# Three methods to search for the two $\Xi(1820)$ states

E. Oset, W, H. Liang, R. Molina, M. Yu Duan, Jing Song, C. W. Xiao, Z. F. Sun

IFIC, CSIC-University fo Valencia

Chiral Lagrangians for meson baryon interaction, Kbar N

```
The two states of the \Lambda(1405)
```

Equivalence to vector exchange in the hidden gauge approach

Interaction of pseudoscalars with the baryons of the  $\Delta$  decuplet

The two  $\Xi(1820)$  states

 $\psi(3686)$  decay to  $K^-\Lambda\bar{\Xi}^+$ 

The  $\Omega_c \to \pi^+ (\pi^0, \eta) \pi \Xi^*$  reactions

 $\psi(3686) \rightarrow \bar{\Xi}^+ \bar{K}^0 \Sigma^{*-}(\pi^- \Lambda)$  decay

### Chiral Lagrangians for P B interaction

G. Ecker, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. 35 (1995) 1.  $\nabla_{\mu}B = \partial_{\mu}B + [\Gamma_{\mu}, B],$ V. Bernard, N. Kaiser and U.G. Meissner, Int. J. Mod. Phys. E 4 (1995) 193.  $\Gamma_{\mu} = \frac{1}{2} (u^{+} \partial_{\mu} u + u \partial_{\mu} u^{+}) ,$  $U = u^2 = \exp(i\sqrt{2\Phi}/f) ,$  $L_1^{(B)} = \langle \bar{B}i\gamma^{\mu}\nabla_{\mu}B\rangle - M_B\langle \bar{B}B\rangle$  $u_{\mu} = iu^+ \partial_{\mu} U u^+ \, .$  $\Phi = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\pi^{0} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}\eta & \pi^{+} & K^{+} \\ \pi^{-} & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\pi^{0} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}\eta & K^{0} \\ K^{-} & \bar{K}^{0} & -\frac{2}{\sqrt{6}}\eta \end{pmatrix} \qquad B = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\Sigma^{0} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}\Lambda & \Sigma^{+} & p \\ \Sigma^{-} & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\Sigma^{0} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}\Lambda & n \\ \Xi^{-} & Z^{0} & -\frac{2}{\sqrt{6}}\Lambda \end{pmatrix}$ 

$$L_1^{(B)} = \left\langle \bar{B}i\gamma^{\mu}\frac{1}{4f^2} \left[ \left( \Phi \partial_{\mu}\Phi - \partial_{\mu}\Phi\Phi \right) B - B(\Phi \partial_{\mu}\Phi - \partial_{\mu}\Phi\Phi) \right] \right\rangle$$

$$V_{ij} = -C_{ij} \frac{1}{4f^2} \bar{u}(p') \gamma^{\mu} u(p) (k_{\mu} + k'_{\mu}) \qquad V_{ij} = -C_{ij} \frac{1}{4f^2} (k^0 + k'^0)$$

 $C_{ij}$  coefficients of Eq. (7).  $C_{ji} = C_{ij}$ 

	$K^-p$	$\bar{K}^0 n$	$\pi^0 A$	$\pi^0 \Sigma^0$	$\eta \Lambda$	$\eta \Sigma^0$	$\pi^+ \Sigma^-$	$\pi^{-}\Sigma^{+}$	<i>K</i> + <i>Ξ</i> −	$K^0 \Xi^0$
$K^-p$	2	1	$\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{3}{2}$	$\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}$	0	ł	0	0
$\bar{K}^0 n$		2	$-\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{3}{2}$	$-\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}$	1	0	0	0
$\pi^0 A$			0	0	0	0	0	0	$\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}$	$-\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}$
$\pi^0 \Sigma^0$				0	0	0	2	2	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$
$\eta A$					0	0	0	0	$\frac{3}{2}$	$\frac{3}{2}$
$\eta \Sigma^0$						0	0	0	$\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}$	$-\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}$
$\pi^+ \Sigma^-$							2	0	1	0
$\pi^- \Sigma^+$								2	0	1
$K^+\Xi^-$									2	1
$K^0 \Xi^0$										2

