

FROM QUANTUM UNCERTAINTY TO Classical Spinning Black Holes

Nathan Moynihan

Based on 2112.07556, 2312.09960,...

with

A. Cristofoli, R. Gonzo, A. Luna, D. O'Connell, A. Ross, M. Sergola and C. White..

Gravitational wave physics requires **high precision**: need to solve

$$
R_{\mu\nu}-\frac{1}{2}Rg_{\mu\nu}=T_{\mu\nu}.
$$

Complicated: spin, tidal effects, radiation, modified theories...

Solving the EFE's is hard. Can **independently** compute amplitudes — but they are **quantum** objects

Classical physics: $\hbar \rightarrow 0$ implies **exponentiation**.

We could compute all the diagrams, but that's a lot of work! Can we do better?

THE EIKONAL

One known example of a better way: the **eikonal**

Cleverly packaged all the *classical* diagrams into a phase:

 $\chi = \chi_{\mathbf{0}} + \chi_{\mathbf{1}} + \chi_{\mathbf{2}} + \cdots, \quad \text{ where } \chi_{i} \sim \mathcal{O}(\bm{G}^{\mathbf{1}+i})$

Only well established for scalars, conservative.

Let's look closely at the eikonal for clues.

 A^{\sim}

One known example of a better way: the **eikonal**

Cleverly packaged all the *classical* diagrams into a phase:

$$
\chi = \chi_0 + \chi_1 + \chi_2 + \cdots, \quad \text{where } \chi_i \sim \mathcal{O}(G^{1+i})
$$

Only well established for scalars, conservative.

Let's look closely at the eikonal for clues.

 $D^{A^{A^{\mathcal{C}}^{\mathcal{C}}}}}$

Let's expand amplitudes into **fragments**

$$
\mathcal{M}_{n,L}=G^{1+L}\hbar^{-k}(\mathcal{M}_{n,L}^{(0)}+\hbar \mathcal{M}_{n,L}^{(1)}+\hbar^2 \mathcal{M}_{n,L}^{(2)}+\cdots)
$$

 $\hbar \rightarrow 0$ limit looks bad for $k > 1...$ Cancellations must occur! We get the relationship

$$
\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{4,0} = \frac{\chi_0}{\hbar}, \quad \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{4,1} = i \frac{\chi_0^2}{2\hbar^2} + \frac{\chi_1}{\hbar}, \quad \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{4,2} = \frac{\chi_0^3}{6\hbar^3} + i \frac{\chi_0 \chi_1}{\hbar^2} + \frac{\chi_2}{\hbar}, \quad \cdots
$$

Infinite set of relations among fragments in the classical limit

$$
\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{4,1}^{(0)}=i\frac{\left(\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{4,0}^{(0)}\right)^2}{2},\quad \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{4,2}^{(0)}=\frac{\left(\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{4,0}^{(0)}\right)^3}{6},\quad \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{4,2}^{(1)}=i\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{4,0}^{(0)}\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{4,1}^{(1)}\quad \cdots
$$

Where do these come from?

 \sim \sim

Let's expand amplitudes into **fragments**

$$
\mathcal{M}_{n,L}=G^{1+L}\hbar^{-k}(\mathcal{M}_{n,L}^{(0)}+\hbar \mathcal{M}_{n,L}^{(1)}+\hbar^2 \mathcal{M}_{n,L}^{(2)}+\cdots)
$$

 $\hbar \rightarrow 0$ limit looks bad for $k > 1...$ Cancellations must occur! We get the relationship

$$
\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{4,0} = \frac{\chi_0}{\hbar}, \quad \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{4,1} = i \frac{\chi_0^2}{2\hbar^2} + \frac{\chi_1}{\hbar}, \quad \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{4,2} = \frac{\chi_0^3}{6\hbar^3} + i \frac{\chi_0 \chi_1}{\hbar^2} + \frac{\chi_2}{\hbar}, \quad \cdots
$$

Infinite set of relations among fragments in the classical limit

$$
\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{4,1}^{(0)}=i\frac{\left(\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{4,0}^{(0)}\right)^2}{2},\quad \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{4,2}^{(0)}=\frac{\left(\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{4,0}^{(0)}\right)^3}{6},\quad \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{4,2}^{(1)}=i\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{4,0}^{(0)}\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{4,1}^{(1)}\quad \cdots
$$

Where do these come from?

