On the sparse grid combination method for SU(2) lattice problems

Michael Griebel University of Bonn and Fraunhofer SCAI Joint work with Heinz-Jürgen Flad, U. Bonn

- 1. The lattice model SU(2)
- 2. The sparse grid combination method
- 3. Numerical experiments

- Non-abelian SU(2) Yang-Mills theory in *d* dimensions
 - d-1 spatial and one imaginary time dimension
 - Wick-rotated into Euclidean space with Euclidean metric
- Uniform lattice of size N, i.e $\Lambda_{d,N} \coloneqq \{n = (n_0, \dots, n_{d-1}) \in \mathbb{N}_0^d \colon n_\mu = 0, \dots, N-1\}$
- On each lattice site $n \in \Lambda_{d,N}$, place d link variables $U_{\mu}(n) \in SU(2), \mu = 0, ..., d 1$
 - Matrices $U_{\mu}(n) \in \mathbb{C}^{2 \times 2}$ with $U_{\mu}(n)U_{\nu}^{\dagger}(n) = 1$ and $\det U_{\mu}(n) = 1$
 - Connect site *n* to sites $n + e_{\mu}$ in forward directions $\mu = 0, ..., d 1$, with e_{μ} the *d* –dimensional unit vector in coordinate direction μ .



- Set of all such matrices $\mathcal{U}_{d,N} = \left\{ U_{\mu}(n) \in SU(2), n \in \Lambda_{d,N}, \mu = 0, \dots, d-1 \right\}$
- Plaquette operator in site *n* is the closed loop $P_{\mu,\nu}(n) \coloneqq U_{\mu}(n) \ U_{\nu}(n+e_{\mu})U_{\mu}^{\dagger}(n+e_{\nu})U_{\nu}^{\dagger}(n)$

• For each element in $\mathcal{U}_{d,N}$ we have Wilson's lattice action $S_{\Lambda_{d,N}} \coloneqq -\frac{\beta}{2} \sum_{n \in \Lambda_{d,N}} \sum_{\mu < \nu} Re Tr P_{\mu,\nu}(n)$ with $\beta = \frac{1}{2}$ the inverse squared gauge coupling constant

with $\beta = \frac{1}{g_0^2}$ the inverse squared gauge coupling constant

- Produce a Markov chain of *M* samples $\mathcal{U}_{d,N,i}$, i = 1, ..., M via rejection sampling by the Metropolis algorithm distributed as $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{U}_{d,N}) \propto \exp(-S(\mathcal{U}_{d,N}))$
 - Tune the rejection rate to about 50%
 - For given parameters N, M, β, we employ the code su2 of C. Urbach, HISKP, see

https://github.com/urbach/su2/tree/EducationalVersion

• The main observable is the empirical plaquette expectation

$$\widehat{P}_{d,N,M} \coloneqq \langle P \rangle_M \coloneqq \frac{1}{M} \sum_{i=1}^M P(\mathcal{U}_{d,N;i})$$

where

$$P(\mathcal{U}_{d,N;i}) = \frac{2}{d(d-1)N^d} \sum_{n \in \Lambda_{d,N}} \sum_{\mu < \nu} \operatorname{Re} \operatorname{Tr} U_{\mu,\nu;i}(n)$$

with the sampled matrices

$$U_{\mu,\nu:i}(n), \ n \in \Lambda_{d,N}$$
, $\mu, \nu = 0, \dots, d-1, \mu < \nu$

that together comprise one sample $\mathcal{U}_{d,N,i}$

- The cost is $cost_{d,N,M} = O(MN^d)$ with β -dependent constant
- The error is $|\hat{P}_{d,\infty,\infty} \hat{P}_{d,N,M}|$