$$\frac{k}{p}, \frac{k}{p}, \frac$$

DPWA

DPWA

DPWA

**DPWA** 



VP INTERACTION IN THE LOCAL HIDDEN GAUGE APPROACH Bando et al Phys Rep. 164 U. G. Meissner, Phys. Rept. 161, 213 (1988)

$$\begin{array}{ccc} V & V \\ \hline V' & \\ P & \\ \hline P & \hline P &$$

 $\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{4f^2} \langle [V^{\mu}, \partial_{\nu} V^{\mu}] [P, \partial^{\nu} P] \rangle \quad \text{Chiral Lagrangian of M. C. Birse, Z. Phys. A 355, 231 (1996)}$ 

For pseudoscalar-baryon of the octet interaction



.

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{L}_{\text{VPP}} &= -ig \langle [\Phi, \partial_{\mu} \Phi] V^{\mu} \rangle \\ V_{\mu} &= \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \rho^{0} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \omega & \rho^{+} & K^{*+} \\ \rho^{-} & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \rho^{0} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \omega & K^{*0} \\ K^{*-} & \bar{K}^{*0} & \phi \end{pmatrix}_{\mu} \end{split}$$

 $\mathcal{L}_{\text{VBB}} = g(\langle \bar{B} \gamma_{\mu} [V^{\mu}, B] \rangle + \langle \bar{B} \gamma_{\mu} B \rangle \langle V^{\mu} \rangle)$ 

### Alternative way of calculation

$$\rho^{0} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (u\bar{u} - d\bar{d}),$$
$$\omega = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (u\bar{u} + d\bar{d}),$$
$$\phi = s\bar{s}.$$

$$\gamma^{\mu} \rightarrow \gamma^{0}$$
  $\rightarrow$  1, spin independent vertex

$$\langle p|g\rho^{0}|p\rangle \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \langle \phi_{\rm MS} \chi_{\rm MS} + \phi_{\rm MA} \chi_{\rm MA} |g \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (u\bar{u} - d\bar{d}) |$$
$$\times \phi_{\rm MS} \chi_{\rm MS} + \phi_{\rm MA} \chi_{\rm MA} \rangle,$$
(10)

This procedure can be used for the decuplet baryons and is particularly useful for baryons with charm or bottom.

E. Jenkins, A.V. Manohar, Phys. Lett. B 259 (1991) 353

E.E. Kolomeitsev, M.F.M. Lutz, Phys. Lett. B 585 (2004) 243,

S. Sakar, E. Oset and M.J.Vicente-Vacas, Nucl.Phys.A 750 (2005) 294-323,

 $10 \otimes 8 = 8 \oplus 10 \oplus 27 \oplus 35$ 

0^- 3/2^+ in s-wave  $\rightarrow$  3/2^- states

Many states of 3/2<sup>-</sup> are dynamically generated from the interaction with coupled channels:



The  $\Omega$  state around 2100 MeV has been confirmed recently, by Belle and called  $\Omega(2012)$  J. Yelton et al. (Belle Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. 121, 052003 (2018)

$$m_{\Omega^*}^{\exp} = 2012.4 \pm 0.92 \text{ MeV},$$
  
 $\Gamma_{\Omega^*}^{\exp} = 6.4^{+3.0}_{-2.6} \text{ MeV}.$ 

In R. Pavao and E. Oset, Eur. Phys. J. C 78, 857 (2018)

 $\bar{K} \Xi^*, \eta \Omega$  and  $\bar{K} \Xi$  channels

$$V = \begin{pmatrix} K \Xi^* & \eta \Omega & K \Xi \\ 0 & 3F & \alpha q^2 \\ 3F & 0 & \beta q^2 \\ \alpha q^2 & \beta q^2 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \bar{K} \Xi^* & \text{Final Belle results} \\ \eta \Omega & \text{arXiv:}2207.03090 \text{ [hep-ex]} \\ \text{and it was concluded that "this ratio is consistent with the molecular interpretation of the } \Omega(2012)"$$

