

Christos Lampoudis Aristotle University of Thessaloniki

@ clampoud@auth.gr

UNIVERSITÄT BONN

Introduction

Core Principles of Radiation Detection: *Types of Radiation, Interaction of Radiation with Matter*

Major Types of Detectors and Key properties: *Gas-Filled, Scintillators, Semiconductor, Efficiency, Energy – Spatial – Time resolution etc.*

Some Diverse Applications of Radiation Detectors:

Physics research experiments

Nuclear related (waste management, energy production, safety...)

Cultural heritage (artifact studies, archaelogical site inspections...)

Safety and homeland security (illegal substances, explosives...)

Closing remarks

A long way from...

first gold leaf electroscope built by Abraham Bennet in the 1700s

Here's your chance to "cash in!" Over \$3,750,000 in Government Bonuses have been paid for URANIUM discoveries in the past 2 years. Currently \$175,000 a month is paid to people like you. Precision Geiger Counters and Scintillators are

the finest instruments made for URANIUM prosspecting. These highly sensitive instruments are compact, lightweight and ruggedly built for field use. Prices start at \$29.95.

CONTACT YOUR NEAREST DEALER or write direct to factory for FREE 12-PAGE CATALOG and our 24-PAGE BOOKLET with "64 QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS ON GEIGER COUNTERS AND SCINTILLATORS." DEALERS WANTED

wrist band film badge

no matter who you are... **ALWAYS**

WEAR YOUR

BADGE

AT WORK

CHLAND OPERATIONS OFFICE

...to the most advanced

Radiation and Interaction with matter

Types of radiation

Ionizing Radiation:

 A lpha (α): Heavy, positively charged particles; low penetration (stopped by paper).

Beta (β): High-speed electrons or positrons; moderate penetration (stopped by plastic or a few mm of aluminum).

Gamma (γ) and X-rays: Electromagnetic radiation; highly penetrating (requires lead or thick concrete for shielding).

Neutrons: Uncharged, high penetration (requires hydrogen-rich materials like water or polyethylene).

Non-Ionizing Radiation: (e.g., microwaves, radio waves) does not ionize atoms but excites them.

Different kinds of radiation travel different distances and have different abilities to penetrate

Other mechanisms to produce radiation: Cerenkov radiation, Transition radiation

Important for detection techniques

Neutrons: a special case (x – section reminder)

Interactions with matter

Main Goals: Detect and Identify!

Multiple scattering.

Photons interacting with matter: Photoelectric Effect (PE), Compton Scattering (CS), Pair Production (PP).

Detection systems: basics

Regardless of the type of system (gaseous, scintillator, semiconductor)

Efficiency: What is detected compared to what actually reached the detector (intrinsic efficiency, geometric efficiency, overall efficiency)

Resolution: Energy, Spatial, Time

Energy Resolution: How well can the system measure the energy of the incoming radiation (usually expressed as a percentage or full width at half maximum, FWHM in energy units).

Spatial Resolution: The detector's ability to pinpoint the exact spot where radiation interacts.

Time Resolution: The ability of the detector to distinguish between events that occur close together in time (determine the arrival of the particle – accuracy as a clock!).

Background: unwanted events that is detected in addition to the radiation of interest

Scintillation detectors: basics

Creation of luminescence by interaction with ionizing radiation. Basic steps in scintillation detecting device:

- \rightarrow Incident radiation interaction with medium leads to emission of light (excitation – de-exitation process)
- Light is guided and collected by a photon sensitive devise (PMT or other photo-sensor)
- Electrons generated and multiplied create an electric signal
- Signal output corresponds to the light intensity and thus the deposited energy by the radiation in the medium

Scintillation detectors: properties I

Typical characteristics of scintillation devices:

- Light yield: number of photons of specific λ produced per deposited energy (~ 2x10⁴ photons/MeV). Dependence on material, energy/type of radiation.
- Time profile: Duration of scintillation phenomenon is characteristic for each material (fast signal requires shorter time profile).
- Scintillation material should be as transparent to the wavelength of the scintillation photons.
- Light collection efficiency should be a high as possible.

