

CHARACTERIZATION AND X-RAY IRRADIATION OF DEPLETED P-CHANNEL FET (DEPFET) TEST STRUCTURES

BACHELOR KOLLOQUIUM

CEDRIC HÜBBEL

19. SEPTEMBER 2024





- DEPFET-wafers are made of handle wafer + sensor wafer
 - Wafers are bonded by two companies: IceMOS technology and Shin-Etsu Co.
- With high TID increased current at the backside of the DEPFET-modules
 - Currents are outside the sensitive area
- 2 possible reasons for the backside currents:
 - Radiation hardness of the burried SiO₂
 - State of the guard-rings



[6]



- Higher Backside currents in IceMOS- compared to Shin-Etsu-modules in PXD
- Why do we need MOSFET-structures?
 - Changing the voltage at the handle wafer
 - Simplification of the structure
- IV-Gate measurements → testing radiation hardness of the buried oxide and at the interface
- Gated diode IV \rightarrow testing state of the guard-rings





MOSFET STRUCTURE





MOSFET-DUT

- Oxide layer on the sensor wafer is grown by HLL
- Handle wafer is bonded to sensor wafer by ShinEtsu Chemical Co. and IceMOS Technology
- Oxide thickness:

 $d_{\text{Sh,Ox}} = (350.0 \pm 17.5) \text{ nm}$ $d_{\text{Ice,Ox}} = (560 \pm 28) \text{ nm}$

• Channel length:

 $Z = (2.0770 \pm 0.0012) \,\mathrm{mm}$





Theory and Context





- The enhancement mode p-channel MOSFET is operated by applying a negative Gate voltage and a Voltage between Source and Drain
- Electrons are pushed away from the interface, while holes can accumulate

\rightarrow conductive channel

• By applying a higher drain voltage the channel is getting deformed up to a pinch-off point, where the drain current saturates



- Regions are seperated via the Pinch-off point at $V_{DS} = V_G V_{th}$
- Saturation region at $V_D < V_G V_{th}$ and Linear/Ohmic region at $V_D >> V_G V_D$

$$I_{\rm D} = \frac{Z}{L} \mu_p C_{ox} \left(V_G - V_{\rm th} - \frac{V_D}{2} \right) V_D \quad [3]$$
$$\mu_{\rm eff} \simeq g_{\rm D} L / Z C_{\rm Ox} \left(V_{\rm G} - V_{\rm T} \right) \quad [4]$$

• In IV-Gate measurement the field mobility is calculated using the the transconductance

$$g_m = \frac{\partial I_D}{\partial V_g}, \qquad \mu_{\text{FE}} = g_m \frac{L}{ZC_{\text{OX}}V_D}$$
 [4]

• Threshold and mobility are effected by radiation damage





• Damage is categorized into NIEL(Non-Ionizing Energy Loss) damage and ionization damage

 NIEL damage negligible in Belle II PXD → focus on ionization damage (from X-rays)



- Ionization damage in MOSFETs → oxide and interface traps
 - Threshold shift $\Delta V_{\text{th}}(D) = V_{\text{th}}(D) V_{\text{th}}(D = 0 \text{ Gy}) = \Delta V_{\text{it}} + \Delta V_{\text{ot}}$
 - Mobility degradation

 $D = \frac{E}{m}$; $1 \text{ Gy} = 1 \frac{\text{J}}{\text{kg}}$



Measurement Setup













Measurement Procedure



- Two step calibration for the X-ray chamber with a diode mounted to the motor stage
 - 1. Step: Measure the alignment laser accuracy in order to account for possible shifts in the alignment
 - 2.Step: Measure the beam profile to determine the TID and the positioning in the 90% intensity area



X-RAY TUBE CALIBRATION









MEASUREMENT

- For each of the 18 irradiation steps 5 measurements were conducted
- Irradiation was done with a biasing of $V_G = 40V$
- The TID reached was approximatley 106 kGy
- Main measurements:
 - 1. Gated diode measurement
 - -Study the state of the guard-rings
 - ° 2. IV-Gate measurement
 - Determining the threshold voltage V_{th} and enabling mobility analysis via the field effect mobility μ_{FE}
 - 3.IV-Drain measurement:
 - Allowing for further effective mobility $\mu_{\it eff}$ analysis
 - Measurement was conducted with a gate overdrive of 4 V and 7 V via the threshold estimation of the IV-Gate measurement $V_G = V_{th} 4V$; $V_G = V_{th} 7V$



V72 VT1

Vas



MOSFET Test-Structure Characterization



IV-Gate Measurement

THRESHOLD ANALYSIS





Ip

gm

 $\chi^2_{red, gm} = 2.977$

model extrapolation

 $\chi^2_{red, baseline} = 0.248$

model extrapolation
V_{TH} = (-0.990 ± 0.020) V

- Threshold voltage extracted out of the intersection between a baseline and a linear fit
- The linear fit is determined for a χ^2 -limit



