alpha})^{1/n}$ 



Power-off temperature  $T_0 = 60 \text{ mK}$ , conversion factor  $\tilde{\alpha} = 61.7 \text{ mW K}^n$ 

Maik Biroth, Institute of Nuclear Physics, Mainz, Germany

## Appendix – Energy Expansion of the Hamiltonian

Maik Biroth, Institute of Nuclear Physics, Mainz, Germany



The 0<sup>th</sup> order Hamiltonian describes the scattering of a wave with the electromagnetic 4-potential  $A^{\mu} = (A_0, \vec{A})$  off a particle with charge  $e_0$  and mass m, resulting in the covariant momentum  $\vec{\pi}$ :

$$\mathscr{H}_{\rm eff}^{(0)} = e_0 A_0 + \frac{1}{2m} \vec{\pi}^2 \qquad \qquad \vec{\pi} = \vec{p} - e_0 \vec{A}$$

The measured cross-section is given by the Thomson crosssection, only depending on the scattering angle  $\theta$ :

$$\left(\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}\right)_{\text{Thomson}} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{e_0^2}{m}\right)^2 (1 + \cos^2\theta)$$

## Powell Cross-section: 1th Order Energy Expansion

The 1<sup>th</sup> order Hamiltonian describes the scattering off a particle with anomalous magnetic moment  $\kappa$  and spin  $\vec{\sigma}$ . Therein,  $\vec{E} = -\vec{\nabla} A_0 - \vec{A}$  is the electrical and  $\vec{H} = \vec{\nabla} \times \vec{A}$  the magnetic field.

$$\mathscr{H}_{\rm eff}^{(1)} = -\frac{e_0(1+\kappa)}{2\,m}\,\vec{\sigma}\cdot\vec{H} - \frac{e_0(1+2\,\kappa)}{8\,m^2}\,\vec{\sigma}\cdot\left(\vec{E}\times\vec{\pi}\,-\,\vec{\pi}\times\vec{E}\right)$$

The corresponding Powell cross-section is the Born contribution.

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega} \end{pmatrix}_{\text{Born}} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{e_0^2}{m} \right)^2 \left( \frac{\omega'}{\omega} \right)^2 \left( \frac{\omega}{\omega'} + \frac{\omega'}{\omega} - \sin^2 \theta \right) = \text{Klein-Nishina cross-section}$$

$$+ \kappa \frac{\omega \omega'}{m^2} 2 \left( 1 - \cos \theta \right)^2 + \kappa^2 \frac{\omega \omega'}{m^2} \left\{ 4 \left( 1 - \cos \theta \right) + \frac{1}{2} (1 - \cos \theta)^2 \right\}$$

$$+ \kappa^3 \frac{\omega \omega'}{m^2} \left\{ 2 \left( 1 - \cos \theta \right) + (1 - \cos \theta)^2 \right\} + \kappa^4 \frac{\omega \omega'}{m^2} \left\{ 1 + \frac{1}{2} (1 - \cos \theta)^2 \right\}$$

The 2<sup>th</sup> order Hamiltonian describes the response of the electric and magnetic density of the nucleon to static fields by the scalar polarizabilities, resulting in an electric  $\vec{\varepsilon} = 4\pi \alpha_{E1} \vec{E}$  and magnetic  $\vec{\mu} = 4\pi \beta_{M1} \vec{H}$  dipole moment.

Low Energy Expansion: 2<sup>nd</sup> Order Energy Expansion

$$\mathscr{H}_{\rm eff}^{(2)} = -4 \pi \left(\frac{1}{2} \alpha_{\rm E1} \vec{E}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \beta_{\rm M1} \vec{H}^2\right)$$

The polarized differential cross-section can be given in the Low Energy Expansion:

$$\left(\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}\right)_{\rm LEX} = \left(\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}\right)_{\rm Born} - \omega\omega' \left(\frac{\omega'}{\omega}\right)^2 \frac{e_0^2}{m} \left(\frac{\alpha_{\rm E1} + \beta_{\rm M1}}{2} \left(1 + \cos\theta\right)^2 + \frac{\alpha_{\rm E1} - \beta_{\rm M1}}{2} \left(1 - \cos\theta\right)^2\right)$$
The 3<sup>th</sup> order Hamiltonian depends on the dynamics of the nucleon spin  $\vec{\sigma}$  interacting with the fields  $\vec{E}$  and  $\vec{H}$  of the scattering photon.