 \sim \sim

Amplitudes are quantum – **uncertainty** built in:

$$
(\Delta_{\psi}A)^2(\Delta_{\psi}B)^2\geq \frac{1}{4}|\langle\psi|[A,B]|\psi\rangle|^2,
$$

where $(\Delta_{\psi} A)^2 = \braket{\psi | A^2 | \psi} - \braket{\psi | A | \psi}^2$ is the variance.

Classical physics

$$
(\Delta_{\psi} A)^2 \simeq 0.
$$

The relations among fragments are **zero variance relations**: they minimise quantum uncertainty. Let's see how!

Amplitudes are quantum – **uncertainty** built in:

$$
(\Delta_{\psi}A)^2(\Delta_{\psi}B)^2\geq \frac{1}{4}|\langle\psi|[A,B]|\psi\rangle|^2,
$$

where $(\Delta_{\psi} A)^2 = \braket{\psi | A^2 | \psi} - \braket{\psi | A | \psi}^2$ is the variance.

Classical physics

$$
(\Delta_{\psi}A)^2\simeq 0.
$$

The relations among fragments are **zero variance relations**: they minimise quantum uncertainty. Let's see how!

Amplitudes are quantum – **uncertainty** built in:

$$
(\Delta_{\psi}A)^2(\Delta_{\psi}B)^2\geq \frac{1}{4}|\langle\psi|[A,B]|\psi\rangle|^2,
$$

where $(\Delta_{\psi} A)^2 = \braket{\psi | A^2 | \psi} - \braket{\psi | A | \psi}^2$ is the variance.

Classical physics

$$
(\Delta_{\psi}A)^2\simeq 0.
$$

The relations among fragments are **zero variance relations**: they minimise quantum uncertainty. Let's see how!

Suppose $A = S^{\dagger} \mathcal{O}_A S$. Vanishing variance implies $\langle S^\dagger \mathcal{O}_A \mathcal{O}_A S \rangle \sim \langle S^\dagger \mathcal{O}_A S \rangle \, \langle S^\dagger \mathcal{O}_A S \rangle$ Expanding $S = 1 + iT$, we get at leading order $\langle \mathcal{O}_\mathcal{A} \mathcal{O}_\mathcal{A} \mathcal{T} \rangle - \langle \mathcal{T}^\dagger \mathcal{O}_\mathcal{A} \mathcal{O}_\mathcal{A} \rangle \sim \langle \mathcal{T}^\dagger \mathcal{O}_\mathcal{A} \rangle \, \langle \mathcal{O}_\mathcal{A} \mathcal{T} \rangle - \langle \mathcal{O}_\mathcal{A} \mathcal{T} \rangle \, \langle \mathcal{O}_\mathcal{A} \mathcal{T} \rangle + \mathsf{similar}$ Amplitudes are defined via $\langle T\rangle\sim\mathcal{M}\delta^{(4)}\left(\sum_i\bm{\rho}_i\right)$ and so we get ⟨O*A*O*A*⟩ · M*q*,*L*+¹ ∼ ⟨O*A*⟩ · M*r*,*^L* ⟨O*A*⟩ · M*s*,*^L*

Valid with spin, any number of legs/loops, conservative $(q = r = s)$ and radiative $(r \neq s)$.

Motivation beyond the eikonal.