Chemical nature: Organic & Inorganic **Scintillators** Physical state: Solid, Liquid, Gaseous

Scintillation detectors: properties II

Important properties of scintillation detection systems:

- Quantum efficiency: the rate of photons converted to electrons as a function of wavelength, Higher means more efficient system.
- Energy resolution:how well the system can distinguish different deposited energies by the incident radiation.

30

 $\underset{\bigoplus}{\circledast}$ 20

10

800

Scintillation detectors: radiation damage

Scintillators can be prone to radiation damage potentially causing:

- Reduced light yield
- Decreased transparency
- Physical degradation of the scintillator material, reducing the overall efficiency

Scintillation detectors: gamma spectroscopy

Gaseous detectors: basics

Charged produced via ionization of the medium. Basic steps in detecting device:

- Incoming radiation ionizes gas molecules, creating ion pairs
- Charge carriers drift under the influence of the applied electric field.
- Once charges move, signal is induced.

Gaseous detectors: properties I

Key properties of gas-filled devices:

- Drift velocity: macroscopic observable, the average speed of charge carriers drifting though the gas volume. (E-field only and $E - B$ field cases).
- Diffusion: Positive ions or free electrons created within the gas have some tendency to diffuse away from regions of high density due to the random thermal motion. A point-like collection of free electrons will spread about the original point into a Gaussian spatial distribution whose width will increase with time.
- Diffusion depends mainly on gas pressure, temperature and mean free path of the charge carriers

Gaseous detectors: properties II

Anode Wire

Key properties of gas-filled devices:

- Multiplication: the number of ions created per path length defines the 1st Townsend coefficient
- → Gas gain G: defined as the ratio between the number of electrons reaching the anode over the initial number of electrons at the point where multiplication is starting.
- Amplification depends strongly on the geometry of the detection system (parallel plates vs cylindrical geometry).

Gaseous detectors: properties III

lonization mode:

Full charge collection

No multiplication $-$ gain = 1

Proportional mode:

Multiplication of ionization Signal proportional to ionization Measurement of dE/dx Secondary avalanches need quenching Gain ~ $10^4 - 10^5$

Limited proportional mode (saturated, streamer):

Strong photoemission

Strong quenches or pulsed HV

Gain $\sim 10^{10}$

Geiger mode:

Massive photoemission Full length of anode wire affected Discharge stopped by HV cut

Gaseous detectors: types

Gaseous detectors: radiation damage (and others)

Ageing can occur:

- Mechanical stress can alter the electrostatic properties.
- Gain degradation due to long term used (accumulation of large numbers of avalanches), leading the reduced energy resolution.
- Polluting molecules producing deposits on wires

F.Sauli courses IEEE-NSS 2002

Semiconductor detectors: basics

Operation similar to an ionization chamber. Basic steps in detecting device:

- \rightarrow Radiation interacts with the medium creating electron $-$ hole pairs (instead of e – ion pair in gas).
- Applying electric field, leads to collection of charges.
- Many applications (gamma spectroscopy, tracking and vertexing, PID).
- Low temperature requirements and radiation damage issues.

Semiconductors: properties

Charge carriers produced via ionization of the medium. Basic steps in detecting device:

- \rightarrow Eg \sim 1 eV for semiconductors, many electron
- High density leading to high stopping power
- Creation of large number of e/h pairs (direct impact on energy resolution capabilities)

Semiconductors: types

Semiconductors: pure (intrinsic) vs doped

- → Pure: balance between negative/positive (no intentional impurities) e.g. Si and Ge
- Doped (n-type): a dopant is introduced with more valence electron than the semiconductor material
	- Doped (p-type): a dopant is introduced with less valence electron than the semiconductor material
	- Doped semiconductors are essential in creating electronic components

Semiconductors: B in Si and Ge

Semiconductor detectors: types

Many types for multiple applications:

- Silicon (Si), Germanium (Ge), Cadmium Zinc Telluride (CZT)
- **→ Silicon Drift Chambers**
- Photodiodes, Avalanche Photo Diodes (APD)
- Silicon Photomultipliers (SiPM)

Various types, geometries, applications..

 \rightarrow ...

