# Probing the singularities of the Landau-gauge gluon and ghost propagators with rational approximants

Diogo Boito, Attilio Cucchieri, Cristiane Yumi London, Tereza Mendes

Instituto de Física de São Carlos, Universidade de São Paulo, Brazil





# Introduction

### Confinement

- Free quarks or gluons have never been observed
- Strong coupling is larger for low energies
- Confinement not well understood

important to know the ghost and gluon propagators in the infrared limit



### Confinement

- Free quarks or gluons have never been observed
- Strong coupling is larger for low energies
- Confinement not well understood

important to know the ghost and gluon propagators in the infrared limit

- Theoretical studies
  - Gribov-Zwanziger, scaling solution, etc.
  - Refined Gribov-Zwanziger, decoupling solution, etc.

#### lattice data in 3d and 4d

 $D(0) \neq 0$  and  $G(p^2 \approx 0) \sim 1/p^2$ 



Cucchieri, Mendes (2007) Cucchieri, Mendes (2008) Bogolubsky, *et al* (2009)

### **Objective**

### **Objective**

Study the analytic structure of the four-dimensional SU(2) Landau-gauge gluon and ghost propagators in the infrared regime

#### **Model-Independent Method**

Rational approximants as fitting functions

Cristiane Y. London (USP - IFSC)

Lattice 2022

### Method

- Similar method applied recently:
  - \* Falcão, Oliveira, Silva (2020) arXiv:2008.02614 [hep-lat]
  - Oliveira, Falcão, Silva (2021) arXiv:2111.04320 [hep-lat]

#### Main differences:





# Padé Approximants

## Padé Approximants

$$P_N^M(z) = \frac{Q_M(z)}{R_N(z)} = \frac{a_0 + a_1 z + \dots + a_M z^M}{1 + b_1 z + \dots + b_N z^N}$$

Model independent

- Canonical Padé:
  - determination of the PA coefficients through matching
  - PA reproduces the first M + N + 1Taylor series coefficients of f(z)
- Padé as fitting function to data
  - already applied in other particle physics problems successfully
- Partial Padés and D-log Padés also used as fitting functions

#### **Advantages**

- \* Systematic and modelindependent method
- Capable of reproducing singularities — poles
- Can mimic the existence of branch cuts accumulation of poles and zeros

# Lattice Data

### **Lattice Data**

- Lattice data discussed before in references:
  - Cucchieri, Mendes (2007) arXiv:0710.0412 [hep-lat]
  - Cucchieri, Mendes (2008) arXiv:0712.3517 [hep-lat], arXiv:0804.2371 [hep-lat]
  - Cucchieri, Mendes (2009) arXiv:1001.2584 [hep-lat]
  - Cucchieri, Dudal, Mendes, Vandersickel (2012) arXiv:1111.2327 [hep-lat]
  - Cucchieri, Dudal, Mendes, Vandersickel (2016) arXiv:1602.01646 [hep-lat]

• Four-dimensional SU(2) Landau-gauge propagators

• Large lattice volume:  $V = n^4 = 128^4$ 

physical volume:  $(27 \text{ fermi})^4$ 

 $p_{\rm min} \approx 46$  MeV

### Lattice Data Ghost Propagator

• As a function of (unimproved) lattice momenta

$$p^2 = \sum_{\mu} p_{\mu}^2$$

• 21 configurations

• Fit restricted to the region  $\sqrt{p^2} \le 3.12 \text{ GeV} - 220 \text{ points}$ 



### Lattice Data Gluon Propagator

As a function of improved momenta

$$p^2 = \sum_{\mu} p_{\mu}^2 + \frac{1}{12} \sum_{\mu} p_{\mu}^4$$

Ma (1999) Cucchieri, Dudal, Mendes, Vandersickel (2012)

• 168 configurations

• Fit restricted to the region  $\sqrt{p^2} \le 2.4 \text{ GeV} - 160 \text{ points}$ 



# Results for the Gluon Propagator

# **Fitting Method**

• SU(2) gluon and ghost propagators lattice data fitted by Padés

• PA parameters:  $\chi^2$  minimization considering all correlations

- Different methods for error propagation all consistent
  - Hessian matrix;

- \*  $\Delta \chi^2$ ;
- Monte Carlo propagation;
- linear error propagation.