$\alpha (10^{-8} \text{ MeV}^{-3})$	$\beta (10^{-8} \text{ MeV}^{-3})$	$q_{\max}$ (MeV)	$(m_{\Omega^*}, \Gamma_{\Omega^*})$ (MeV)	$\Gamma(\bar{K} \Xi) $ (MeV)	$\Gamma(\pi \bar{K} \Xi) (\text{MeV})$
5.0	0.1	735	(2012.19, 6.36)	3.35	3.01
4.0	1.5	735	(2012.4, 6.2)	3.22	2.98
3.0	3.0	735	(2012.36, 6.19)	3.25	2.94
2.0	4.5	735	(2012.26, 6.23)	3.34	2.89

[9] M. P. Valderrama, Phys. Rev. D 98, 054009 (2018). [10] Y.-H. Lin and B.-S. Zou, Phys. Rev. D 98, 056013 (2018). [11] R. Pavao and E. Oset, Eur. Phys. J. C 78, 857 (2018). [12] Y. Huang, M.-Z. Liu, J.-X. Lu, J.-J. Xie, and L.-S. Geng, Phys. Rev. D 98, 076012 (2018). [13] J.-X. Lu, C.-H. Zeng, E. Wang, J.-J. Xie, and L.-S. Geng, Eur. Phys. J. C 80, 361 (2020). [14] N. Ikeno, G. Toledo, and E. Oset, Phys. Rev. D 101, 094016 (2020). [15] X. Liu, H. Huang, J. Ping, and D. Chen, Phys. Rev. C 103, 025202 (2021). [18] W.-L. Wang, F. Huang, Z.-Y. Zhang, Y.-W. Yu, and F. Liu, Commun. Theor. Phys. 48, 695 (2007). [19] W. L. Wang, F. Huang, Z. Y. Zhang, and F. Liu, J. Phys. G 35, 085003 (2008).

[20] T. Gutsche and V. E. Lyubovitskij, J. Phys. G 48, 025001 (2020).





## Study of excited $\Xi$ states in $\psi(3686) \rightarrow K^- \Lambda \bar{\Xi}^+ + c.c.$

M. Ablikim *et al.*\* (BESIII Collaboration) PHYSICAL REVIEW D 109, 072008 (2024)



$$\Gamma_{\Xi(1820)} = 73^{+6}_{-5} \pm 9 \text{ MeV}.$$

This result is much bigger, and incompatible with that of the PDG [3] of

 $\Gamma_{\Xi(1820)}^{\text{PDG}} = 24^{+15}_{-10} \text{ MeV} \text{ (PDG estimate)}; \quad 24 \pm 5 \text{ MeV} \text{ (PDG average)}.$ 

#### e-Print: 2309.03618

#### R.~Molina, W.~H.~Liang, C.~W.~Xiao, Z.~F.~Sun and E.~Oset,

$$V_{ij} = -\frac{1}{4f^2}C_{ij}(k^0 + k'^0) \qquad T = [1 - VG]^{-1}V.$$

TABLE I.  $C_{ij}$  coefficients of Eq. (3).

$C_{ij}$	$\Sigma^* \bar{K}$	$\Xi^*\pi$	$\Xi^*\eta$	$\Omega K$
$\Sigma^* \bar{K}$	2	1	3	0
$\Xi^*\pi$		2	0	$\frac{3}{\sqrt{2}}$
$\Xi^*\eta$			0	$\frac{3}{\sqrt{2}}$
$\Omega K$				3



S-wave

D-wave

$$t = \sum_{j} A_{j} \vec{\epsilon}_{\psi} \cdot \vec{p}_{\Xi} G_{j}(PB^{*}) T_{ji} C_{i} \tilde{k}^{2}$$
$$\sim \sum_{ij} D_{ij} \tilde{k}^{2} \vec{\epsilon}_{\psi} \cdot \vec{p}_{\Xi} T_{ji},$$