Suppose $A = S^{\dagger} \mathcal{O}_A S$. Vanishing variance implies

 $\langle S^\dagger \mathcal{O}_A \mathcal{O}_A S \rangle \sim \langle S^\dagger \mathcal{O}_A S \rangle \, \langle S^\dagger \mathcal{O}_A S \rangle$

Expanding $S = 1 + iT$, we get at leading order

 $\langle \mathcal{O}_\mathcal{A} \mathcal{O}_\mathcal{A} \mathcal{T} \rangle - \langle \mathcal{T}^\dagger \mathcal{O}_\mathcal{A} \mathcal{O}_\mathcal{A} \rangle \sim \langle \mathcal{T}^\dagger \mathcal{O}_\mathcal{A} \rangle \langle \mathcal{O}_\mathcal{A} \mathcal{T} \rangle - \langle \mathcal{O}_\mathcal{A} \mathcal{T} \rangle \langle \mathcal{O}_\mathcal{A} \mathcal{T} \rangle + \mathsf{similar}$

Amplitudes are defined via $\langle T\rangle\sim\mathcal{M}\delta^{(4)}\left(\sum_{i}^{} \rho_{i}\right)$ and so we get

$$
\langle \mathcal{O}_\text{A} \mathcal{O}_\text{A} \rangle \cdot \mathcal{M}_{\text{q},L+1} \sim \langle \mathcal{O}_\text{A} \rangle \cdot \mathcal{M}_{\text{r},L} \, \langle \mathcal{O}_\text{A} \rangle \cdot \mathcal{M}_{\text{s},L}
$$

Valid with spin, any number of legs/loops, conservative $(q = r = s)$ and radiative $(r \neq s)$.

Motivation beyond the eikonal.

Start with a two-particle state

$$
|\Psi\rangle=\int_{\rho_1,\rho_2,a_1,a_2}|\rho_1,a_1;\rho_2,a_2\rangle
$$

We **time-evolve** with the *S*-matrix (spin implicit)

$$
S\ket{\Psi}=\ket{\Psi}+\int_{\rho_1',\rho_2',q}|\rho_1',\rho_2'\rangle\times\hat{\delta}(2\rho_1'\cdot q-q^2)\hat{\delta}(2\rho_2'\cdot q+q^2)i\mathcal{A}_4(s,t,m_i^2)
$$

We can invert the eikonal

$$
\hat{\delta}(2\tilde{p}_1 \cdot q)\hat{\delta}(2\tilde{p}_2 \cdot q) i\mathcal{A}_4(s,t,m_i^2) = \int d^4x \; e^{iq \cdot x} \bigg\{ (\exp(i\chi(x_\perp)) - 1) \bigg\}
$$

where $x_\perp^\mu = \Pi^\mu_{\,\,\nu}(\tilde{\rho}_1,\tilde{\rho}_2)x^\nu$ and

$$
\tilde{X} = e^{q \cdot Y} X e^{-q \cdot Y}, \qquad Y_{\mu} = \frac{1}{2}
$$

Neyt: Stationary Phase Conditions

2 $\overline{\partial p^{\prime \mu}_2}$ $-\frac{1}{2}$ 2 $\overline{\partial p_{1}^{\prime \mu}}$

 \sim \sim

Start with a two-particle state

$$
|\Psi\rangle=\int_{\rho_1,\rho_2,a_1,a_2}|\rho_1,a_1;\rho_2,a_2\rangle
$$

We **time-evolve** with the *S*-matrix (spin implicit)

$$
S\ket{\Psi}=\ket{\Psi}+\int_{\rho_1',\rho_2',q}|\rho_1',\rho_2'\rangle\times\hat{\delta}(2\rho_1'\cdot q-q^2)\hat{\delta}(2\rho_2'\cdot q+q^2)i\mathcal{A}_4(s,t,m_i^2)
$$