• Fit quality:  $\chi^2/dof$  and p-value

### PAs to Gluon Propagator Data Example

• Correlation between the data points is negligible

 $b_1$ 

• Example: 
$$P_2^1$$
 – first of the sequence  $P_{k+1}^k$   
 $a_0 = 3.82 \pm 0.02 \text{ GeV}^{-2}$   
 $a_1 = 1.21 \pm 0.06 \text{ GeV}^{-4}$   
 $b_1 = 1.18 \pm 0.02 \text{ GeV}^{-2}$   
 $b_2 = 1.65 \pm 0.05 \text{ GeV}^{-4}$   
**non-trivial**  
**correlations**  
 $a_1 = 1.21 \pm 0.06 \text{ GeV}^{-4}$   
 $b_1 = 1.18 \pm 0.02 \text{ GeV}^{-2}$   
 $b_2 = 1.65 \pm 0.05 \text{ GeV}^{-4}$ 

Lattice 2022

-0.632

### PAs to Gluon Propagator Data Example

• Correlation between the data points is negligible

• Example:  $P_2^1$  – first of the sequence  $P_{k+1}^k$ 

$$P_2^1(p^2) = \frac{a_0 + a_1 \, p^2}{1 + b_1 \, p^2 + b_2 \, p^4} \qquad \begin{cases} \text{pole} \quad p^2 = (-0.36 \pm 0.02) \pm (0.690 \pm 0.005) i \text{ GeV}^2 \\ \text{zero} \quad p^2 = (-3.2 \pm 0.2) \text{ GeV}^2 \end{cases}$$

Taylor series coefficients

$$c_{0} = 3.82 \pm 0.02 \text{ GeV}^{-2} \qquad c_{3} = 8.3 \pm 0.4 \text{ GeV}^{-8}$$

$$c_{1} = -3.3 \pm 0.1 \text{ GeV}^{-4} \qquad c_{4} = -5.9 \pm 0.6 \text{ GeV}^{-10}$$

$$c_{2} = -2.4 \pm 0.4 \text{ GeV}^{-6} \qquad c_{5} = -7 \pm 2 \text{ GeV}^{-12}$$

### PAs to Gluon Propagator Data



 Errors increase considerably for PAs with 6 or more parameters

•  $P_2^3$  — behavior at high energies:  $a_4 p^2$  with  $a_4 > 0$ 

- Consistent pair of complex poles
- Consistent zero on the negative real axis of  $p^2$

### PAs to Gluon Propagator Data Predicted Pole



 $p_{\text{pole}}^2 = [(-0.37 \pm 0.05_{\text{stat}} \pm 0.08_{\text{sys}}) \pm i (0.66 \pm 0.03_{\text{stat}} \pm 0.02_{\text{sys}})] \text{ GeV}^2$ 

### **PAs to Gluon Propagator Data Predicted Zero**



 $p_{\text{zero}}^2 = (-2.9 \pm 0.4_{\text{stat}} \pm 0.9_{\text{sys}}) \text{ GeV}^2$ 

Cristiane Y. London (USP - IFSC)

Lattice 2022

### PAs to Gluon Propagator Data Predicted Taylor Series Coefficients

$$D(p^{2}) = c_{0} + c_{1} p^{2} + c_{2} p^{4} + c_{3} p^{6} + c_{4} p^{8} + \cdots$$

PAs with relative uncertainty smaller than 25%

$$\begin{array}{ll} c_0 & (3.79 \pm 0.03_{\rm stat} \pm 0.04_{\rm sys}) \ {\rm GeV}^{-2} \\ c_1 & (-2.9 \pm 0.4_{\rm stat} \pm 0.6_{\rm sys}) \ {\rm GeV}^{-4} \\ c_2 & (-5 \pm 2_{\rm stat} \pm 3_{\rm sys}) \ {\rm GeV}^{-6} \\ c_3 & (9.4 \pm 1.0_{\rm stat} \pm 0.9_{\rm sys}) \ {\rm GeV}^{-8} \\ c_4 & (-5.7 \pm 0.9_{\rm stat} \pm 0.2_{\rm sys}) \ {\rm GeV}^{-10} \end{array}$$