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\Gamma}{\mathrm{d}M_{\mathrm{inv}}(K^{-}\Lambda)} = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{3}} \frac{1}{4M_{\psi}^{2}} p_{\Xi} \tilde{k} \sum_{i} \sum_{j} |t|^{2}, \qquad = W p_{\Xi}^{3} \tilde{k}^{5} \sum_{ij} |D_{ij} T_{ji}|^{2}$$

Since the  $\Xi^* \eta$  channel has the largest strength, we take just this amplitude

Add background following phase space

$$C \ p_{\bar{\Xi}} \ \tilde{k}$$

Fit parameters , W, q<sub>max</sub>, C



f=1.28f<sub> $\pi$ </sub>, and q<sub>max</sub> = 830 MeV.

$$\mathbf{t} = \frac{A}{M_{\text{inv}} - M_{R_1} + i\frac{\Gamma_1}{2}} + \frac{B}{M_{\text{inv}} - M_{R_2} + i\frac{\Gamma_2}{2}}$$

with  $R_1$ ,  $R_2$  representing approximately the two resonances of Table II, with  $M_{R_1} = 1822$ MeV,  $\Gamma_1 = 45$  MeV,  $M_{R_2} = 1870$  MeV,  $\Gamma_2 = 200$  MeV. We adjust A and B and the background



A good reproduction of the data is obtained with the two poles of the  $\Xi(1820)$ 

The  $\Omega_c \to \pi^+(\pi^0, \eta) \pi \Xi^*$  reactions and the two  $\Xi(1820)$  states W. H. Liang, R. Molina, E. O. e-Print: 2404.18882  $\Omega_c \to \pi^+ \Xi(1820) \to \pi^+ \pi^0 \Xi^{*-} (\pi^- \Xi^{*0}),$  $\Omega_c \to \pi^0 \Xi(1820) \to \pi^0 \pi^+ \Xi^{*-} (\pi^0 \Xi^{*0}),$  $\Omega_c \to \eta \,\Xi(1820) \to \eta \pi^+ \,\Xi^{*-} \,(\pi^0 \Xi^{*0}).$  $\bar{K}^0 \Sigma^{*-}, \ K^- \Sigma^{*0}, \ \pi^0 \Xi^{*-}, \ \eta \Xi^{*-}, \ \pi^- \Xi^{*0}, \ K^0 \Omega^-,$ with charge Q = -1 $\bar{K}^0 \Sigma^{*0}, \ K^- \Sigma^{*+}, \ \pi^+ \Xi^{*-}, \ \pi^0 \Xi^{*0}, \ \eta \Xi^{*0}, \ K^+ \Omega^-,$ with charge O = 0



$$\langle sss \, \chi_S | \ \vec{\sigma} \cdot (\vec{p}_1 - \vec{p}_2) \bar{c}s \, | css \, \chi_{MS} \rangle$$
  
=  $\langle \chi_S | \ \vec{\sigma} \cdot (\vec{p}_1 - \vec{p}_2) \, | \chi_{MS} \rangle .$ 

$$\left\langle \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} (dss + sds + ssd) \chi_S \right| \vec{\sigma} \cdot (\vec{p_1} - \vec{p_2}) \vec{c}d | css \chi_{MS} \right\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \left\langle \chi_S \right| \vec{\sigma} \cdot (\vec{p_1} - \vec{p_2}) | \chi_{MS} \rangle,$$

Macroscopic representation

$$\langle \Omega^{-} (\Xi^{*-}(1530)) | \vec{S}^{+} \cdot (\vec{p}_{1} - \vec{p}_{2}) | \Omega_{c}^{0} \rangle$$

$$\sum_{M} S_{i} \left| M \right\rangle \left\langle M \right| S_{j}^{+} = \frac{2}{3} \delta_{ij} - \frac{i}{3} \epsilon_{ijk} \sigma_{k}$$



![](_page_20_Figure_0.jpeg)

