## Sigma terms of the baryon octet in $N_{\mathrm{f}}=2+1$ QCD with Wilson quarks

How do the other octet baryons compare to the nucleon and do we control excited states sufficiently ?
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## Why determine the sigma terms?

- decomposition of the hadron mass
- investigate flavour symmetry breaking in the baryon octet
$\Rightarrow$ nucleon $N$, lambda $\Lambda$, sigma $\Sigma$ and xi $\Xi$ (in our setup $m_{\mathrm{u}}=m_{\mathrm{d}}$ )
- WIMP-nucleon scattering cross-sections (e.g. XENON1T)
- discrepancies between results for the nucleon pion sigma term from LQCD and phenomenology still to be resolved



## How are the sigma terms defined?

$$
\sigma_{q B}=m_{q}\langle B| J|B\rangle
$$

We're interested in:

- baryon at rest
- the scalar current $J=\bar{q} \mathbf{1} q, q \in\{u, d, s\}$
- strange sigma terms $\sigma_{s B}$
- pion sigma terms $\sigma_{\pi B}=\sigma_{u B}+\sigma_{d B}$


## How are the sigma terms defined?

## $\sigma_{q B}=m_{q}\langle B| J|B\rangle$

- with the quark mass $m_{q}$ and a current $J$
- In the matrix element $B$ refers to the ground state of a baryon $B$.

We're interested in:

- baryon at rest
- the scalar current $J=\bar{q} 1 q, q \in\{u, d, s\}$
- strange sigma terms $\sigma_{s B}$
- pion sigma terms $\sigma_{\pi B}=\sigma_{u B}+\sigma_{d B}$
- renormalisation via normalisation factor $\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{m}}$ (determined by ALPHA [2101.10969], RQCD), the ratio of flavour non-singlet and singlet scalar density renormalisation parameters $\rightarrow$ accounts for the mixing of quark flavours under renormalisation for Wilson fermions


## How to access the matrix element

$\rightarrow$ spectral decompositions

$$
C_{2 \mathrm{pt}}\left(t_{\mathrm{f}}\right)=\sum_{\vec{x}}\left\langle\mathcal{O}_{\text {snk }}\left(\vec{x}, t_{\mathrm{f}}\right) \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathrm{src}}(\overrightarrow{0}, 0)\right\rangle=\sum_{n}\left|Z_{n}\right|^{2} e^{-E_{n} t_{\mathrm{f}}}
$$

where $Z_{n}=\langle\Omega| \mathcal{O}_{\text {snk }}|n\rangle$ (vacuum state $\Omega$ ) is the overlap of the interpolator $\mathcal{O}_{\text {snk }}$ onto the state $n$

$$
\begin{aligned}
C_{3 \mathrm{pt}}\left(t_{\mathrm{f}}, t\right) & =\sum_{\vec{x}, \vec{y}}\left\langle\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{snk}}\left(\vec{x}, t_{\mathrm{f}}\right) J(\vec{y}, t) \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathrm{src}}(\overrightarrow{0}, 0)\right\rangle-\sum_{\vec{x}, \vec{y}}\langle J(\vec{y}, t)\rangle\left\langle\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{snk}}\left(\vec{x}, t_{\mathrm{f}}\right) \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathrm{src}}(\overrightarrow{0}, 0)\right\rangle \\
& =\sum_{n, n^{\prime}} Z_{n^{\prime}} Z_{n}^{*}\left\langle\mathbf{n}^{\prime}\right| \mathbf{J}|\mathbf{n}\rangle e^{-E_{n} t} e^{-E_{n^{\prime}}\left(t_{\mathrm{f}}-t\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

$t_{\mathrm{f}}$ is the source-sink separation $\& t$ is the insertion time of the current

## Connected and disconnected contributions



## How to access the scalar matrix element

 ratio methodCombining the two spectral decompositions leads to the ratio

$$
R\left(t_{\mathrm{f}}, t\right)=\frac{C_{3 \mathrm{pt}}\left(t_{\mathrm{f}}, t\right)}{C_{2 \mathrm{pt}}\left(t_{\mathrm{f}}\right)}=g_{S}^{q}+c_{01} \mathrm{e}^{-\Delta \cdot t}+c_{10} \mathrm{e}^{-\Delta \cdot\left(t_{\mathrm{f}}-t\right)}+c_{11} \mathrm{e}^{-\Delta \cdot t_{\mathrm{f}}}+\ldots
$$

where $g_{S}^{q}=\langle B| J|B\rangle=\langle B| \bar{q} \mathbf{1} q|B\rangle$ is the ground-state matrix element of interest.

