REFORMULATION OF ANOMALY INFLOW ON THE LATTICE AND CONSTRUCTION OF LATTICE CHIRAL GAUGE THEORIES

LATTICE 2022 10/08/2022 @Bonn

Juan W. Pedersen and Yoshio Kikukawa [arXiv: 22mm.???]

The University of Tokyo, Komaba

Outline

Goal Construction of lattice chiral gauge theories (LCGTs)

- Analyze the integrability condition of the chiral determinant of overlap fermions
- Derive necessary and sufficient conditions to construct LCGTs (without gauge anomalies)

Discussion Reformulate the modern theory of anomalies on the lattice

- Dai-Freed theorem \iff 5-dim lattice DW fermions
- APS index theorem \iff 6-dim lattice DW fermions

 \implies Bordism invariance of the lattice η invariant

- Triviality of the lattice η invariant \Longrightarrow Integrability conditions

Introduction

Fermions on the lattice

• (d-dim) Wilson fermions

$$D_{\rm w} = -\gamma_{\mu} \frac{1}{2} \left(\nabla_{\mu} - \nabla^{\dagger}_{\mu} \right) + \frac{a}{2} \nabla_{\mu} \nabla^{\dagger}_{\mu}$$

• (d-dim) Domain-wall (DW) fermions

$$X_{w} = D_{w} - \frac{m_{0}}{a}, \quad m_{0} \in (0, 2)$$
$$H_{w} = \overline{\gamma} \left(D_{w} - \frac{m_{0}}{a} \right), \quad d = \text{even}$$

• (d-dim) Overlap fermions

$$D_{\rm ov} = \frac{1}{2a} \left(1 + X_{\rm w} \frac{1}{\sqrt{X_{\rm w}^{\dagger} X_{\rm w}}} \right)$$

• Admissibility condition $||1 - P_{\mu\nu}(x)|| < \frac{2}{5d(d-1)}$

Chiral symmetry on the lattice and gauge anomaly

• Ginsparg-Wilson relation $\{\gamma_5, D\} = 2D\gamma_5 D$

$$\Rightarrow \hat{\gamma}_5 = \gamma_5 (1 - 2D) , \ \hat{P}_{\pm} = \frac{1}{2} (1 \pm \hat{\gamma}_5)$$

Lattice Weyl fermion

$$\begin{split} \psi_{-}(x) &= \hat{P}_{-}\psi_{-}(x) \ , \ \psi_{-}(x) \ = \ \sum v_{i}(x)c_{i} \ , \ \hat{P}_{-}v_{i}(x) = v_{i}(x) \\ \overline{\psi}_{-}(x) &= \overline{\psi}_{-}(x)P_{+} \ , \ \overline{\psi}_{-}(x) \ = \ \sum \overline{c}_{k}\overline{v}_{k}(x) \ , \ \overline{v}_{k}(x)P_{+} = \overline{v}_{k}(x) \end{split}$$

- Partition function $e^{\Gamma_W[U]} = \int \mathcal{D}[\psi_-] \mathcal{D}[\bar{\psi}_-] e^{-S_W} = \det(\bar{v}D_{ov}v)$
- ⇒ Phase ambiguity of the partition function ...lattice gauge anomaly (due to Ginsparg-Wilson relation)

Domoain-Wall Fermions (Shamir)

- Reverse the sign of the mass along the d-th axes and take $+m
 ightarrow \infty$
- Define different (d-1)-dim gauge fields(U₀, U₁) on each boundaries so that we get chiral fermions (U₀ ~ reference gauge field)
- Interpolate them with a path \boldsymbol{c}



Construction of LCGTs

Partition function of 5-dim DW fermions with Dirichlet b.c. + Chiral determinant of 4-dim Weyl fermions on the boundaries



5-dim DW fermions + 4-dim Weyl fermions

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{\det X_{\mathbf{w}}^{(5)} \Big|_{\mathrm{Dir}}^{c}}{\left| \det X_{\mathbf{w}}^{(5)} \Big|_{\mathrm{AP}}^{c \cdot c^{-1}} \right|^{1/2}} = \det \left(\overline{v} D_{\mathrm{ov}} v^{1} \right) \det \left(\overline{v} D_{\mathrm{ov}} v^{0} \right)^{*} \frac{\det \left(v^{1\dagger} \prod_{t \in \tilde{c}} T_{t} v^{0} \right)}{\left| \det \left(v^{1\dagger} \prod_{t \in \tilde{c}} T_{t} v^{0} \right) \right|}$$
$$=: \exp \left(\Gamma \left(\mathbb{X}_{1} \cup \overline{\mathbb{X}}_{0} \right) \right) \exp \left(i 2\pi \eta_{\mathrm{DF}} \left(\mathbb{Y} \Big|_{\mathrm{Dir}} \right) \right)$$