1) 
$$\Omega_c^0 \to \pi^+ \pi^0 \Xi^{*-}$$

1

$$t_{1} = C \langle \Omega^{-} | \vec{S}^{+} \cdot \vec{p}_{\pi^{+}} | \Omega_{c}^{0} \rangle t_{1}', \qquad (12)$$
  
$$t_{1}' = G_{K^{0}\Omega^{-}} (M_{\text{inv}}(\pi^{0}\Xi^{*-})) \cdot t_{K^{0}\Omega^{-},\pi^{0}\Xi^{*-}} (M_{\text{inv}}(\pi^{0}\Xi^{*-}));$$

![](_page_20_Figure_3.jpeg)

7) 
$$\Omega_c^0 \to \pi^+ \pi^0 \Xi^{*-}$$
  
 $t_7 = C \langle \Xi^{*-} | \vec{S}^+ \cdot \vec{p}_{\pi^+} | \Omega_c^0 \rangle t_7',$   
 $t_7' = -\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} \left[ 1 + G_{\pi^0 \Xi^{*-}} (M_{\text{inv}}(\pi^0 \Xi^{*-})) + t_{\pi^0 \Xi^{*-}, \pi^0 \Xi^{*-}} (M_{\text{inv}}(\pi^0 \Xi^{*-})) \right]$ 

 $t_1$  and  $t_7$  have the same Cabibbo factor  $\cos \theta_c \sin \theta_c$ . Single Cabibbo suppressed .... for the other final channels

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\Gamma_i}{\mathrm{d}M_{\mathrm{inv}}(M_i\Xi_i^*)} = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{4M_{\Omega_c}^2} p_i \,\tilde{q}_i \,\overline{\sum} \sum |t_i|^2, \, (i=1\sim 6)$$

![](_page_21_Figure_1.jpeg)

Searching for the two poles of the  $\Xi(1820)$  in the  $\psi(3686) \rightarrow \overline{\Xi}^+ \overline{K}^0 \Sigma^{*-}(\pi^- \Lambda)$  decay

Man Yu Duan, Jing Song, Wei Hong Liang, E. O.

e-Print: 2405.03622

Same BESIII reaction but with a different final state Kbar  $\Sigma^*$ 

The choice of Kbar  $\Sigma^*$  is motivated by the threshold around 1880 MeV, although we can have smaller energies because of the width of the  $\Sigma^*$ . This kills the 1820 resonance and gives more chances to the one around 1875 MeV.

![](_page_22_Figure_5.jpeg)

We use the fact that the  $\psi(3686)$  is ccbar and hence a SU(3) singlet.

$$\bar{\Xi}^+ M_j B_j^*,$$

These vertices can be calculated using S U(3) Clebsch Gordan coefficients of 8  $\otimes 10 \rightarrow 8$ , choosing for the 8  $\otimes 10$  the MB\* states of the coupled channels, and for the final 8 multiplet the state  $\Xi$ . Phase convention  $|K^-\rangle = -|\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}\rangle, |\pi^+\rangle = -|1, 1\rangle$ 

TABLE I:  $W_j$  Clebsch-Gordan coefficients for the different coupled channels.

Channels 
$$\bar{K}^0 \Sigma^{*-}$$
  $K^- \Sigma^{*0}$   $\pi^0 \Xi^{*-}$   $\eta \Xi^{*-}$   $\pi^- \Xi^{*0}$   $K^0 \Omega^-$   
 $W_j$   $-\sqrt{\frac{2}{15}}$   $-\sqrt{\frac{1}{15}}$   $\sqrt{\frac{1}{15}}$   $-\sqrt{\frac{1}{5}}$   $-\sqrt{\frac{2}{15}}$   $\sqrt{\frac{2}{5}}$ 

$$t = C \langle B^* | (\vec{S}^+ \times \vec{p}_{\bar{\Xi}^+}) \cdot \vec{\epsilon} | \Xi^- \rangle t' \qquad t' = W_{\bar{K}^0 \Sigma^{*-}} + \sum_i W_j G_j t_{j, \bar{K}^0 \Sigma^{*-}}$$