We can invert the eikonal

$$
\hat{\delta}(2\tilde{p}_1 \cdot q)\hat{\delta}(2\tilde{p}_2 \cdot q) i\mathcal{A}_4(s,t,m_i^2) = \int d^4x \; e^{iq \cdot x} \bigg\{ (\exp(i\chi(x_\perp)) - 1) \bigg\}
$$

where $x_\perp^\mu = \Pi^\mu_{\,\,\nu}(\tilde{\rho}_1,\tilde{\rho}_2)x^\nu$ and $\tilde{X} = e^{q \cdot Y} X e^{-q \cdot Y}, \quad Y_{\mu} = \frac{1}{2}$ ∂ $-\frac{1}{2}$ ∂ . $\overline{\partial p^{\prime \mu}_2}$ $\overline{\partial p_{1}^{\prime \mu}}$ 2 2 ACCORD COM

NEXT: STATIONARY PHASE CONDITIONS $\qquad \qquad \bullet \qquad \bullet$

We can therefore write

$$
\mathcal{S}\ket{\Psi}=\int_{\rho_1',\rho_2'}\ket{\rho_1',\rho_2'}\times\int\mathrm{d}^4q\mathrm{d}^4x\;e^{i q\cdot x}e^{q\cdot Y}\exp\bigg(i\chi(x_\perp)/\hbar\bigg)e^{-q\cdot Y}
$$

For $\hbar \rightarrow 0$, we find two stationary phase conditions

$$
Q^{\mu}=-\frac{\partial\widetilde{\chi}}{\partial x_{\mu}},\qquad X^{\mu}=b^{\mu}-\frac{\partial\widetilde{\chi}}{\partial Q_{\mu}}.
$$

 Q_μ is the **classical impulse** while X^μ picks up **iterations**.

The final state is then

$$
S\ket{\Psi}=\int_{\rho_1',\rho_2'}\ket{\rho_1',\rho_2'}\times e^{iQ\cdot X}e^{Q\cdot Y}\exp\bigg(i\chi(x_\perp)\bigg)e^{-Q\cdot Y}.
$$

Note that the translation changes the scattering plane and induces an infinite expansion of *x*[⊥] in *G*. But what about **radiation**?

Neyt: Final State With Radiation

We can therefore write

$$
\mathcal{S}\ket{\Psi}=\int_{\rho_1',\rho_2'}\ket{\rho_1',\rho_2'}\times\int\mathrm{d}^4q\mathrm{d}^4x\;e^{iq\cdot x}e^{q\cdot Y}\exp\bigg(i\chi(x_\perp)/\hbar\bigg)e^{-q\cdot Y}
$$

For $\hbar \rightarrow 0$, we find two stationary phase conditions

$$
Q^{\mu}=-\frac{\partial \widetilde{\chi}}{\partial x_{\mu}},\qquad X^{\mu}=b^{\mu}-\frac{\partial \widetilde{\chi}}{\partial Q_{\mu}}.
$$

 Q_μ is the **classical impulse** while X^μ picks up **iterations**.

The final state is then

$$
S\ket{\Psi}=\int_{\rho_1',\rho_2'}\ket{\rho_1',\rho_2'}\times e^{iQ\cdot X}e^{Q\cdot Y}\exp\left(i\chi(x_\perp)\right)e^{-Q\cdot Y}.
$$

Note that the translation changes the scattering plane and induces an infinite expansion of *x*[⊥] in *G*. But what about **radiation**?

