On the sparse grid combination method for SU(2) lattice problems

Michael Griebel University of Bonn and Fraunhofer SCAI Joint work with Heinz-Jürgen Flad, U. Bonn

- 1. The lattice model SU(2)
- 2. The sparse grid combination method
- 3. Numerical experiments

- Non-abelian $SU(2)$ Yang-Mills theory in d dimensions
	- $d-1$ spatial and one imaginary time dimension
	- Wick-rotated into Euclidean space with Euclidean metric
- Uniform lattice of size N , i.e. $\Lambda_{d,N} \coloneqq \big\{ n = (n_0, \dots, n_{d-1}) \in \mathbb{N}_0^d \colon n_\mu = 0, \dots, N-1 \big\}$
- On each lattice site $n \in \Lambda_{d,N}$, place d link variables $U_{\mu}(n) \in SU(2), \mu = 0, ..., d-1$
	- Matrices $U_{\mu}(n) \in \mathbb{C}^{2x}$ with $U_{\mu}(n)U_{\nu}^{\dagger}(n) = 1$ and $\det U_{\mu}(n) = 1$
	- Connect site *n* to sites $n + e_{\mu}$ in forward directions $\mu = 0, ..., d 1$, with e_{μ} the d –dimensional unit vector in coordinate direction μ .

- Set of all such matrices $\mathcal{U}_{d,N} = \{U_{\mu}(n) \in SU(2), n \in \Lambda_{d,N}, \mu = 0, ..., d-1\}$
- Plaquette operator in site n is the closed loop $P_{\mu,\nu}(n) := U_{\mu}(n) U_{\nu}(n + e_{\mu}) U_{\mu}^{\dagger}(n + e_{\nu}) U_{\nu}^{\dagger}(n)$

• For each element in $u_{d,N}$ we have Wilson's lattice action $S_{\Lambda_{d,N}} \coloneqq \beta$ $\frac{\rho}{2} \sum_{n \in \Lambda_{d,N}} \sum_{\mu < \nu} Re \ Tr \ P_{\mu,\nu} (n)$ with $\beta = \frac{1}{\alpha^2}$ $\frac{1}{g_0^2}$ the inverse squared gauge coupling constant

• Produce a Markov chain of M samples $u_{d,N,i}$, $i = 1, ..., M$ via rejection sampling by the Metropolis algorithm distributed as $\mathbb{P}(u_{d,N}) \propto \exp(-S(u_{d,N}))$

- Tune the rejection rate to about 50%
- For given parameters N , M , β , we employ the code $su2$ of C. Urbach, HISKP, see

https://github.com/urbach/su2/tree/EducationalVersion

• The main observable is the empirical plaquette expectation

$$
\widehat{P}_{d,N,M} := \langle P \rangle_M := \frac{1}{M} \sum_{i=1}^M P(\mathcal{U}_{d,N,i})
$$

where

$$
P(U_{d,N;i}) = \frac{2}{d(d-1)N^d} \sum_{n \in \Lambda_{d,N}} \sum_{\mu < \nu} \text{Re Tr } U_{\mu,\nu;i}(n)
$$

with the sampled matrices

$$
U_{\mu,\nu:i}(n),\; n \in \Lambda_{d,N}, \mu, \nu = 0, \ldots, d-1, \mu < \nu
$$

that together comprise one sample $u_{d,N,i}$

- The cost is $cost_{d,N,M} = O(MN^d)$ with β -dependent constant
- The error is $|\widehat{P}_{d,\infty,\infty}-\widehat{P}_{d,N,M}|$