Applications: neutron related

Nuclear Reaction Data

 Energy dependent x-section Angular and energy distributions for products Neutron resonance parameters Neutron multiplicities Decay schemes Uncertainties Content nature (σ) Target identification (¹⁵¹Sm) **Target mass** 6.215100+4 1.496234+2 16 5.596445+6-5.596445+6 16 5.633849+6 0.000000+ 5.700000+6 1.580180-3 5.800000+6 6.073681-36210
5.900000+6 1.347960-2 5.000000+6 2.690410-2 6.100000+6 4.687551-26210 6.200000+6 7.598900-2 300000+6 1.119810-1 6.400000+6 1.518520-16210 16 356 6.500000+6 2.016680-1 600000+6 2.528690-1 6.700000+6 3.144490-16210 16 357 6.800000+6 3.780410-1 900000+6 4.433380-1 7.000000+6 5.136740-16210 00000+6 6.576591-1 7.300000+6 7.306390-16210 358 .100000+6 5.833550-1 400000+6 8.033710-1 0000+6 8.746620-1 7.600000+6 9.434911-16210 360
361 7.700000+6 1.010920+0 7.6 000+6 1.078550+0 7.900000+6 1.140340+06210 8.000000+6 1.202710+0 8.1\ 000+6 1.257750+0 8.200000+6 1.313880+06210 8.300000+6 1.367080+0 8.40 00+6 1.416210+0 8.500000+6 1.463580+06210
8.600000+6 1.506400+0 8.700 0+6 1.546900+0 8.800000+6 1.596770+06210 362 363
364
365 8.900000+6 1.623670+0 9.000 +6 1.656720+0 9.100000+6 1.687830+06210 16 9,200000+6 1,717430+0 9,3000\+6 1,745200+0 9,400000+6 1,771480+06210 366
367 9.500000+6 1.796050+0 9.60000 6 1.817200+0 9.700000+6 1.837390+06210 16 9.800000+6 1.858090+0 9.900000 1.876590+0 1.000000+7 1.893530+06210 16 **Values** Content type (n,2n) **Number of values Material number**

Motivation: Who needs Neutron Data?

Physics basic research

- experiments design
- testing theory models
- experimental data analysis

Astrophysics

Energy production

- reactors R&D
- radiation shielding
- operation safety
- waste disposal

Homeland security

- detection of nuclear materials
- illicit trafficking
- criticality calculations
- devices R&D

Nuclear Medicine

- radiotherapy
- dose calculations
- radioisotope production
- diagnostics

NNDC Brookhaven

n_TOF: Total Absorption Calorimeter (TAC)

241 Am(n,γ) at GELINA (JRC - Geel)

Neutron Resonance Analysis

Resonances appear at energies, which are specific for each nuclide

- Resonances can be used for:
	- Nuclide identification and quantification
	- Elemental (& isotopic) composition
- **↑ NRCA & NRTA**
	- No sample preparation needed
	- Non destructive
	- Negligible residual activation

Cultural Heritage: studies

Cultural Heritage: authenticity control

Security: detection of explosives and illegal substances

G. Chen and R.C. Lanza, IEEE Transactions on Nuclear Science, 49 (2002) 1919 – 1924

Security: detection of C, O and N

MM basics

Typical Micromegas: **Principle of Operation**

capabilities, Radiation hardness, Low cost

Y. Giomataris, P. Rebourgeard, J. Robert, G. Charpak, MICROMEGAS: A high granularity position sensitive gaseous detector for high particle flux environments, Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A 376 (1996) 29–35

MM Fabrication techniques: mesh

Micromegas detectors are built using different types of meshes depending on the fabrication technique/application

- flat meshes made of thin metallic sheets $(4-10 \mu m)$, holes produced by micro-machining processes (electroforming, chemical etching etc.)
- mesh made of mechanically woven stainless-steel wires (18 μm up to 30μm typical wire thickness)

(a) Woven mesh

(b) Electroformed mesh

"Bulk" technology a big step for the industrialization/production of large scale MM

Principle: embedded metallic woven mesh on a Printed Circuit Board

- in Microbulk MM, mesh, pillars and read-out are constructed in a single structure
- bulk technology is applied to the majority of today's MM

Micromegas in a bulk. Nucl. Instrum. Methods 2006, 560, 405–408

Micromegas: various applications

Applications: Timing

Aim for \sim 20 ps timing in particle tracking

High Luminosity consequences:

High pile-up (up-to 200 events/BC) with large number of tracks Harsher radiation environment

Requirements:

- Large surface coverage.
- Multi-pad readout for tracking.
- Resistance to aging effects.