The Spin Polarizabilities: 3rd Order Energy Expansion

$$\mathscr{G}_{\text{eff}}^{(3)} = -4\pi \left(\frac{1}{2}\gamma_{\text{E1E1}}\vec{\sigma}\cdot\left(\vec{E}\times\dot{\vec{E}}\right)\right) + \frac{1}{2}\gamma_{\text{M1M1}}\vec{\sigma}\cdot\left(\vec{H}\times\dot{\vec{H}}\right) \\ -\frac{1}{2}\gamma_{\text{M1E2}}\sigma_{i}H_{j}\left(\nabla_{i}E_{j}+\nabla_{j}E_{i}\right) + \frac{1}{2}\gamma_{\text{E1M2}}\sigma_{i}E_{j}\left(\nabla_{i}H_{j}+\nabla_{j}H_{i}\right)\right)$$

The spin polarizabilities are proportional to the direction and magnitude of the excited spin precession.

Multipole expansionEl-photonMl-photonChange in parity
$$P_f = P_i (-1)^l$$
 $P_f = P_i (-1)^{l+1}$ Change in angular momentum $|j_i - j_f| \le l \le j_i + j_f$ Transition probability $W_{fi} = \frac{1}{\tau} \propto E_{\gamma}^{2l+1}$ 



H.W. Grießhammer, et al., Eur. Phys. J. A 54 (2018) 37

## Forward and Backward Spin Polarizabilities

The forward and backward  $\gamma_0, \gamma_{\pi}$  spin polarizabilities shrink the parameter space, since they are linear combinations of  $\gamma_{E1E1}, \gamma_{M1M1}, \gamma_{E1M2}, \gamma_{M1E2}$ .

Forward spin polarizability (J. Ahrens 2001, H. Dutz 2003)

 $\gamma_0 = -\gamma_{E1E1} - \gamma_{M1M1} - \gamma_{E1M2} - \gamma_{M1E2} = -\frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int_0^\infty d\omega \; \frac{\sigma_{3/2} - \sigma_{1/2}}{\omega^3} \quad \begin{array}{l} \text{(Gerasimov-Drell-Hearn sum rule)} \\ \text{Hearn sum rule)} \end{array}$ 

Backward spin polarizability (M. Camen 2002, dispersion analysis of Compton  $\theta_{\gamma}^{CM} = 135^{\circ}$ )

 $\gamma_{\pi} = \gamma_{\pi}^{\pi P} + \gamma_{\pi}^{disp}$   $\gamma_{\pi}^{disp} = -\gamma_{E1E1} + \gamma_{M1M1} - \gamma_{E1M2} + \gamma_{M1E2}$  (dispersive contribution)

	Prediction		Evporimont
	HDPV	ΒχΡΤ	Experiment
$\gamma_0$	-0.8	-1.0	-1.01 ± 0.08 ± 0.10
$\gamma_{\pi}^{\mathrm{disp}}$	9.4	7.2	8.0 ± 1.8

All values are in units of  $10^{-4}$  fm<sup>4</sup>

 $\gamma_{\pi} = -38.7 \times 10^{-4} \text{ fm}^4$  without subtraction of the pion-pole  $\gamma_{\pi}^{\pi P} = -46.7 \times 10^{-4} \text{ fm}^4$ 

Pion Pole Contribution to the Cross-section



For larger angles and energies  $\omega \ge 50$  MeV, a pole in the Mandelstam *t*-channel at the neutral pion mass  $m_{\pi}$ becomes dominant. This leads to the the pion-pole contribution to the Compton scattering cross-section.

$$\left(\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}\right)_{\pi P} = \frac{2B(B+E)}{m_{\pi}^2} \frac{\omega\omega'}{m^2} \left(\frac{\omega'}{\omega}\right)^2 (1-\cos\theta)$$
$$B = \frac{m_{\pi}}{16\pi} g_{\pi NN} F_{\pi\gamma\gamma} \frac{t}{m_{\pi}^2 - t}$$
$$E = \frac{e_0^2}{m} \frac{m_{\pi}}{2} \left(\kappa^2 + 2\kappa + (1+\kappa) \left(1-\cos\theta\right)\right)$$

### Appendix – T-matrix and Polarizabilities

mbiroth@uni-mainz.de

# (Double-)Polarized Transition Matrix

The (double-)polarized *T*-matrix can be decomposed in eight independent functions  $W_{ij}$ . Six of these are relevant below the pion threshold.