Limits

- For $M \to \infty$ we have convergence $\widehat{P}_{d,N,M} \longrightarrow \widehat{P}_{d,N,\infty,M}$
	- Is due to the law of large numbers and the Metropolis algorithm
- Infinite volume limit: $N \to \infty$.
	- It exists for $\beta > 0$, the system converges to a Young-Mills theory in discrete space-time with lattice spacing $a = 1$
	- If the confinement property holds we have fast convergence in N and need practically only moderate values of N
- Continuum limit:
	- Hope: By making N larger while making the lattice spacing a smaller and smaller, a continuous theory is obtained with $a \to 0$.
	- Renormalization group transform, Callan-Symanzik equation, Low-Gell-Mann functions, improved actions
- For now: We stick to the infinite volume limit only

- So far: With d, N, M, β fixed, we can compute $\widehat{P}_{d,N,M}$ by the code su2 with cost of $O(MN^d)$, certain accuracy and convergence rate
	- Can we improve on the relation of error versus cost?
- Sparse grids:
	- For function approximation, quadrature, PDE solution, uncertainty quantification, machine learning, we can apply the sparse grid idea and have substantial cost complexity gains
	- This is due to higher regularity of the underlying functions which possess bounded mixed derivatives.
	- Can we find a related property for lattice problems, and can we exploit it to gain faster algorithms ?
- We try to first find out for the $SU(2)$
- Note: Multilevel MC and multi-fidelity UQ are just special cases of a sparse grid method, sparse grids are more general

• Consider dyadic levels $l_1, l_2 \geq 1$, i.e. $N = 2^{l_1}, M = 2^{l_2}$ and, with

$$
\tilde{P}_{l_1,l_2} := \hat{P}_{d,2^{l_1},2^{l_2}}
$$

the associated table of results of the code *su2*

$$
\left\{ \tilde{P}_{l_1,l_2} \right\}_{l_1,l_2} l_1,l_2 \in \mathbb{N}^2
$$

which is trivially extended to zero levels by $\tilde{P}_{0,l_2}=\tilde{P}_{l_1,0}=\tilde{P}_{0,0}=0$

- Define the table $\left\{ \Delta_{l_{1},l_{2}}\right\} _{l_{1},l_{2}}$ of the hierarchical surplus/benefit $\Delta_{l_1,l_2} := \tilde{P}_{l_1,l_2} - \tilde{P}_{l_1-1,l_2} - \tilde{P}_{l_1,l_2-1} + \tilde{P}_{l_1-1,l_2-1}$
- Telescopic sum identities

$$
\tilde{P}_{L_1, L_2} = \sum_{l_1=1}^{L_1} \sum_{l_2}^{L_2} \Delta_{l_1, l_2} \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{P}_{\infty, \infty} = \sum_{l_1=1}^{\infty} \sum_{l_2}^{\infty} \Delta_{l_1, l_2}
$$

- Take the cost $cost_{l_1,l_2}$ of each Δ_{l_1,l_2} into account, which is up to a small constant that of the cost of \tilde{P}_{l_1,l_2}
- Define the table $\left\{ bcr_{l_{1},l_{2}}\right\} _{l_{1},l_{2}}$ with the benefit/cost ratios $bcr_{l_1,l_2} \coloneqq$ $|\Delta_{l_1,l_2}|$ $cost_{l_1,l_2}$
- The optimal index set Γ_K can be determined by a simple knapsack problem: Sort the benefit/cost ratios and take the first K indices with the largest bcr_{l_1,l_2} into account
- For rising K it involves a truncation of the *bcr* table along its level set lines
- Leads to the associated general sparse grid approximation

$$
\tilde{P}_{L_1,L_2}=\textstyle\sum_{l_1,l_2\in\Gamma_K}\Delta_{l_1,l_2}
$$

with minimal overall cost $\sum_{l_{1},l_{2}\in \Gamma_{K}} cost_{l_{1},l_{2}}$ and minimal error