### PAs to Gluon Propagator Data Predicted Taylor Series Coefficients



# Results for the Ghost Propagator

### **PAs to Ghost Propagator Data Partial Padé Approximants**

- Correlation between data points large small eigenvalues hard to invert ullet
- **Diagonal fits** Boito et al (2011) — arXiv:1110.1127 [hep-ph]
  - diagonal covariance matrix
  - all correlations in error propagation  $*Q^2/dof$  not for fit quality
- first order linear error propagation

### PAs to Ghost Propagator Data Partial Padé Approximants

- Correlation between data points large small eigenvalues hard to invert
- **Diagonal fits** Boito *et al* (2011) arXiv:1110.1127 [hep-ph]
  - diagonal covariance matrix
  - \* all correlations in error propagation \*  $Q^2/dof$  not for fit quality
- Fit parameters are very large
- Pole close to the origin
- Impose the simple pole at  $p^2 = 0 \text{ GeV}^2$
- Partial Padé approximant (PPA):

$$\mathbb{P}_{N}^{M}(p^{2}) = \frac{Q_{M}(p^{2})}{R_{N}(p^{2})p^{2}}$$

Lattice 2022

 $Q^2/dof$ pole ( $GeV^2$ ) PA  $P_{2}^{1}$  $-1.27 \times 10^{-10}$ 0.65 $P_{2}^{2}$  $-6.98 \times 10^{-14}$ 1.38  $\tilde{P_3^1}$  $-2.48 \times 10^{-11}$ 0.60 $P_{2}^{3}$  $-1.17 \times 10^{-12}$ 1.22 $P^3_{\scriptscriptstyle A}$  $-1.24 \times 10^{-10}$ 0.33

first order linear error propagation

 $PA \quad pole (GeV^2)$ 

### **PPAs to Ghost Propagator Data**



 Errors increase considerably for PPAs with 8 or more parameters

• Non-physical pole on the positive real axis of  $p^2$  almost cancelled by zero — Froissart doublets

Baker, Graves-Morris (1986) Masjuan (2010)

## **PPAs to Ghost Propagator Data**



### non-physical artifact

- Errors increase considerably for PPAs with 8 or more parameters
- Non-physical pole on the positive real axis of  $p^2$  almost cancelled by zero Froissart doublets Baker, Graves-Morris (1986)

Masjuan (2010)

- Consistent pole on the negative real axis of  $p^2$
- Consistent zero on the negative real axis of  $p^2$

### PPAs to Ghost Propagator Data Predicted Pole and Zero



$$p_{\text{pole}}^2 = (-0.30 \pm 0.05_{\text{stat}} \pm 0.05_{\text{sys}}) \text{ GeV}^2$$

$$p_{\text{zero}}^2 = (-1.0 \pm 0.3_{\text{stat}} \pm 0.4_{\text{sys}}) \text{ GeV}^2$$

#### Cristiane Y. London (USP - IFSC)

### PPAs to Ghost Propagator Data Predicted Pole and Zero



# D-log Padé Approximants

## **D-log Padés**

- Check if the predicted pole and zero for the ghost propagator are a possible cut
- Useful for functions with cuts or branch points

Baker, Graves-Morris (1986) Boito, Masjuan, Oliani (2018)

$$f(z) = \frac{A(z)}{(\mu - z)^{\gamma}} + B(z) \qquad F(z) = \frac{d}{dz} \ln f(z) \approx \frac{\gamma}{\mu - z}$$
  
cut becomes a single pole  
D-Log Padé to  $f(z)$ 

$$Dlog_N^M(z) = f_{norm}(0) \exp\left[\int dz' \bar{P}_N^M(z')\right] \qquad \begin{array}{l} \textbf{PA applied} \\ \textbf{to } \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{z}) \end{array}$$