The photon polarization is expressed by the Stokes vector  $\vec{\xi}$  and the nucleon polarization by the four-vector  $S^{\mu}$ .

$$|T|^{2} = W_{00} + \xi_{3}W_{03} + K_{\mu}S^{\mu}(\xi_{1}W_{11} + \xi_{2}W_{12}) + Q_{\mu}S^{\mu}(\xi_{1}W_{21} + \xi_{2}W_{22}) + \cdots$$

with the four-momenta  $Q = -(p^{\gamma 0}-p^{\gamma})/2$  and  $K = (p^{\gamma 0}+p^{\gamma})/2$ 

Circular polarization: 
$$|T|_{circ}^2/W_{00} = 1 + \xi_2 \frac{K_\mu S^\mu W_{12} + Q_\mu S^\mu W_{22}}{W_{00}}$$

Linear polarization: 
$$|T|_{\text{lin}}^2/W_{00} = 1 + \xi_1 \frac{K_\mu S^\mu W_{11} + Q_\mu S^\mu W_{21}}{W_{00}} + \xi_3 \frac{W_{03}}{W_{00}}$$

The eight functions  $W_{ij}$  can be related to six structure functions  $A_i$  fulfilling each a unsubtracted dispersion relation.

Stokes vector  $\vec{\xi}$ : linear polarization  $\xi_3 = \pm 1$  (para. / perp.), or  $\xi_1 = \pm 1$  ( $\pm 45^\circ$ ), circular polarization  $\xi_2 = \pm 1$  (right / left handed) xz-scattering plane:  $Q^{\mu} = -\frac{1}{2} (E_{\gamma 0} - E_{\gamma} - E_{\gamma} \sin \theta_{\gamma} - 0 - E_{\gamma} \cos \theta_{\gamma})^T$  and  $K^{\mu} = \frac{1}{2} (E_{\gamma 0} + E_{\gamma} - E_{\gamma} \sin \theta_{\gamma} - 0 - E_{\gamma} \cos \theta_{\gamma})^T$ 

The six independent functions can be determined by the (double-)polarized Compton asymmetries, whereby  $\begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon_{\parallel} & \varepsilon_{\perp} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} E_{\gamma}/E_{\gamma 0} \end{pmatrix} (\cos \theta_{\gamma} & \sin \theta_{\gamma})$  was used.

(Double-)Polarized Compton Asymmetries

Linear / circular beam (i = 1, 2), transverse target:

$$\vec{\xi} = \pm \vec{e}_i , S^{\mu} = \pm \vec{e}_x$$
  $\Sigma_{ix} = \frac{\sigma_{\uparrow} - \sigma_{\downarrow}}{\sigma_{\uparrow} + \sigma_{\downarrow}} = -\frac{E_{\gamma 0}}{2 W_{00}} \varepsilon_{\perp} (W_{1i} + W_{2i})$ 

Linear / circular beam (i = 1, 2), longitudinal target:

$$\vec{\xi} = \pm \vec{e}_i , S^{\mu} = \pm \vec{e}_z \qquad \qquad \Sigma_{iz} = \frac{\sigma_{\rightarrow} - \sigma_{\leftarrow}}{\sigma_{\rightarrow} + \sigma_{\leftarrow}} = -\frac{E_{\gamma 0}}{2 W_{00}} \varepsilon_{\parallel} (W_{1i} + W_{2i}) - \frac{E_{\gamma 0}}{2 W_{00}} (W_{1i} - W_{2i})$$

$$\frac{1}{W_{00}} \begin{pmatrix} W_{1i} \\ W_{2i} \end{pmatrix} = -\frac{1}{E_{\gamma 0}} \begin{pmatrix} (1 - \varepsilon_{\parallel})/\varepsilon_{\perp} & 1 \\ (1 + \varepsilon_{\parallel})/\varepsilon_{\perp} & -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \Sigma_{ix} \\ \Sigma_{iz} \end{pmatrix}$$

Linear beam, unpolarized target:

$$\vec{\xi} = \pm \vec{e}_3$$
,  $S^{\mu} = 0$   $\Sigma_3 = \frac{\sigma_{\parallel} - \sigma_{\perp}}{\sigma_{\parallel} + \sigma_{\perp}} = \frac{W_{03}}{W_{00}}$ 

Maik Biroth, Institute of Nuclear Physics, Mainz, Germany

mbiroth@uni-mainz.de



Splitting  $A = \operatorname{Re} A[\nu] + i \operatorname{Im} A[\nu]$  in its real an imaginary part leads to the dispersion relations.