Demand for precise timing detectors for physics (Time of Flight, Particle Identification)

Rising needs related to medical and industrial application

Available technology:

Solid state detectors

- Avalanche PhotoDiodes: $(\sigma_t \sim 20 \text{ ps})$
- Low Gain Avalanche Diodes (σ_t ~ 30 ps)
- *Radiation hardness ?*
- *Cost*

Gaseous detectors

- \triangleright Resistive Plate Chambers (RPCs, $\sigma_t \sim 30 \text{ ps}$) *High rate limitation*
- Micro-Pattern Gaseous Detectors ($\sigma_t \sim 1$ ns)

PID techniques: Alternatives to RICH methods, J. Va'vra, NIMA 876, 185 – 193, 2017 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nima.2017.02.075

Improve Micromegas performance by **~ 2 orders of magnitude 1 st step: proof of concept Next steps: increase area, position-sensitive, radiation hardness**

A typical Micromegas

A typical Micromegas: limitations

Timing properties/Limitations

- Ionizations occur in different positions along the particle's trajectory $\rightarrow \sim$ ns time jitter for a 3-6 mm conversion region
- Diffusion effects

Limitations: reminder

The Physics of Ionization offers the means for precise spatial measurements (high spatial resolution) but inhibits precise timing measurements

ORGANISATION EUROPÉENNE POUR LA RECHERCHE NUCLÉAIRE **CERN** EUROPEAN ORGANIZATION FOR NUCLEAR RESEARCH

PROPORTIONAL AND DRIFT CHAMRE

F. Sauli

which is represented in Fig. 8, for $n = 34$, as a function of the coordinate across a 10 mm thick detector. If the time of detection is the time of arrival of the closest electron at one end of the gap, as is often the case, the statistics of ion-pair production set an obvious limit to the time resolution of the detector. A scale of time is also given in the figure, for a collection velocity of 5 cm/usec typical of many gases; the FWHM of the distribution is about 5 nsec. There is no hope of improving this time resolution in a gas counter, unless some averaging over the time of arrival of all electrons is realized.

Lectures given in the Academic Training Programme of CERN 1975-1976

> GENEVA 1977

10.5170/CERN-1977-009

Limitations: reminder

The Physics of Ionization offers the means for precise spatial measurements (high spatial resolution) but inhibits precise timing measurements

ORGANISATION EUROPÉENNE POUR LA RECHERCHE NUCLÉAIRE **CERN EUROPEAN ORGANIZATION FOR NUCLEAR RESEARCH**

F. Sauli

which is represented in Fig. 8, for $n = 34$, as a function of the coordinate across a 10 mm thick detector. If the time of detection is the time of arrival of the closest electron at one end of the gap, as is often the case, the statistics of ion-pair production set an obvious limit to the time resolution of the detector. A scale of time is also given in the figure, for a collection velocity of 5 cm/usec typical of many gases; the FWHM of the distribution is about 5 nsec. There is no hope of improving this time resolution in a gas counter, unless some averaging over the time of arrival of all electrons is realized.

In order to use gaseous detectors for precise (ps) timing of charged particles we should turn other Physics phenomena against the stochastic Nature of ionization

- Cherenkov radiation \rightarrow provide prompt photons
- Photoelectric effect \rightarrow convert photons to prompt electrons

10.5170/CERN-1977-009

The PICOSEC concept

Small drift gap (~200 μm) + High E-field:

- \checkmark Pre-amplification possible
- \checkmark Limited direct ionization
- Reduced diffusion impact

Cherenkov radiator/Photocathode:

- \checkmark Photo-electrons emerging the photocathode simultaneously (fixed distance from the mesh)
- \checkmark produce sufficient number of photo-electrons

Cherenkov radiator + Photocathode

- \checkmark Particle produce Cherenkov light
- \checkmark Photo-electrons emerge from photocathode
- \checkmark Electrons amplified by a two-stage Micromegas

Signal components: Fast <1ns (electron peak) & Slow ~100ns (Ion-tail)

Result: improved timing resolution

The PICOSEC concept

Small drift gap (~200 μm) + High E-field:

- \checkmark Pre-amplification possible
- \checkmark Limited direct ionization
- Reduced diffusion impact