MOBILITYANALYSIS



- Observing the excpected mobility degradation due to a higher transverse electric field
- Reference measurement showing mobilities of:

$$\mu_{\text{FE,Fit,IceMOS}} = (359 \pm 19) \frac{\text{cm}^2}{(\text{s} \cdot \text{V})};$$

$$\mu_{\text{FE,Fit,ShinEtsu}} = (304 \pm 17) \frac{\text{cm}^2}{(\text{s} \cdot \text{V})}$$
$$\mu_p(Si) = 500 \text{ cm}^2/\text{Vs}$$



- Was conducted to confirm the mobility behavior from the IV-Gate measurement
- The fit was performed via the following fit function:

$$f(x) = -2bc \cdot x + b \cdot x^2$$
, $c = V_{\rm G} - V_{\rm th}$, $b = \frac{Z}{2L} \mu_{\rm eff} C_{\rm Ox}$

• Referring to:

g to:
$$I_{\rm D} = \frac{Z}{L} \mu_p C_{ox} \left(V_G - V_{\rm th} - \frac{V_D}{2} \right) V_D$$



IV-DRAIN MEASUREMENT



Devices	Gate Overdrive	E (MV/cm)	$\mu_{\text{eff}} (\text{cm}^2/\text{V}\cdot\text{s})$	$\mu_{\rm FE} ({\rm cm}^2/{\rm V}\cdot{\rm s})$
IceMOS_W01_06	-4 V	0.071 ± 0.003	318 ± 16	350 ± 10
IceMOS_W01_06	-7 V	0.125 ± 0.006	293 ± 15	559 ± 19
ShinEtsu_W04_02	-4 V	0.114 ± 0.005	290 ± 15	304 ± 17
ShinEtsu_W04_02	-7 V	0.200 ± 0.010	243 ± 12	504±17



- The following behavior can be observed:
 - Mobility decreases with higher gate voltages and is generally lower for the ShinEtsu-DUT
 - Reasons could be a difference in the transverse electric field
 - Check if the mobility is comparable for similar electric field

Devices	Gate Overdrive	$E_{\rm x}$ (MV/cm)	$\frac{\mu_{\rm eff}^{\rm Ice}(-7)}{\mu_{\rm eff}^{\rm Shin}(-4)}$	$\frac{E_{\rm x}^{\rm Ice}(-7)}{E_{\rm x}^{\rm Shin}(-4)}$	$\frac{\mu_{\text{FE}}^{\text{Ice}}}{\mu_{\text{FE}}^{\text{Shin}}}$
IceMOS_W01_06	-7 V	0.125 ± 0.006	1.01 ± 0.11	1.10 ± 0.10	1.18 ± 0.12
ShinEtsu_W04_02	-4 V	0.114 ± 0.005	1.01 ± 0.11		

 \rightarrow change is primary caused by the difference in the transverse electric field



TID Effects



TID THRESHOLD EFFECTS

- The expectation was a threshold shift under TID that was caused by oxide and interface traps
- Below a TID of 1kGy the main contributing factor for the threshold shift should oxide traps
 - Less time for interface traps to built up
 - →IceMOS-DUT is singnificantly more radiation hard

$$\frac{F_{\rm t}^{\rm Shin}}{F_{\rm t}^{\rm Ice}} = \frac{V_{OT}^{Shin}}{V_{OT}^{Ice}} \cdot \frac{d_{\rm Ice}^2}{d_{\rm Shin}^2} \approx 25.86 \pm 3.66 \qquad \Delta V_{OT} = -3.8 \times 10^{-8} d_{ox}^2 DF(E) F_t \ [8]$$







- Overall saturation trend due to limited oxide trap density
- ShinEtsu-DUT is more radiation hard due to a thinner oxide is not confirmed→ Bonding proccess is more relevant





• Expectation:

 Mobility decreases with higher TID because the amount of interface traps increases

 \rightarrow more scattering centers for the charge carriers

What we saw:

- Rapid increase for mobility in both devices for D<0.1kGy
- Fast decrease in the case of the IceMOS-DUT
- Slow decrease for the ShinEtsu-DUT



• Notable is the untypically high mobility compared to the intrinsic hole mobility of silicon

 $\mu_{\rm FE,max}^{\rm Shin} = (1.16 \pm 0.06) \cdot 10^3 \frac{\rm cm^2}{\rm s\cdot V} \text{ and } \mu_{\rm FE,max}^{\rm Ice} = (1.18 \pm 0.06) \cdot 10^3 \frac{\rm cm^2}{\rm s\cdot V} \qquad \mu_p(Si) = 500 \text{ cm}^2/\text{Vs}$

• This enhancement is only seen for irradiation with a biasing of $V_G = V_B = 40V$









- Speculations for the occurrence of the enhanced mobility:
 - Passivate preexisting traps over the first irradiation period
 - Induced strain during the manufacturing process
 - Growth of additional oxide for the IceMOS-DUT, creating potentially two additional internal interfaces in the silicon dioxide affecting charge traps in the oxide



Conclusion and Outlook



CONCLUSION AND OUTLOOK

- IceMOS-DUT is more radiation hard than the ShinEtsu-DUT with a factor of approximately 25
- Radiation hardness is strongly dependent on the bonding process
- Unexpected mobility enhancement for both devices
- Possibilities for further investigations:
 - Observe if the mobility enhancement persists under operation and annealing at room temperature
 - Further analysis of the gated diode measurement
 - Conduct measurements for commonly used p-channel MOSFETs



THANK YOU FOR YOUR ATTENTION!