Limits

- For $M \to \infty$ we have convergence $\hat{P}_{d,N,M} \longrightarrow \hat{P}_{d,N,\infty,N}$
 - Is due to the law of large numbers and the Metropolis algorithm
- Infinite volume limit: $N \rightarrow \infty$.
 - It exists for $\beta > 0$, the system converges to a Young-Mills theory in discrete space-time with lattice spacing a = 1
 - If the confinement property holds we have fast convergence in *N* and need practically only moderate values of *N*
- Continuum limit:
 - Hope: By making *N* larger while making the lattice spacing *a* smaller and smaller, a continuous theory is obtained with $a \rightarrow 0$.
 - Renormalization group transform, Callan-Symanzik equation, Low-Gell-Mann functions, improved actions
- For now: We stick to the infinite volume limit only

- So far: With d, N, M, β fixed, we can compute $\hat{P}_{d,N,M}$ by the code *su2* with cost of $O(MN^d)$, certain accuracy and convergence rate
 - Can we improve on the relation of error versus cost ?
- Sparse grids:
 - For function approximation, quadrature, PDE solution, uncertainty quantification, machine learning, we can apply the sparse grid idea and have substantial cost complexity gains
 - This is due to higher regularity of the underlying functions which possess bounded mixed derivatives.
 - Can we find a related property for lattice problems, and can we exploit it to gain faster algorithms ?
- We try to first find out for the SU(2)
- Note: Multilevel MC and multi-fidelity UQ are just special cases of a sparse grid method, sparse grids are more general

• Consider dyadic levels $l_1, l_2 \ge 1$, i.e. $N = 2^{l_1}, M = 2^{l_2}$ and, with

$$\tilde{P}_{l_1, l_2} := \hat{P}_{d, 2^{l_1}, 2^{l_2}}$$

the associated table of results of the code su2

$$\left\{\tilde{P}_{l_1,l_2}\right\}_{l_1,l_2} \, l_1, l_2 \in \mathbb{N}^2$$

which is trivially extended to zero levels by $\tilde{P}_{0,l_2} = \tilde{P}_{l_1,0} = \tilde{P}_{0,0} = 0$

- Define the table $\{\Delta_{l_1,l_2}\}_{l_1,l_2}$ of the hierarchical surplus/benefit $\Delta_{l_1,l_2} := \tilde{P}_{l_1,l_2} - \tilde{P}_{l_1-1,l_2} - \tilde{P}_{l_1,l_2-1} + \tilde{P}_{l_1-1,l_2-1}$
- Telescopic sum identities

$$\tilde{P}_{L_1,L_2} = \sum_{l_1=1}^{L_1} \sum_{l_2}^{L_2} \Delta_{l_1,l_2}$$
 and $\tilde{P}_{\infty,\infty} = \sum_{l_1=1}^{\infty} \sum_{l_2}^{\infty} \Delta_{l_1,l_2}$

- Take the cost $cost_{l_1,l_2}$ of each Δ_{l_1,l_2} into account, which is up to a small constant that of the cost of \tilde{P}_{l_1,l_2}
- Define the table $\{bcr_{l_1,l_2}\}_{l_1,l_2}$ with the benefit/cost ratios $bcr_{l_1,l_2} \coloneqq \frac{|\Delta_{l_1,l_2}|}{cost_{l_1,l_2}}$
- The optimal index set Γ_K can be determined by a simple knapsack problem: Sort the benefit/cost ratios and take the first K indices with the largest bcr_{l1},l2 into account
- For rising *K* it involves a truncation of the *bcr* table along its level set lines
- Leads to the associated general sparse grid approximation

$$\tilde{P}_{L_1,L_2} = \sum_{l_1,l_2 \in \Gamma_K} \Delta_{l_1,l_2}$$

with minimal overall cost $\sum_{l_1, l_2 \in \Gamma_K} cost_{l_1, l_2}$ and minimal error