Cherenkov radiator/Photocathode:

- \checkmark Photo-electrons emerging the photocathode simultaneously (fixed distance from the mesh)
- \checkmark produce sufficient number of photo-electrons

Cherenkov radiator + Photocathode

- \checkmark Particle produce Cherenkov light
- \checkmark Photo-electrons emerge from photocathode
- \checkmark Electrons amplified by a two-stage Micromegas

Signal components: Fast <1ns (electron peak) & Slow ~100ns (Ion-tail)

More info: High Precision Timing with the PICOSEC Micromegas Detector, Particle Physics Seminar, Universität Bonn – Physikalisches Institut 17 June 2021

Towards large area coverage: modular design

Schematics/photos not to scale

S. Aune et al., Timing performance of a multi-pad PICOSEC-Micromegas detector prototype, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nima.2021.165076

Scaling up: engineering issues

The first multipad PICOSEC

Similar detector configuration as for single pad: MgF₂ radiator 3 mm thick, 18 nm CsI on 5 nm Cr **Bulk MicroMegas** "COMPASS gas" 200 µm drift gap Optimum operation point: V_{drift}/V_{anode}: -475V/+275V

First multipad PICOSEC: unforeseen deformation

Timing performance of a multi-pad PICOSEC-Micromegas detector prototype, NIM A 933 – 2021

Alternative method for a flat(er) anode

Competer

SDRRR

Micromegas made on a hybrid ceramic PCB, completely enclosed in the chamber. 100 channels prototype $(10 \times 10 \text{ cm}^2)$ tested @ CERN

The ATLAS New Small Wheel panel construction principle: bulk Micromegas on a thin PCB, backed on a Alu honeycomb, and glued on super flat surface (vacuum or marble table, with flatness <10 μm)

granite table + stiffback technique

construction principle

schematic of the honeycombstiffened PICOSEC detector

Crystal

honeycomb

vacuum table technique

Applications: ATLAS New Small Wheel

Micromegas for ATLAS

Micromegas wedge and module

NSW single panel construction basics

→ Panel is a sandwich of two skins glued on a stiff plane without mechanical constraints

 \rightarrow It consists of two PCBs (500µm) with aluminum made honeycomb and frame in between

- Super flat surfaces are required as reference planes
- Granite + Stiff back or Double Vacuum tables methods applied
- Single or dual step processes

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=uLJ60sPjOHg

The NSW in place!

Applications: Muography

Atmospheric muons as an imaging tool

Exploit the abundant natural flux of muons produced from cosmic-ray interactions in the atmosphere.

Applications

Investigation of large geological structures Homeland security: cargo scanning, detection of heavy elements Safeguards: e.g. characterization of encapsulated nuclear waste Natural hazard monitoring: volcanos

L.Bonechi et al. Review in Physics 5, 2020.

Muography: imaging based on muon detection

a non-invasive but penetrating imaging of density contrast using natural charged particles

Historical overview of Muography

- Thickness of a mountain: George (1955)
- Hidden chambers in Chephren (or Khafre) pyramid: Alvarez (1970)
- Volcanology: Nagamine (1995), Tanaka (2001), Diaphane collaboration (2008)

S. Procureur, D. Attié / C. R. Physique 20 (2019) 521–528

Imaging via scattering

analyze the angles of deflection before and after passing a volume

Imaging via absorption

principle is similar to conventional X-ray radiography

Muography: imaging from muon flux

S. Procureur,. Muon imaging: Principles, technologies and applications, Nuclear Inst. and Methods in Physics Research, A 878 (2018) 169–179

Raw muographies of the Saclay water tower, with (left) and without (right) water in the tank.

S. Bouteille, et al. A Micromegas-based telescope for muon tomography: The WatTo experiment, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nima.2016.08.002

The "mini" Micromegas telescope (CIRI – AUTh)

4 Micromegas (10 x 10 cm² active area)

- anode board: XY 2-dimensional \sim 384 strips
- detection medium: Ar $CO₂$ gas 93%-7%
- APV25 readout cards (x6 per XY plane)
- signal reception via SRS (Scalable Readout System)

trigger using 2 scintillators in coincidence

Towards a larger telescope: MM Chambers

• 6 Panasonic connectors

Individual MM chamber test bench

Lab tests: module validation

- Power Supply (CAEN Mod. A4531)
- Data Acquisition (APV25 & SRS)
- · Trigger (scintillators)
- . NIM units