- energy gap between the ground state and the first excited state, $\Delta=E_{1}-E_{0}$
- baryon at rest, $c_{01}=c_{10} \equiv c_{0 \leftrightarrow 1}$ holds in this case, cannot resolve $\mathrm{c}_{11}$ so far
- $c_{01}, c_{10}, c_{11}$ made up of matrix elements of different transitions such as $N_{1} \rightarrow N, N \rightarrow N_{1}$ and $N_{1} \rightarrow N_{1}$ for the nucleon
- $N_{1}$ can be a multi-particle state


## How to access the scalar matrix element

 ratio methodCombining the two spectral decompositions leads to the ratio

$$
R\left(t_{\mathrm{f}}, t\right)=\frac{C_{3 \mathrm{pt}}\left(t_{\mathrm{f}}, t\right)}{C_{2 \mathrm{pt}}\left(t_{\mathrm{f}}\right)}=g_{S}^{q}+c_{0 \leftrightarrow 1}\left(\mathrm{e}^{-\Delta \cdot t}+\mathrm{e}^{-\Delta \cdot\left(t_{\mathrm{f}}-t\right)}\right)+c_{1 \leq} \mathrm{e}^{-\Delta \cdot t_{\mathrm{f}}}+\ldots
$$

where $g_{S}^{q}=\langle B| J|B\rangle=\langle B| \bar{q} \mathbf{1} q|B\rangle$ is the ground-state matrix element of interest.

- energy gap between the ground state and the first excited state, $\Delta=E_{1}-E_{0}$
- baryon at rest, $c_{01}=c_{10} \equiv c_{0 \leftrightarrow 1}$ holds in this case, cannot resolve $\mathrm{c}_{11}$ so far
- $c_{01}, c_{10}, c_{11}$ made up of matrix elements of different transitions such as $N_{1} \rightarrow N_{0}, N_{0} \rightarrow N_{1}$ and $N_{1} \rightarrow N_{1}$ for the nucleon
- $N_{1}$ can be a multi-particle state


## How to access the scalar matrix element

 summation methodsum over insertion times $t$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{t=c}^{t_{\mathrm{f}}-c} R\left(t_{\mathrm{f}}, t\right)=g_{S}^{q}\left(t_{\mathrm{f}}-2 c+1\right) & +\frac{2 c_{0 \leftrightarrow 1}}{1-\mathrm{e}^{\Delta}}\left(\mathrm{e}^{\Delta\left(c-t_{\mathrm{f}}\right)}-\mathrm{e}^{\Delta(1-c)}\right) \\
& +c_{11}\left(t_{\mathrm{f}}-2 c+1\right) \mathrm{e}^{-\Delta t_{\mathrm{f}}}+\ldots
\end{aligned}
$$

- BUT: only have access to a large number of insertion times for $R^{\text {dis }}$
- Summed ratio is approximately linear for large source-sink separations:

$$
\sum_{t=c}^{t_{\mathrm{f}}-c} R\left(t, t_{\mathrm{f}}\right) \rightarrow g_{S}^{q}\left(t_{\mathrm{f}}-2 c+1\right)+\mathrm{constant}
$$

- $c>0$ to preserve reflection positivity, we set $c$ to 2


## Numerical setup



- CLS gauge field ensembles employing the Lüscher-Weisz gluon action and the Sheikholeslami-Wohlert fermion action with $N_{\mathrm{f}}=2+1$
- $\operatorname{Tr} M=$ const
- five different lattice spacings
- High statistics: error estimation in the analysis via the $\Gamma$-method
[Wolff: arXiv:hep-lat/0306017]
[Ramos: arXiv:1809.01289]