• The telescopic sum for Γ_K can be partially reversed. This leads to the general sparse grid combination method

$$\tilde{P}_{\Gamma_{K},} = \sum_{l_{1}, l_{2} \in \Gamma_{K}} \Delta_{l_{1}, l_{2}} = \sum_{l_{1}, l_{2} \in \Gamma_{K}} c_{l_{1}, l_{2}} \tilde{P}_{l_{1}, l_{2}}$$

with the combination coefficients

$$c_{l_1,l_2} \coloneqq \sum_{z_1,z_2=0,0}^{l_1,l_2} (-1)^{z_1+z_2} \chi_{\Gamma_K}((l_1,l_2) + (z_1,z_2))$$

and the characteristic function $\chi_{\Gamma_K}(l_1, l_2) := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } (l_1, l_2) \in \Gamma_K \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases}$



- Involves now only certain \tilde{P}_{l_1,l_2} , i.e. calls of the code *su*2, with different parameters and the linear combination of its results
- Can be tried analogously with other theories and codes
- Can also be tried for code involving renormalization, Callan-Symanzik corrections, etc. provided that there is code and that the limit exists at all ?
- Can be seen as a two-variate extrapolation method between the lattice size/spacing and the number of MC samples

- Most simple example: Isotropic sparse grid, d = 2
- Index set: $\Gamma_{isp,L} = \{(l_1, l_2) \in \mathbb{N}^2, l_1 + l_2 \le L + 1\}$
- Isotropic sparse grid approximation

$$\tilde{P}_{isp,L} = \sum_{l_1, l_2 \in \Gamma_{sparse,L}} \Delta_{l_1, l_2}$$

Isotropic combination technique



• Cost complexity gain in contrast to full grid \square : $O(L2^{L})$ instead of $O(2^{2L})$

- Isotropic situation rarely encountered: Slow rate of MC in l_1 -direction, much faster rate in l_2 -direction, product decay unclear
- Anisotropic index set associated to L_1 , L_2

 $\Gamma_{asp,L_1,L_2} = \{(l_1, l_2) \in \mathbb{N}^2, (L_2 - 1)l_1 + (L_1 - 1)l_2 \le L_1 L_2 - 1\}$

- Anisotropic sparse grid approximation $\tilde{P}_{asp,L_1,L_2} = \sum_{l_1,l_2 \in \Gamma_{asp,L_1,L_2}} \Delta_{l_1,l_2}$
- Anisotropic combination technique



Numerical experiments

- For simplicity, set d = 2
- We consider $\beta = 0.5$ and $\beta = 10$
- Benefit cost ratios for N, M of different level indices



 We see an anisotropic sparse grid structure in both cases and NOT a full grid structure

Numerical experiments

- This is good news:
 - We have a kind of product type behavior of the convergence and a sparse grid effect for the SU(2).
 - Allows substantially faster algorithms by means of the sparse grid combination method
- The diagonal cut off isoline of the isotropic case for optimal complexity is rotated
 - Anisotropy reflects the slower convergence rate in sampling direction versus the faster rate in lattice size direction
 - Gets more profound for the larger value of β
- How can we detect this algorithmically and how can we practically construct an optimal index set ?

Algorithmic remarks

- Dimension-adaptive method builds the index set successively adapted to a specific problem under consideration
- Example:



- Adaptive method: Error indicator bcr_{l1,l2} > ε involving the Δ_{l1,l2}, largest value marks the index for refinement, refinement in two directions, and repeat
- Associated combination method involves only the \tilde{P}_{l_1,l_2}
- Note again: Just code to be called for different lattice resolutions and different samplings/chain lengths

Concluding remarks

- We studied SU(2) lattice problems for the most simple case d=2 and the infinite volume limit
 - Wanted to find out if there is a kind of product decay/sparse grid effect or not
 - Yes ! Allows to substantially speed up calculations
- Next:
 - Consider the cases d = 3, 4
 - Try to consider the continuum limit case, code ?
 - Consider other problems than just SU(2), code ?
 - Note: Other codes can be simply plugged into our method
- Instead with MCMC, our approach could work for quasi MC-type techniques of higher order as well ?