$$\frac{d\Gamma}{dM_{\rm inv}(\bar{K}^0\Sigma^{*-})} = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{4M_{\psi}^2} p_{\bar{\Xi}^+} \tilde{p}_{\bar{K}^0} \sum |t|^2 2M_{\bar{\Xi}^+} 2M_{\Sigma^{*-}},$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\Gamma}{dM_{\rm inv}(\bar{K}^0\Sigma^{*-})} &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{4M_{\psi}^2} p_{\bar{\Xi}^+} \tilde{p}_{\bar{K}^0} |t'|^2 \frac{C'}{M_{\psi}^2} p_{\bar{\Xi}^+}^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{C'}{4M_{\psi}^4} p_{\bar{\Xi}^+}^3 \tilde{p}_{\bar{K}^0} |t'|^2 \,, \end{aligned}$$

$$\frac{d\Gamma}{dM_{\rm inv}(\bar{K}^0\Sigma^{*-})dM_{\rm inv}(\Sigma^{*-})} = -\frac{1}{\pi} {\rm Im} \frac{\frac{\Gamma_{\pi^-\Lambda}}{\Gamma_{\Sigma^{*-}}}}{M_{\rm inv}(\Sigma^{*-}) - M_{\Sigma^{*-}} + i\frac{\Gamma_{\Sigma^{*-}}(M_{\rm inv}(\Sigma^{*-}))}{2}}{\cdot \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3}} \cdot \frac{C'}{4M_{\psi}^4} p_{\bar{\Xi}^+}^3 \tilde{p}_{\bar{K}^0} |t'|^2$$

$$\Gamma_{\Sigma^{*-}}\left(M_{\rm inv}(\Sigma^{*-})\right) = \Gamma_{\rm on} \frac{M_{\Sigma^{*-}}}{M_{\rm inv}(\Sigma^{*-})} \left(\frac{\tilde{p}_{\pi}}{\tilde{p}_{\pi,\rm on}}\right)^3$$

![](_page_25_Figure_0.jpeg)

The lower resonance is suppressed due to phase space and the upper one shows up clearly

Note also striking difference from phase space

Back to

The  $\Omega_c \to \pi^+ (\pi^0, \eta) \pi \Xi^*$  reactions and the two  $\Xi(1820)$  states  $\Omega_c^0 \to \pi^+ \Xi(1820) \to \pi^+ \overline{K}{}^0 \Sigma^{*-} (K^- \Sigma^{*0}),$ 

But this time with

$$\Omega_c^0 \to \pi^0 \,\Xi(1820) \to \pi^0 \bar{K}^0 \,\Sigma^{*0} \,(K^- \Sigma^{*+}),$$

$$\Omega_c^0 \to \eta \,\Xi(1820) \to \eta \bar{K}^0 \,\Sigma^{*0} \,(K^- \Sigma^{*+}).$$

The reduced phase space for Kbar  $\Sigma^*$  production can suppress the contribution of the low energy  $\Xi$  state and show better the higher state.

Also, there is no tree level contribution now.

![](_page_26_Figure_7.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_28_Figure_0.jpeg)

## Conclusions

The interaction of pseudoscalar mesons with  $3/2^+$  baryons leads to two  $\Xi^*$  states around 1820 MeV.

The BESIII experiment, with an apparent large width of the  $\Xi(1820)$  can be interpreted in terms of these two resonances.

We suggest the  $\Omega_c \to \pi^+ (\pi^0, \eta) \pi \Xi^*$  reactions where the two resonances interfere giving rise to a minimum in the mass distribution

We suggest the  $\psi(3686) \rightarrow \overline{\Xi}^+ \overline{K}^0 \Sigma^{*-}(\pi^- \Lambda)$  reaction, where the lower state is suppressed and the higher mass one shows up clearly

The  $\Omega_c \to \pi^+(\pi^0, \eta) \bar{K}\Sigma^*$  reactions have similar features and provide information on both states.