## Numerical setup

Connected three-point functions

- $m_{l}=m_{s}$ ensembles, the standard sequential source method,
e.g. one measurement at $t_{\mathrm{f}} / a=11$, two at $t_{\mathrm{f}} / a=[\mathbf{1 4}, \mathbf{1 6}]$ and four at $t_{\mathrm{f}} / a=19$
- stochastic method estimating a timeslice-to-all propagator [G. Bali et. al.: arXiv:1711.02384] $\rightarrow$ enables us to obtain measurements for all baryons of interest as multiple source and insertion positions can be estimated simultaneously
- four different source-sink separations typically corresponding to $t_{\mathrm{f}} \approx[0.7 \mathrm{fm}, 0.9 \mathrm{fm}, 1 \mathrm{fm}, 1.2 \mathrm{fm}]$
- two measurements (forward and backward direction) for each $t_{\mathrm{f}}$ on every configuration


## Numerical setup <br> Disconnected three-point functions

- correlate a quark loop with a baryon two-point function
- stochastic estimation of loop, to reduce the additional noise:
- the truncated solver method
- the hopping parameter expansion technique [S. Bernardson et. al.: Comput. Phys. Commun. 78, 1993]
- 20 different spatial source positions on every configuration of the two-point function (different for $\boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{l}}=\boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{s}}$ ensembles e.g. N202: 26, J500: 27)
- A reasonable signal is obtained for $t_{f}$ up to around 1.22 fm .


## Simultaneous fits to connected \& disconnected ratios



- $\boldsymbol{\Xi}$ baryon at $a=0.076 \mathrm{fm}$
- $m_{\pi}=352 \mathrm{MeV}$ (S400)
- simultaneous fit:
$\rightarrow \chi^{2} / \chi_{\exp }^{2} \approx 0.6$
$\rightarrow \Delta \approx 720 \mathrm{MeV}$
- top:
$\rightarrow \bar{u} u$ current (left)
$\rightarrow \bar{s} s$ current (right)
- bottom:
$\rightarrow \bar{l} l$ current (left)
$\rightarrow \bar{s} s$ current (right)


## Simultaneous fits to connected \& summed disconnected ratios






- $\boldsymbol{\Xi}$ baryon at $a=0.076 \mathrm{fm}$
- $m_{\pi}=352 \mathrm{MeV}$ (S400)
- simultaneous fit:
$\rightarrow \chi^{2} / \chi_{\exp }^{2} \approx 0.7$
$\rightarrow \Delta \approx 745 \mathrm{MeV}$
- top:
$\rightarrow \bar{u} u$ current (left)
$\rightarrow \bar{s} s$ current (right)
- bottom:
$\rightarrow \bar{l} l$ current (left)
$\rightarrow \bar{s} s$ current (right)


## Fit form and fit range variation - the $\boldsymbol{\Xi}$ baryon ( $a=0.076 \mathrm{fm}, m_{\pi}=352 \mathrm{MeV}$ )



## Fit form and fit range variation - the $\boldsymbol{\Xi}$ baryon ( $a=0.076 \mathrm{fm}, m_{\pi}=352 \mathrm{MeV}$ )



## Preliminary results



## Preliminary results



## Preliminary chiral extrapolation at $\beta=3.55$




NNLO BChPT simultaneous fit to all baryons $\rightarrow \chi^{2} /$ d.o.f $=1.29$ [PLJP et. al.: arXiv: 2112.00586]

- fixed $F=0.446(7), D=0.731(12) \&$ $m_{0}=729(42) \mathrm{MeV}$ from a preliminary analysis of the nucleon mass and the axial charges in the chiral limit
- fit results for LECs:
$\bar{b}=0.00317(29), b_{F}=-0.000335(27)$,
$b_{D}=0.0000493(21)$
- $F_{0}=119.9(9.8) \mathrm{MeV}$ different from
$F_{0}=71(2) \mathrm{MeV}$, the preliminary value from a combined fit to the pion decay constant and the pion mass