Coherent states automatically minimise uncertainty:

$$
a^{\dagger} |\alpha\rangle = \alpha |\alpha\rangle \qquad \Longrightarrow \qquad (\Delta_{\alpha}\hat{x})^2 = (\Delta_{\alpha}\hat{p})^2 = \frac{\hbar}{2}
$$

in QFT, for us,

$$
|p_1, p_2, \alpha\rangle = e^{-\frac{1}{2}\int_k |\alpha(k)|^2} \exp\left(\int_k \alpha(k) a_\eta^\dagger(k)\right) |p_1, p_2; 0\rangle
$$

Compute lowest order to fix $\alpha \sim M_5$, motivating the proposal

$$
S\left|\psi\right\rangle =\int_{p_i,FT}\xi_{b_1^\prime}\exp\left(\frac{i}{\hbar}\chi(x_\perp)\right)_{b_1}^{b_1^\prime}\exp\left(\int_{\text{on-shell}}\mathcal{M}_5a^\dagger\right)_{a_1^\prime}^{b_1}\left|p_1^\prime,a_1^\prime,p_2^\prime\right\rangle
$$

This is our proposal for the final classical state including spin and radiation. Needs lots of testing!

How do we get observables out?

 A^{\sim}

Coherent states automatically minimise uncertainty:

$$
a^{\dagger} |\alpha\rangle = \alpha |\alpha\rangle \qquad \Longrightarrow \qquad (\Delta_{\alpha}\hat{x})^2 = (\Delta_{\alpha}\hat{p})^2 = \frac{\hbar}{2}
$$

in QFT, for us,

$$
|p_1, p_2, \alpha\rangle = e^{-\frac{1}{2}\int_k |\alpha(k)|^2} \exp\left(\int_k \alpha(k) a_\eta^\dagger(k)\right) |p_1, p_2; 0\rangle
$$

Compute lowest order to fix $\alpha \sim M_5$, motivating the proposal

$$
S\left|\psi\right\rangle =\int_{p_i,FT}\xi_{b_{1}^{\prime}}\exp\left(\frac{i}{\hbar}\chi(x_{\perp})\right)_{b_{1}}^{b_{1}^{\prime}}\exp\left(\int_{\text{on-shell}}\mathcal{M}_{5}a^{\dagger}\right)_{a_{1}^{\prime}}^{b_{1}}\left|p_{1}^{\prime},a_{1}^{\prime},p_{2}^{\prime}\right\rangle
$$

This is our proposal for the final classical state including spin and radiation. Needs lots of testing!

How do we get observables out?

OBSERVABLES IN THE CLASSICAL LIMIT

The change in some observable $\mathbb{O}_1 \in \mathcal{H}_1$ due to scattering is

$$
\Delta \mathbb{O}_1 = \langle \Psi | S^\dagger \hat{\mathbb{O}}_1 S | \Psi \rangle - \langle \Psi | \hat{\mathbb{O}}_1 | \Psi \rangle = \langle \Psi | S^\dagger [\hat{\mathbb{O}}_1, S] | \Psi \rangle \,.
$$

Applying our eikonal state then gives

$$
\langle\Psi|S^\dagger[\hat{\mathbb{O}}_1,S]|\Psi\rangle=\bigg\langle\!\!\bigg\langle e^{-i\widetilde{\chi}^\dagger+\int\mathcal{M}_5a}[\mathbb{O}(\rho_1),e^{i\widetilde{\chi}+\int\mathcal{M}_5a^\dagger}]+\mathbb{O}(Q)\bigg\rangle\!\!\bigg\rangle
$$

For large spin and zero radiation we have by BCH

$$
[\mathbb{O},e^{i\chi/\hbar}]=e^{i\chi/\hbar}\left(-\{\langle \mathbb{O}\rangle\,,\langle\widetilde{\chi}\rangle\}-\frac{1}{2}\{\langle\widetilde{\chi}\rangle\,,\{\langle \mathbb{O}\rangle\,,\langle\widetilde{\chi}\rangle\}\}+\cdots\right)
$$

where we have used $\{\cdot,\cdot\} = \frac{i}{\hbar}[\cdot,\cdot].$

Find a nice formula to compute observables with spin

$$
\Delta \mathbb{O}_1 = \mathbb{O}_1 (Q) - \{ \mathbb{O}_1 (p_1), \widetilde{\chi} \} - \frac{1}{2} \{ \widetilde{\chi}, \{ \mathbb{O}_1 (p_1), \widetilde{\chi} \} \} + \cdots,
$$