BIBLIOGRAPHY

- [1] Harrison Schreeck, Commissioning and first data taking experience with the Belle II pixel vertex detector, PhD thesis: Georg-August University School of Science, 2020, Url: http://dx.doi.org/10.53846/goediss-8060
- [2] N. W. Hermann Kolanoski, Particle Detectors: Fundamentals and Applications, Oxford University Press, 2020
- [3] U. Tietze, C. Schenk and E. Gamm, Halbleiter-Schaltungstechnik, 14th ed., Springer-Verlag GmbH, 2012, isbn: 9783642310256
- [4] J. Kang, D. Schroder and A. Alvarez, Effective and field-effect mobilities in Si MOSFETs, Solid-State Electronics 32 (1989) 679, issn: 0038-1101, url: https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/0038110189901494
- [5] Felix Müller, Characterization and Optimization of the Prototype DEPFET Modules for the Belle II Pixel Vertex Detector, PhD thesis: Ludwig-Maximilians–Universität München, 2017, url: https://edoc.ub.uni-muenchen.de/21071/
- [6] G. Giakoustidis, Personal conversation with Georgios Giakoustidis, Personal communication, 2024
- [7] Tarek Darwish, Magdy Bayoumi, The Electrical Engineering Handbook, Academic Press, 2005, ISBN 9780121709600
- [8] J. Srour and J. McGarrity, Radiation effects on microelectronics in space, Proceedings of the IEEE 76 (1988) 1443
- [9] Goran S. Ristić, Marko Andjelković, Aleksandar B. Jakšić, The behavior of fixed and switching oxide traps of RADFETs during irradiation up to high absorbed doses, Applied Radiation and Isotopes, Volume 102, 2015, Pages 29-34, ISSN 0969-8043, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apradiso.2015.04.009.



- The threshold voltage generally describes the voltage, which is needed to switch the MOSFET from an off-state to an on-state
- In the case of a MOSFET the threshold voltage can be explained via:

$$V_{\rm th} = V_{\rm FB} + 2\psi_{\rm B} + \frac{\sqrt{2\epsilon_{\rm S}qN_A(2\psi_{\rm B} - V_{\rm B})}}{C_{\rm Ox}} \qquad [4]$$

- Meaning a dependence on the voltage applied to the Bulk
 - Threshold voltge due to bulk voltage:

$$\Delta V_T(V_B) = V_T(V_B) - V_T(V_B = 0) = \frac{\sqrt{2\varepsilon_s q N_A}}{C_{ox}} (\sqrt{2\psi_B - V_B} - \sqrt{2\psi_B}) \quad [4]$$

• This also shows that for a thicker oxide we exspect a smaller threshold shift

$$C_{\text{Ox}} = \frac{\epsilon_{\text{Ox}}}{d_{\text{Ox}}}$$



BACKUP: HIGH MOBILITY PLOT





BACKUP: ALUMINUMFILTER

- Aluminumfilter is used to filter low energetic parts of the spectrum
- This area will not effect the burried oxide
 - Energy mostly absorbed in the upper layer of the MOSFET



- The Source Measuring Unit is used to source either voltage or current while measuring the other quantity
- Measured with repeating instead of moving averaging filter with 10 averaging points
- Units need to heat up for round 1 hour







 To assemble the setup and connect the allow us to connect the SMUs via triax connectors the DUT-Box was used

• Dut-Box is a simple shielded Metal casing, which allows one device to be placed in the socket





- In order to deplete the sensor a punch-through contact is used
 - The punch-through contact creates an conductive path to the backside

 \rightarrow depleting the substrate

 In addition to the punchthrough contact bulk contacts are used in order to also deplete the sides od the sensor





- The PxD in Belle 2 is based on a DEPFET-Design
 - In comparison to a MOSFET the DEPFET is designed with an additional internal gate allowing for internal amplification
 - Via the clear implant the internal gate can be cleared
 - ° Over the pixel matrix this process is done via a rolling shutter





- Irradiation was done with a biasing of $V_{G} = 40V$
- The TID reached was approximatley 106 kGy
 - Comparable to earlier radiation campaigns
 - Expected TID over the lifetime of PXD ~200kGy at the DePFET pixels approximately 13-14kGy at the burried SiO₂
 - Radiation campaign is time consuming, low radiation dose rate



- The X-ray chamber is equipped with a tungsten target and an aluminum filter
- The point focus is just to achive a higher beam intensity
- The shutter is controlled electroncally and the radiation dose is determined via the calibration data



- Mobility is temperature dependent
 - Constant temperature is assumed the low field mobility is given by:

$$\rightarrow \mu_p(Si) = 500 \text{ cm}^2/\text{Vs}$$

 $\mu_n(Si) = 1450 \text{ cm}^2/\text{Vs}$ [2]