Boundary part $\exp\left(\Gamma\left(\mathbb{X}_{1} \cup \overline{\mathbb{X}}_{0}\right)\right)$ and the bulk part $\exp\left(i2\pi\eta_{\mathrm{DF}}\left(\mathbb{Y}|_{\mathrm{Dir}}^{c}\right)\right)$ cancel out the dependence of $\{v_{i}\}$

 $\implies \text{Anomaly inflow based on Dai-Freed theorem} \\ \text{Anomaly} \leftrightarrow \text{bulk dependency} \quad \exp\left(i2\pi\eta_{\text{DF}}\left(\left.\mathbb{Y}\right|_{\text{Dir}}\right)\right)$

The lattice η invariant and integrability conditions

• Define the lattice η invariant with the phase of 5-dim overlap fermions [T.Aoyama and YK, 1999]:

$$\mathrm{e}^{i2\pi\eta\left(\left.\mathbb{Y}\right|_{\mathrm{Dir}/\mathrm{AP}}\right)} := \lim_{N \to \infty} \left[\frac{\left. \det D_{\mathrm{ov}}^{(5)} \right|_{\mathrm{Dir}/\mathrm{AP}}}{\left| \det D_{\mathrm{ov}}^{(5)} \right|_{\mathrm{Dir}/\mathrm{AP}}} \right]^2 = \lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{\left. \det X_{\mathrm{w}}^{(5)} \right|_{\mathrm{Dir}/\mathrm{AP}}}{\left| \det X_{\mathrm{w}}^{(5)} \right|_{\mathrm{Dir}/\mathrm{AP}}}$$

Bulk dependence

$$\underbrace{v_{\bullet}}_{v_{\bullet}} \underbrace{v_{\iota}}_{v_{\bullet}} \underbrace{v_{\iota}}_{v_{\bullet}} = \frac{\mathrm{e}^{i2\pi\eta_{\mathrm{DF}}\left(\mathbb{Y}|_{\mathrm{Dir}}^{c_{1}}\right)}}{\mathrm{e}^{i2\pi\eta_{\mathrm{DF}}\left(\mathbb{Y}|_{\mathrm{Dir}}^{c_{2}}\right)}} = \mathrm{e}^{i2\pi\eta\left(\mathbb{Y}|_{\mathrm{AP}}^{c_{1}c_{2}^{-1}}\right)}$$

 $\implies \begin{array}{l} \text{Bulk independency} \quad \mathrm{e}^{i2\pi\eta_{\mathrm{DF}}\left(\left.\mathbb{Y}^{c_{1}}\right|_{\mathrm{Dir}}\right)} = \mathrm{e}^{i2\pi\eta_{\mathrm{DF}}\left(\left.\mathbb{Y}^{c_{2}}\right|_{\mathrm{Dir}}\right)} \\ \text{"}e^{i2\pi\eta\left(\left.\mathbb{Y}\right|_{\mathrm{AP}}\right)} = 1 \text{ for arbitrary gauge configurations "} \\ \text{(Integrability condition)} \end{array}$

Partition function of 6-dim DW fermions with Dir. b.c. + Determinant of 5-dim overlap fermions on the boundaries



6-dim DW fermions + 5-dim overlap fermions

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{\det X_{w}^{(6)} \Big|_{\text{Dir}}^{c}}{\left| \det X_{w}^{(6)} \Big|_{\text{AP}}^{cc^{-1}} \right|^{1/2}} = \det D_{\text{ov}}^{(5)} \Big|_{Y_{1}} \left\{ \det D_{\text{ov}}^{(5)} \Big|_{Y_{0}} \right\}^{*} \times \frac{\det \mathcal{T} |_{\text{APS}}^{c}}{\left| \det \mathcal{T} \right|_{\text{APS}}^{c} |_{\text{APS}}^{c}}$$

(w/ $\mathcal{T}|_{\mathrm{APS}}^c \equiv \frac{1}{2} \Phi_1 \cdot \prod_{t \in \tilde{c}} T_t^{(5)} \cdot \Phi_0$, Φ_i : basis in \mathbb{Y}_i)