Neyt: Testing the Proposal

Observables in the Classical Limit

The change in some observable $\mathbb{O}_1 \in \mathcal{H}_1$ due to scattering is

$$
\Delta \mathbb{O}_1 = \langle \Psi | S^\dagger \hat{\mathbb{O}}_1 S | \Psi \rangle - \langle \Psi | \hat{\mathbb{O}}_1 | \Psi \rangle = \langle \Psi | S^\dagger [\hat{\mathbb{O}}_1, S] | \Psi \rangle \,.
$$

Applying our eikonal state then gives

$$
\langle\Psi|S^\dagger[\hat{\mathbb{O}}_1,S]|\Psi\rangle=\bigg\langle\!\!\!\bigg\langle e^{-i\widetilde{\chi}^\dagger+\int\mathcal{M}_5a}[\mathbb{O}(\rho_1),e^{i\widetilde{\chi}+\int\mathcal{M}_5a^\dagger}]+\mathbb{O}(Q)\bigg\rangle\!\!\!\bigg\rangle
$$

For large spin and zero radiation we have by BCH

$$
[\mathbb{O},e^{i\chi/\hbar}]=e^{i\chi/\hbar}\left(-\{\langle \mathbb{O}\rangle\,,\langle\widetilde{\chi}\rangle\}-\frac{1}{2}\{\langle\widetilde{\chi}\rangle\,,\{\langle \mathbb{O}\rangle\,,\langle\widetilde{\chi}\rangle\}\}+\cdots\right)
$$

where we have used $\{\cdot,\cdot\} = \frac{i}{\hbar}[\cdot,\cdot].$

Find a nice formula to compute observables with spin

$$
\Delta \mathbb{O}_1 = \mathbb{O}_1 (Q) - \{ \mathbb{O}_1 (p_1), \widetilde{\chi} \} - \frac{1}{2} \{ \widetilde{\chi}, \{ \mathbb{O}_1 (p_1), \widetilde{\chi} \} \} + \cdots,
$$

Never: Tegenog the Proposal

Observables in the Classical Limit

The change in some observable $\mathbb{O}_1 \in \mathcal{H}_1$ due to scattering is

$$
\Delta \mathbb{O}_1 = \langle \Psi | S^\dagger \hat{\mathbb{O}}_1 S | \Psi \rangle - \langle \Psi | \hat{\mathbb{O}}_1 | \Psi \rangle = \langle \Psi | S^\dagger [\hat{\mathbb{O}}_1, S] | \Psi \rangle \,.
$$

Applying our eikonal state then gives

$$
\langle\Psi|S^\dagger[\hat{\mathbb{O}}_1,S]|\Psi\rangle=\bigg\langle\!\!\!\bigg\langle e^{-i\widetilde{\chi}^\dagger+\int\mathcal{M}_5a}[\mathbb{O}(\rho_1),e^{i\widetilde{\chi}+\int\mathcal{M}_5a^\dagger}]+\mathbb{O}(Q)\bigg\rangle\!\!\!\bigg\rangle
$$

For large spin and zero radiation we have by BCH

$$
[\mathbb{O},e^{i\chi/\hbar}]=e^{i\chi/\hbar}\left(-\{\langle \mathbb{O}\rangle\,,\langle\widetilde{\chi}\rangle\}-\frac{1}{2}\{\langle\widetilde{\chi}\rangle\,,\{\langle \mathbb{O}\rangle\,,\langle\widetilde{\chi}\rangle\}\}+\cdots\right)
$$

where we have used $\{\cdot,\cdot\} = \frac{i}{\hbar}[\cdot,\cdot].$

Find a nice formula to compute observables with spin

$$
\Delta \mathbb{O}_1 = \mathbb{O}_1 (Q) - \{ \mathbb{O}_1 (p_1), \widetilde{\chi} \} - \frac{1}{2} \{ \widetilde{\chi}, \{ \mathbb{O}_1 (p_1), \widetilde{\chi} \} \} + \cdots,
$$