## Consistency with indirect determinations

- quark mass dependence of $\sigma_{\pi B}$ and $\sigma_{s B}$ via the Feynman-Hellmann theorem and a NNLO BChPT, FV and continuum limit fit of 47 ensembles (error bands) RQCD, see talk by S . Collins and poster of W. Söldner
- preliminary direct determinations from the ratio method (data points)




## Summary and outlook

- progress in the determination of the pion-baryon and strange sigma terms for the octet baryons $\checkmark$
- used variations of summation and ratio methods to cross-check whether we control excited state contributions sufficiently also including priors
to do:
- investigate discrepancies between energy gap and $B(1) \pi(-1)$ and/or $B(0) \pi(0) \pi(0)$ via correlated fits, other fit forms
- additional ensembles
- chiral extrapolation to the physical pion mass and an investigation of cut-off and finite-volume effects


## Chiral extrapolation

From Baryon Chiral Perturbation Theory (BChPT) we can derive the pion mass dependence expected from SU(3) flavour symmetry; we apply the Feynman-Hellmann theorem that relates sigma terms to derivatives of the baryon mass with respect to quark masses, resulting in

$$
\sigma_{\pi B}=M_{\pi}^{2}\left\{\frac{2}{3} \bar{b}-\delta b_{B}+\frac{m_{0}^{2}}{\left(4 \pi F_{0}\right)^{2}}\left[\frac{g_{B, \pi}}{2 M_{\pi}} f^{\prime}\left(\frac{M_{\pi}}{m_{0}}\right)+\frac{g_{B, K}}{4 M_{K}} f^{\prime}\left(\frac{M_{K}}{m_{0}}\right)+\frac{g_{B, \eta}}{6 M_{\eta}} f^{\prime}\left(\frac{M_{\eta}}{m_{0}}\right)\right]\right\}
$$

## Chiral extrapolation

From Baryon Chiral Perturbation Theory (BChPT) we can derive the pion mass dependence expected from SU(3) flavour symmetry; we apply the Feynman-Hellmann theorem that relates sigma terms to derivatives of the baryon mass with respect to quark masses, resulting in

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma_{\pi B} & =M_{\pi}^{2}\left\{\frac{2}{3} \bar{b}-\delta b_{B}+\frac{m_{0}^{2}}{\left(4 \pi F_{0}\right)^{2}}\left[\frac{g_{B, \pi}}{2 M_{\pi}} f^{\prime}\left(\frac{M_{\pi}}{m_{0}}\right)+\frac{g_{B, K}}{4 M_{K}} f^{\prime}\left(\frac{M_{K}}{m_{0}}\right)+\frac{g_{B, \eta}}{6 M_{\eta}} f^{\prime}\left(\frac{M_{\eta}}{m_{0}}\right)\right]\right\}, \\
\sigma_{s} & =\left(2 M_{K}^{2}-M_{\pi}^{2}\right)\left\{\frac{1}{3} \bar{b}+\delta b_{B}+\frac{m_{0}^{2}}{\left(4 \pi F_{0}\right)^{2}}\left[\frac{g_{B, K}}{4 M_{K}} f^{\prime}\left(\frac{M_{K}}{m_{0}}\right)+\frac{g_{B, \eta}}{3 M_{\eta}} f^{\prime}\left(\frac{M_{\eta}}{m_{0}}\right)\right]\right\},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\boldsymbol{m}_{\mathbf{0}}$ and $\boldsymbol{F}_{\mathbf{0}}$ are the octet baryon mass and pion decay constant in the chiral limit.
$\delta b_{B}$ is a combination of two of the three BChPT next-to-leading order (NLO) low energy constants (LECs) $b_{D}, b_{F}, \bar{b}=-6 b_{0}-4 b_{D}$ and depends on the baryon,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta b_{N}=\frac{2}{3}\left(3 b_{F}-b_{D}\right), \quad \delta b_{\Lambda}=-\frac{4}{3} b_{D}, \quad \delta b_{\Sigma}=\frac{4}{3} b_{D}, \quad \delta b_{\Xi}=-\frac{2}{3}\left(3 b_{F}+b_{D}\right) . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The couplings $g_{B, \pi}, g_{B, K}$ and $g_{B, \eta_{8}}$ are made up of different combinations of the leading order (LO) LECs F and D that also appear in the ChPT expressions for the axial charges.
$\delta b_{B}$ is a combination of two of the three BChPT next-to-leading order (NLO) low energy constants (LECs) $b_{D}, b_{F}, \bar{b}=-6 b_{0}-4 b_{D}$ and depends on the baryon,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta b_{N}=\frac{2}{3}\left(3 b_{F}-b_{D}\right), \quad \delta b_{\Lambda}=-\frac{4}{3} b_{D}, \quad \delta b_{\Sigma}=\frac{4}{3} b_{D}, \quad \delta b_{\Xi}=-\frac{2}{3}\left(3 b_{F}+b_{D}\right) . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The couplings $g_{B, \pi}, g_{B, K}$ and $g_{B, \eta_{8}}$ are made up of different combinations of the leading order (LO) LECs F and D that also appear in the ChPT expressions for the axial charges. $f^{\prime}$ is the derivative of the loop function $f$ that is set to $f(x)=-\pi x^{3}$ in Heavy BChPT or