$$A[\nu] = \frac{1}{\pi} \mathcal{P} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\nu' \frac{\operatorname{Im} A[\nu']}{\nu' - \nu} + i \left( -\frac{1}{\pi} \mathcal{P} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\nu' \frac{\operatorname{Re} A[\nu']}{\nu' - \nu} \right)$$

### **Crossing-symmetric Dispersion Relations**

The dispersion integrals can be expanded between the poles  $\pm v_{\pi}$ , exemplary:

$$\operatorname{Re} A[\nu] = \frac{1}{\pi} \mathcal{P} \int_{-\infty}^{-\nu_{\pi}} d\nu' \frac{\operatorname{Im} A[\nu']}{\nu' - \nu} + \frac{1}{\pi} \mathcal{P} \int_{-\nu_{\pi}}^{\nu_{\pi}} d\nu' \frac{\operatorname{Im} A[\nu']}{\nu' - \nu} + \frac{1}{\pi} \mathcal{P} \int_{\nu_{\pi}}^{\infty} d\nu' \frac{\operatorname{Im} A[\nu']}{\nu' - \nu}$$

In case of crossing symmetry  $A[\nu] \equiv A^*[-\nu]$ , the integral is positive in  $\nu$ .

$$\operatorname{Re} A[\nu] = \frac{1}{\pi} \mathcal{P} \int_{\nu_{\pi}}^{\infty} d\nu' \frac{\operatorname{Im} A[\nu']}{\nu' + \nu} + \frac{1}{\pi} \mathcal{P} \int_{\nu_{\pi}}^{\infty} d\nu' \frac{\operatorname{Im} A[\nu']}{\nu' - \nu} = \frac{2}{\pi} \mathcal{P} \int_{\nu_{\pi}}^{\infty} d\nu' \frac{\nu' \operatorname{Im} A[\nu']}{\nu'^2 - \nu^2}$$

The same appears for the corresponding dispersion relation.

$$\operatorname{Im} A[\nu] = -\frac{2\nu}{\pi} \mathcal{P} \int_{\nu_{\pi}}^{\infty} d\nu' \frac{\operatorname{Re} A[\nu']}{\nu'^{2} - \nu^{2}}$$

## **Transition Matrix from Dispersion Relations**

The Compton *T*-matrix consists of six structure functions  $A_i$ , each fulfills a unsubtracted dispersion relation at fixed-*t* with the nucleon pole contribution of the Born terms  $A_i^{\rm B}$ . A subtraction at  $\nu = 0$  leads to convergence for all  $A_i$ .

$$\operatorname{Re} A_{i} = A_{i}^{\mathrm{B}} + \frac{2}{\pi} \mathcal{P} \int_{\nu_{\pi}}^{\infty} \mathrm{d}\nu' \, \frac{\nu' \operatorname{Im}_{s} A_{i}}{\nu'^{2} - \nu^{2}} = A_{i}^{\mathrm{B}} + \left(A_{i} - A_{i}^{\mathrm{B}}\right)_{\nu=0} + \frac{2\nu^{2}}{\pi} \, \mathcal{P} \int_{\nu_{\pi}}^{\infty} \mathrm{d}\nu' \, \frac{\operatorname{Im}_{s} A_{i}}{\nu'(\nu'^{2} - \nu^{2})}$$

The constants  $a_i$  are the projections of the subtraction to zero-momentum transfer  $t \rightarrow 0$ :

$$(A_i - A_i^{\rm B})_{\nu=0} = \overbrace{(A_i - A_i^{\rm B})_{\nu=0, t=0}}^{\frac{\text{def}}{=} a_i} + \cdots$$

The model dependence of extracting the (spin-)polarizabilities vanishes for  $t \rightarrow 0$  since they are expressed as linear combinations of  $a_i$ .

$$\alpha_{E1} = -(a_1 + a_3 + a_6)/4\pi \qquad \beta_{M1} = (a_1 - a_3 - a_6)/4\pi$$

$$\gamma_{E1E1} = (a_2 - a_4 + 2a_5 + a_6)/8\pi m_N \qquad \gamma_{M1M1} = -(a_2 + a_4 + 2a_5 - a_6)/8\pi m_N$$

$$\gamma_{E1M2} = (a_2 - a_4 - a_6)/8\pi m_N \qquad \gamma_{M1E2} = -(a_2 + a_4 + a_6)/8\pi m_N$$

$$\tau_{M1E2} = -(a_2 + a_4 + a_6)/8\pi m_N$$

Mandelstam variable  $s = (p^{\gamma_0} + p^{N_0})^2$ ,  $t = (p^{\gamma_0} - p^{\gamma})^2$ ,  $u = (p^{\gamma_0} - p^N)^2$ , Crossing-symmetric variable  $v = (s - u)/4m = (E_{\gamma_0} + E_{\gamma})/2$ See: B.Pasquini, D. Drechsel, M. Vanderhaeghen, Phys. Rev. C 76 (2007) 015203, R.E. Prange, Phys. Rev. 110 (1958) 240-252