Neyt: Testing the Proposal

Observables in the Classical Limit

The change in some observable $\mathbb{O}_1 \in \mathcal{H}_1$ due to scattering is

$$
\Delta \mathbb{O}_1 = \langle \Psi | S^\dagger \hat{\mathbb{O}}_1 S | \Psi \rangle - \langle \Psi | \hat{\mathbb{O}}_1 | \Psi \rangle = \langle \Psi | S^\dagger [\hat{\mathbb{O}}_1, S] | \Psi \rangle \,.
$$

Applying our eikonal state then gives

$$
\langle\Psi|S^\dagger[\hat{\mathbb{O}}_1,S]|\Psi\rangle=\bigg\langle\!\!\!\bigg\langle e^{-i\widetilde{\chi}^\dagger+\int\mathcal{M}_5a}[\mathbb{O}(\rho_1),e^{i\widetilde{\chi}+\int\mathcal{M}_5a^\dagger}]+\mathbb{O}(Q)\bigg\rangle\!\!\!\bigg\rangle
$$

For large spin and zero radiation we have by BCH

$$
[\mathbb{O},e^{i\chi/\hbar}]=e^{i\chi/\hbar}\left(-\{\langle \mathbb{O}\rangle\,,\langle\widetilde{\chi}\rangle\}-\frac{1}{2}\{\langle\widetilde{\chi}\rangle\,,\{\langle \mathbb{O}\rangle\,,\langle\widetilde{\chi}\rangle\}\}+\cdots\right)
$$

where we have used $\{\cdot,\cdot\} = \frac{i}{\hbar}[\cdot,\cdot].$

Find a nice formula to compute observables with spin

$$
\Delta \mathbb{O}_1 = \mathbb{O}_1 (Q) - \{ \mathbb{O}_1 (p_1), \widetilde{\chi} \} - \frac{1}{2} \{ \widetilde{\chi}, \{ \mathbb{O}_1 (p_1), \widetilde{\chi} \} \} + \cdots,
$$

Test: compute non-trivial results. We find

• For $\mathbb{O}_1 = \mathbb{P}$, we find $\Delta p_1^{\mu} = Q^{\mu}$ up to $\mathcal{O}(G^2)$, including radiation reaction at $\mathcal{O}(G^3)$

$$
\Delta p_{1, \text{RR}}^{\mu} = i \bigg\langle \!\!\!\bigg\langle \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{5}^{*}(x_{1}, x_{2}) \partial_{1}^{\mu} \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{5}(x_{1}, x_{2}) \bigg\rangle \!\!\!\bigg\rangle.
$$

• For $\mathbb{O}_1 = \mathbb{C}$ in Yang-Mills, we compute the change in colour-charge to one-loop

$$
\Delta c^a = -\{c^a, \widetilde{\chi}\} - \frac{1}{2}\{\widetilde{\chi}, \{c^a, \widetilde{\chi}\}\} + \cdots
$$

• For $\mathbb{O}_1 = \mathbb{W}$, we find the linear spin-kick up to one-loop $\mathcal{O}(G^2, s_1)$

$$
\Delta s_1^\mu = s_1^\mu(\Delta p_1) - \left\{s_1^\mu, \widetilde{\chi}\right\} - \frac{1}{2}\{\widetilde{\chi}, \{s_1^\mu, \widetilde{\chi}\}\} + \cdots
$$

The "direct" term can be traced to a boost, the rest rotation.

- More checks needed, refine proposal,
- Tidal effects, Higher dimensional operators
- Higher orders in *G*: tail effects, memory etc
- Bound states
- Combine spin + radiation
- Extract Radiation Reaction beyond $O(G^3)$

Thank you for listening.

Any Questions?