• The telescopic sum for Γ_K can be partially reversed. This leads to the general sparse grid combination method

$$
\tilde{P}_{\Gamma_K,} = \sum_{l_1,l_2 \in \Gamma_K} \Delta_{l_1,l_2} = \sum_{l_1,l_2 \in \Gamma_K} c_{l_1,l_2} l_{1,l_2} \tilde{P}_{l_1,l_2}
$$

with the combination coefficients

$$
c_{l_1,l_2} := \sum_{z_1,z_2=0,0}^{l_1,l_2} (-1)^{z_1+z_2} \chi_{\Gamma_K}((l_1,l_2) + (z_1,z_2))
$$

and the characteristic function $\chi_{\Gamma_K}(l_1,l_2)$:= $\begin{cases} 1 & \text{if} \,\,\, (l_1,l_2) \in \Gamma_K \ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases}$ 0 else

- Involves now only certain \tilde{P}_{l_1,l_2} , i.e. calls of the code su2, with different parameters and the linear combination of its results
- Can be tried analogously with other theories and codes
- Can also be tried for code involving renormalization, Callan-Symanzik corrections, etc. provided that there is code and that the limit exists at all ?
- Can be seen as a two-variate extrapolation method between the lattice size/spacing and the number of MC samples

- Most simple example: **Isotropic sparse grid**, $d = 2$
- Index set: $\Gamma_{isp,L} = \{(l_1, l_2) \in \mathbb{N}^2, l_1 + l_2 \le L + 1\}$
- Isotropic sparse grid approximation

$$
\tilde{P}_{isp,L} = \sum_{l_1,l_2 \in \Gamma_{sparse,L}} \Delta_{l_1,l_2}
$$

Isotropic combination technique

Cost complexity gain in contrast to full grid \Box : $O(L2^L)$ instead of $O(2^{2L})$

- Isotropic situation rarely encountered: Slow rate of MC in l_1 -direction, much faster rate in l_2 -direction, product decay unclear
- Anisotropic index set associated to L_1 , L_2

 $\Gamma_{asp,L_1,L_2} = \{ (l_1, l_2) \in \mathbb{N}^2, (L_2 - 1)l_1 + (L_1 - 1)l_2 \le L_1L_2 - 1 \}$

- Anisotropic sparse grid approximation $\tilde{P}_{asp,L_1,L_2} = \sum_{l_1,l_2 \in \Gamma_{asp,L_1,L_2}} \Delta_{l_1,l_2}$
- Anisotropic combination technique

Numerical experiments

- For simplicity, set $d = 2$
- We consider $\beta = 0.5$ and $\beta = 10$
- Benefit cost ratios for N , M of different level indices

• We see an anisotropic sparse grid structure in both cases and NOT a full grid structure

Numerical experiments

- This is good news:
	- We have a kind of product type behavior of the convergence and a sparse grid effect for the SU(2).
	- Allows substantially faster algorithms by means of the sparse grid combination method
- The diagonal cut off isoline of the isotropic case for optimal complexity is rotated
	- Anisotropy reflects the slower convergence rate in sampling direction versus the faster rate in lattice size direction
	- Gets more profound for the larger value of β
- How can we detect this algorithmically and how can we practically construct an optimal index set ?

Algorithmic remarks

- Dimension-adaptive method builds the index set successively adapted to a specific problem under consideration
- Example:

- Adaptive method: Error indicator $bcr_{l_1,l_2} > \varepsilon$ involving the Δ_{l_1,l_2} , largest value marks the index for refinement, refinement in two directions, and repeat
- Associated combination method involves only the \tilde{P}_{l_1,l_2}
- Note again: Just code to be called for different lattice resolutions and different samplings/chain lengths

Concluding remarks

- We studied SU(2) lattice problems for the most simple case d=2 and the infinite volume limit
	- Wanted to find out if there is a kind of product decay/sparse grid effect or not
	- Yes! Allows to substantially speed up calculations
- Next:
	- Consider the cases $d = 3, 4$
	- Try to consider the continuum limit case, code ?
	- Consider other problems than just SU(2), code ?
	- Note: Other codes can be simply plugged into our method
- Instead with MCMC, our approach could work for quasi MC-type techniques of higher order as well ?