• Need lattice data for F(z)

### **D-log Padés** Ghost Propagator Data

numerical derivative of logarithm of ghost propagator lattice data after linear interpolation





numerical derivative of logarithm of ghost propagator lattice data after linear interpolation



### **D-log Padés** Ghost Propagator Data — Results



$$Dlog(p^2) \propto \frac{1}{(p^2 - p_c)^{\gamma}}$$

D-Log PA	$p_c$ (GeV <sup>2</sup> )	$\gamma$
$\operatorname{Dlog}_1^0$	$-0.11\pm0.03$	$0.31\pm0.05$
$\mathrm{Dlog}_2^0$	$-0.14\pm0.07$	$0.4\pm0.2$
$\operatorname{Dlog}_1^1$	$-0.13\pm0.05$	$0.4\pm0.1$
$\mathrm{Dlog}_1^2$	$-0.12\pm0.09$	$0.3\pm0.2$
$\operatorname{Dlog}_2^1$	$-0.12\pm0.04$	$0.3\pm0.2$
$\operatorname{Dlog}_3^1$	$-0.12\pm0.08$	$0.3\pm0.2$

#### Indication of a possible cut on the negative real axis

### **D-log Padés** Ghost Propagator Data — Results



### Comparison

#### This work - SU(2)

• Gluon propagator:

$$p_{\text{pole}}^2 = [(-0.37 \pm 0.09) \pm i (0.66 \pm 0.04)] \text{ GeV}^2$$

• Ghost propagator:

simple pole at  $p^2 = 0$ 

 $p_c^2 = (-0.12 \pm 0.08) \text{ GeV}^2$ 

#### Coimbra group - SU(3)

Falcão, Oliveira, Silva (2020) Oliveira, Falcão, Silva (2021)

• Gluon propagator:

$$p_{\text{pole}}^2 = [-0.28(6) \pm i \, 0.4(1)] \text{ GeV}^2$$
  
 $p_{\text{pole}}^2 = [-0.19(4) \pm i \, 0.4(1)] \text{ GeV}^2$ 

• Ghost propagator:

simple pole at  $p^2 = 0$ 

 $p_c^2 \sim -0.1 \text{ GeV}^2$ 

### SU(2) and SU(3) — similar results

Cristiane Y. London (USP - IFSC)

Lattice 2022

# Conclusions



- Model-independent method to study analytic structure of propagators
- Predictions of singularities of the SU(2) Landau-gauge ghost and gluon propagators

<b>Gluon Propagator</b>	<b>Ghost Propagator</b>
$p_{\text{pole}}^2 = [(-0.37 \pm 0.09) \pm i (0.66 \pm 0.04)] \text{ GeV}^2$	simple pole at $p^2 = 0$
$p_{\rm zero}^2 = (-2.9 \pm 1.0) {\rm GeV^2}$	possible cut on $p_c^2 \approx -0.12 \ { m GeV}^2$

- Calculation of Taylor series near  $p^2 pprox 0$
- D-Log Padés good alternative for gluon propagator data in the future (smaller errors)

Cristiane Y. London (USP - IFSC)

Lattice 2022

# Thank you for your attention!









### PPAs to Ghost Propagator Data Predicted Taylor Series Coefficients

$$p^{2} G(p^{2}) = r_{0} + r_{1} p^{2} + r_{2} p^{4} + r_{3} p^{6} + r_{4} p^{8} + \cdots$$

### PPAs with relative uncertainty smaller than 45%

$$\begin{array}{rl} r_0 & 4.17 \pm 0.01_{\rm stat} \\ r_1 & -9.7 \pm 1.0_{\rm stat} \pm 0.5_{\rm sys} \ {\rm GeV}^{-2} \\ r_2 & 33 \pm 10_{\rm stat} \pm 5_{\rm sys} \ {\rm GeV}^{-4} \\ r_3 & -110 \pm 58_{\rm stat} \pm 25_{\rm sys} \ {\rm GeV}^{-4} \end{array}$$







Cristiane Y. London (USP - IFSC)

Lattice 2022

August 10, 2022