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x)=-2 x^{3}\left[\sqrt{1-\frac{x^{2}}{4}} \arccos \left(\frac{x}{2}\right)+\frac{x}{2} \ln (x)\right] \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

in covariant BChPT in the extended on-mass-shell (EOMS) scheme

## Preliminary results - pion sigma terms

| $m_{\pi}[\mathrm{MeV}]$ | $a[\mathrm{fm}]$ | $\sigma_{\pi N}[\mathrm{MeV}]$ | $\sigma_{\pi \Lambda}[\mathrm{MeV}]$ | $\sigma_{\pi \Sigma}[\mathrm{MeV}]$ | $\sigma_{\pi \Xi}[\mathrm{MeV}]$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 411 | 0.064 | $258.0(11.6)$ | $202.4(10.9)$ | $176.6(10.5)$ | $133.9(10.3)$ |
| 410 | 0.039 | $214.3(22.4)$ | $162.3(18.3)$ | $136.0(16.7)$ | $97.2(14.2)$ |
| 352 | 0.076 | $209.0(18.1)$ | $157.3(10.5)$ | $132.2(10.1)$ | $101.1(9.3)$ |
| 345 | 0.064 | $205.0(10.6)$ | $146.3(7.5)$ | $123.8(7.5)$ | $87.1(6.5)$ |
| 284 | 0.064 | $132.5(10.2)$ | $96.9(5.3)$ | $75.4(4.9)$ | $55.0(4.1)$ |
| 220 | 0.086 | $85.8(19.0)$ | $67.7(10.7)$ | $54.5(8.4)$ | $40.9(6.3)$ |

## Preliminary results - strange sigma terms

| $m_{\pi}[\mathrm{MeV}]$ | $a[\mathrm{fm}]$ | $\sigma_{s N}[\mathrm{MeV}]$ | $\sigma_{s \Lambda}[\mathrm{MeV}]$ | $\sigma_{s \Sigma}[\mathrm{MeV}]$ | $\sigma_{s \Xi[\mathrm{MeV}]}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 411 | 0.064 | $26.3(5.0)$ | $81.9(5.2)$ | $107.6(5.6)$ | $150.4(6.0)$ |
| 410 | 0.039 | $9.4(6.0)$ | $61.4(8.0)$ | $87.8(9.6)$ | $126.6(12.9)$ |
| 352 | 0.076 | $41.4(9.5)$ | $125.6(9.1)$ | $158.0(8.8)$ | $224.5(9.0)$ |
| 345 | 0.064 | $26.2(8.0)$ | $116.8(7.3)$ | $155.3(7.3)$ | $223.9(7.1)$ |
| 284 | 0.064 | $19.6(10.0)$ | $136.1(8.7)$ | $175.6(9.2)$ | $267.8(8.1)$ |
| 220 | 0.086 | $32.6(32.2)$ | $180.3(17.1)$ | $232.2(12.4)$ | $327.4(10.9)$ |