MM Telescope: full system installation

MM Telescope at the Apollonia tumulus

- · Power: Solar panels & power box
- Full system powered ON
- Addition of temperature sensors
- Telescope set @ 20 degs
- Test (trigger system + MM pedestal run)

Purpose of **Radiation Detectors** in keywords:

- **Detect** (verify the presence/passage of particle(s))
- **Identify** (determine the type, charge, mass)
- **Track** (follow the movement)
- **Measure** (quantify intensity and/or energy of radiation)
- **Record** (store data for treatment and future use)
- **Evaluate** (assess effects)

 \bullet

Method + Detector combination requires lots of things to consider

type of radiation, energy range, efficiency, resolution requirements, rate capabilities, resources, measuring conditions, duration of use, size and portability, costs and maintenance, **hardness**, safety issues….

Method + Detector combination requires lots of things to consider

type of radiation, energy range, efficiency, resolution requirements, rate capabilities, resources, measuring conditions, duration of use, size and portability, costs and maintenance, **hardness**, safety issues….

Will you buy off the shelf...OR build?

design, choose materials, estimate budgets, search markets, create tooling, establish procedures, outsource, train people, evaluate...

Method + Detector combination requires lots of things to consider

type of radiation, energy range, efficiency, resolution requirements, rate capabilities, resources, measuring conditions, duration of use, size and portability, costs and maintenance, safety issues….

Will you buy off the shelf...OR build?

design, choose materials, estimate budgets, search markets, create tooling, establish procedures, outsource, train people...

There is no "best or perfect" system, every detector has its advantages and limitations

References (random order)

- W. R. Leo, Techniques for Nuclear and Particle Physics Experiments, 2nd Ed. Springer-Verlag (1994)
- G. Knoll, Radiation Detection and Measurement, Fouth Edition, Wiley
- W. Blum, W. Riegler, L. Rolandi Particle detection with drift chambers- Springer (2008)
- F. Sauli, Principles of operation of multiwire proportional and drift chambers, CERN-77-09
- S. Ahmed, Physics and Engineering of Radiation Detection 2 edition nd
- Particle Detectors, Fundamentals and Applications, H. Kolanoski N. Wermes, Oxford University Press
- C. Grupen and B. Shwartz, Particle Detectors 2 edition nd
- Practical Gamma-ray Spectrometry, Gordon R. Gilmore, 2 editionnd
Thank you!

Questions are welcome!

Backup slides

Neutron Time Of Flight

Neutron racing

t

Neutron racing: resolve

 t_{stop}

Flight path lenth ?!

Limitations: reminder

Using the drift velocity (V), we express the probability that the first electrons will reach the anode at time t as: $A_{first}^{n}(t) = n(V/L)e^{-nVt/L}$

where x=z/L describes the probability that a pair is produced in the region 0-z

 $D_j^k(x) = \frac{k!}{(k-1)!(1-1)!} (1-x)^{k-j} x^{j-1}$

The probability that the jth pair has been produced at $Z = z$ for any total number of e-ion pair $A_j^n(x) = \sum_{k=i}^{\infty} P_k^n D_j^k(x) = \frac{x^{j-1}}{(j-1)!} n^j e^{-nx}$

The probability that the last pair (i.e. the closest to the edge 0) has been produced at $Z = z$ is given by (j-

> $A_{\text{last}}^n(x) = n e^{-nx}$ $A_{last}^n(z) = ne^{-nz/L}$

The PICOSEC concept

Small drift gap (~200 μm) + High E-field:

- \checkmark Pre-amplification possible
- Limited direct ionization
- \checkmark Reduced diffusion impact

Cherenkov radiator/Photocathode:

- \checkmark Photo-electrons emerging the photocathode simultaneously (fixed distance from the mesh)
- \checkmark produce sufficient number of photo-electrons

Effect: improved timing resolution

Cherenkov radiator + Photocathode

- \checkmark Particle produce Cherenkov light
- \checkmark Photo-electrons emerge from photocathode
- \checkmark Electrons amplified by a two-stage Micromegas

Signal components: Fast <1ns (electron peak) & Slow ~100ns (Ion-tail)