Maik Biroth, Institute of Nuclear Physics, Mainz, Germany

# Appendix - Partial Wave Analysis

mbiroth@uni-mainz.de



The incoming wave is decomposed in partial waves with angular momentum *l*. Assuming the nucleon potential  $V \equiv V[|\vec{r}|]$  as spherically symmetric, the asymptotic final state is a spherical wave  $\psi'$  with the scattering amplitude *F*.

$$\psi = e^{i k r} = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} (2l+1) i^{l} j_{l}[k r'] P_{l}[\cos \Theta] \qquad \qquad \psi' = \frac{F[k, k', \Theta]}{r'} e^{i k r'}$$

Spherical Bessel  $j_l[x] = (-x)^l (1/x \ \partial_x)^l \sin x/x$ , Legendre polynomial  $P_l^m[x] = 1/2^l l! (-\sqrt{1-x^2})^m \ \partial_x^{l+m} (x^2-1)^l$ Spherical harmonics  $Y_l^m[\Theta, \varphi] \propto e^{i \ m\varphi} P_l^m[\cos \Theta]$ 

Each outgoing partial wave is modified by scattering due to the partial wave amplitude  $f_l$  with the *S*-matrix element  $S_l = e^{2i \delta_l}$  and the scattering phase  $\delta_l$ .

$$F[k, k', \Theta] = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} (2l+1) f_l[k, k'] \mathcal{L}_l[\cos \Theta] \qquad f_l[k, k'] = \frac{1}{k} \frac{S_l - 1}{2i} = \frac{1}{k} e^{i\delta_l} \sin \delta_l$$

#### Virtual state

For  $\delta_0$  one obtains neutral scattering off a virtual state.

$$\delta_0 = -\tan^{-1}\lambda \, k'$$

 $S_0$  shows a pole on the negative imaginary axis for a wave number  $k' = i/\lambda$  equal to one over the scattering length  $\lambda$ .

$$S_0 = -\frac{k' + i/\lambda}{k' - i/\lambda}$$

Example: Coherent scattering.

Resonance with angular momentum l

 $\delta_l$  leads to a Breit-Wigner form of the differential cross-section if the background phase shift  $\delta_{l,0}$  vanishes.

$$\delta_l = \delta_{l,0} + \tan^{-1} \frac{\Gamma_l/2}{E_l - E'}$$

 $S_l$  shows a pole for the resonance condition  $E' \equiv E_l - i \Gamma_l/2$ .

$$S_l = e^{2i \delta_{l,0}} \frac{E' - (E_l + i \Gamma_l/2)}{E' - (E_l - i \Gamma_l/2)}$$

The lifetime  $\tau_l$  is set by the width  $\Gamma_l$ .

$$\tau_{l} = -\hbar \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}E'} \delta_{l} = \frac{2 \hbar}{\Gamma_{l}} \left( 1 + \left(\frac{E' - E_{l}}{\Gamma_{l} / 2}\right)^{2} \right)^{-1}$$

Differential and Total Cross-section in PV

The differential cross-section  $d\sigma/d\Omega$  is sensitive to interferences between partial waves.

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma}{\mathrm{d}\Omega}[k,\Theta] = |F[k,k',\Theta]|^2$$

The total cross-section  $\sigma$  is obtained by integration over the solid angle  $d\Omega$  and simplifies due to the orthogonality  $\int d\Omega P_l P_l \equiv 4\pi \, \delta_{ll'}/(2l+1)$  of the Legendre polynomials. Interferences do not occur since coherence of partial waves is lost.

$$\sigma[k,k'] = 4\pi \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} (2l+1) |f_l[k,k']|^2 = \frac{4\pi}{k^2} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} (2l+1) \sin^2 \delta_l$$

The imaginary part of the forward scattering amplitude is related to the total crosssection by the optical theorem and implies particle conservation.

$$\frac{k}{4\pi} \sigma[k,k'] = \operatorname{Im} F[k,k',0] = \frac{1}{k} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} (2l+1) \sin^2 \delta_l$$