Achievements in timing: single-anode

Best time resolution for 1 photo-electron: 76.0 ± 0.4 ps @ Vd/Va = -425V / +450V

improves strongly with higher drift field, less with anode field

The Signal Arrival Time (SAT) depends on the e-peak charge:

- \triangleright bigger pulses \rightarrow smaller SAT
- \triangleright higher drift field \rightarrow smaller SAT

Shape of pulse is identical in all cases \rightarrow timing with CFD method does not introduce dependence on pulse size

Single – anode PICOSEC: single PE (laser tests) + muons @ SPS

Same detector as for Laser tests: MgF₂ radiator 3 mm thick 18 nm CsI on 5.5 nm Cr **Bulk MicroMegas** "COMPASS gas"

Best result: 24 ± 0.3 ps $N_{\text{p.e.}} = 10.1 \pm 0.7$

IRAMIS/LIDYL laser facilities @ CEA Saclay

Main goals

- Time resolution for single PE in laser tests
- Time resolution for muons
- Photocathode quantum efficiency
- Optimize the detector

Modeling & simulation: thorough understanding of the detector

Number of electrons

Phenomenological model describing stochastically the dynamics of the signal formation

Model driven optimization of the detector

Deep understanding of the PICOSEC detector: reproduce results with detailed simulation, apply the phenomenoligal model to explain the observed experimental behavior and use it to optimize parameters

- **Drift gap:** The majority of the tests was done with 200 um gap. Reducing it is expected to improve avalanche size and stability. Tests were performed in May 2019 at the fs laser for gaps of 120, 170, 195 and 245 um
- **Gas composition.** CF_A is increasing drift velocity, however is decreasing the maximum gain. Ne or He mixtures with only C_2H_6 as quencher are expected to increase maximum gain
- Gas pressure: decreasing pressure is equivalent with decreasing the amplification gaps.

Reducing the drift gap at **119 μm** (highest stable field setting of 44 kV/cm) yields a time resolution of **44±1 ps** for single photoelectrons.

Lukas Sohl Ph.D. thesis, CEA Saclay 2020

Readout electronics I

Scheme during first test period (single cell and 1 multipad PICOSEC prototype): st

CIVIDEC broadband amplifier, 2 GHz, 40 dB, *[\(https://cividec.at/electronics-C2-HV.html\)](https://cividec.at/electronics-C2-HV.html)* **+**

LECROY WR8104 oscilloscope, operated at 1.0 GHz analogue bandwidth, sampling rate of 10 Gsamples/s, Waverunner 8000, Teledynelecroy, *(http://cdn.teledynelecroy.com/files/pdf/waverunner8000-datasheet.pdf)*

Costly and not convenient solution for multi-channel application.

"Home-made" preamp circuit (Philippe Legou – CEA) tested and proved compatible to PICOSEC timing requirements **+ ASIC**

One card per channel

SAMPIC considered as solution for digitisation with sampling rates ~8GS/s **Hochschulpartnerschaften mit Griechenland 2023-2025** 09/29/2024 ⁸³

References: PICOSEC selected proceedings and talks

- S.E. Tzamarias, RD51 Open Lectures and Mini Week, 2017, (https://indico.cern.ch/event/676702/timetable/)
- K. Paraschou, Study of the PICOSEC Micromegas Detector with Test Beam Data and Phenomenological Modelling of its Response (2018), arXiv:2010.13535
- The RD51 PICOSEC-Micromegas Collaboration, Letter Of Interest, SnowMass2021 IF5, August 2020
- Manthos I., et al., for the RD-51 PICOSEC Collaboration, Recent developments on precise timing with the picosec micromegas detector, Journal of Physics: Conference Series (2020), Article 012014, 10.1088/1742- 6596/1498/1/012014
- A. Utrobicic, Assembly and gain uniformity measurements of a new large area PICOSEC detector, RD51 Collaboration Meeting, February 16, 2021
- Florian M. Brunbauer, Precice timing with gaseous detectors: towards a robust and tileable PICOSEC Micromegas detectors, EP R&D Seminar, May 3, 2021
- Sohl L., Ph.D. thesis, Development of PICOSEC-Micromegas for fast timing in high rate environments, CEA Saclay 17/12/2020, https://lsohl.web.cern.ch/lsohl/