9/13

L.H.S. =
$$(-1)^{I(\mathbb{Z}|_{\text{Dir}})}$$
, $I(\mathbb{Z}|_{\text{Dir}}) = -\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Tr} \left\{ H_{w}^{(6)} / \sqrt{H_{w}^{(6)^{2}}} \Big|_{\text{Dir}} \right\}$
Boundary = $\exp(i\pi\eta(\mathbb{Y}|_{\text{AP}})) \exp(-i\pi\eta(\mathbb{Y}|_{\text{AP}}))$ [H.Fukaya et. al.,2020]
Bulk =: $\exp(i\pi P(\mathbb{Z}|_{\text{APS}}^{c}))$

 \implies APS index theorem

 $I\left(\mathbb{Z}|_{\mathrm{Dir}}\right) = P\left(\mathbb{Z}|_{\mathrm{APS}}^{c}\right) + \eta\left(\mathbb{Y}_{1}|_{\mathrm{AP}}\right) - \eta\left(\mathbb{Y}_{0}|_{\mathrm{AP}}\right)$

The cohomological problem

• $P\left(\mathbb{Z}|_{APS}^{c}\right)$ can be expressed with the lattice topological field $q^{(6)}(z) := -\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr} \left\{ \left. \frac{H_{w}}{\sqrt{H_{w}^{2}}} \right|_{AP} \right\} (z, z)$: $P\left(\mathbb{Z}|_{APS}^{c}\right) = \lim_{N \to \infty} \sum_{y,s \in c} q^{(6)}(z)$

• (The cohomological problem) Assumption: $q^{(6)}$ can be expressed with the lattice Chern character and gauge invariant currents:

$$q^{(6)}(z) = \hat{c}_3(z) + \partial^*_\mu k_\mu(z)$$

Under the perturbative anomaly cancellation condition ĉ₃(z) = 0
 (i.e. Σ_R Tr_R [T^a {T^b, T^c}] = 0):

$$P\left(\mathbb{Z}|_{APS}^{c}\right) = \sum_{y} k_{s}(z)|_{\mathbb{Y}_{1}} - \sum_{y} k_{s}(z)|_{\mathbb{Y}_{1}}$$

Bordism invariance of the lattice η invariant

• Redefine the lattice η invariant:

$$\begin{split} \check{\eta}\left(\left.\mathbb{Y}_{1,0}\right|_{\mathrm{AP}}\right) &= \eta\left(\left.\mathbb{Y}_{1,0}\right|_{\mathrm{AP}}\right) + \sum_{y} k_{s}(y,s)\right|_{\mathbb{Y}_{1,0}} \\ \Rightarrow \quad e^{2\pi i \check{\eta}\left(\left.\mathbb{Y}_{1}\right|_{\mathrm{AP}}\right)} e^{-2\pi i \check{\eta}\left(\left.\mathbb{Y}_{0}\right|_{\mathrm{AP}}\right)} &= (-1)^{2I\left(\left.\mathbb{Z}\right|^{c}_{\mathsf{Dir}}\right)} = 1 \text{ (from APS index theorem)} \end{split}$$



Bordism Invariance

- d-dim manifolds Y and Y' are bordant if there exist a (d+1)-dim manifold Z such that
 ∂Z = Y₁ ⊔ Y₂
- An amount $\alpha(Y)$ is **bordism invariant** if $\alpha(Y_1) = \alpha(Y_2)$ for bordant Y_1 and Y_2

 $\Rightarrow e^{i2\pi\check{\eta}(\mathbb{Y}|_{AP})}$ is "bordism" invariant Able to evaluate $e^{i2\pi\check{\eta}(\mathbb{Y}|_{AP})}$ in arbitrary gauge configurations

Looking back on our discussion

- 1. **Problem** Gauge anomaly of 4-dim lattice chiral fermion **Solution** Anomaly inflow with 5-dim object $\exp(i2\pi\eta_{\rm DF}(\mathbb{Y}|_{\rm Dir}))$
- 2. Problem 5-dim dependency

Solution $\exp(i2\pi\eta_{\rm DF}(\mathbb{Y}|^{c_1}_{\rm Dir}))/\exp(i2\pi\eta_{\rm DF}(\mathbb{Y}|^{c_2}_{\rm Dir})) = \exp(i2\pi\eta(\mathbb{Y}|_{\rm AP}))$ \implies If $\exp(i2\pi\eta(\mathbb{Y}|_{\rm AP})) = 1$ for any gauge configurations, it doesn't depend on the bulk! (Integrability condition)

3. **Problem** Calculating $\exp(i2\pi\eta (\mathbb{Y}|_{AP}))$ for any gauge configurations **Solution** Redefining $\check{\eta} \cdots$ "bordism" invariant (\leftarrow Cohomological problem) \implies Only need to calculate it on representatives of "bordism" equivalent class

Summary: Construction of LCGTs

• We derived two conditions to construct LCGTs:

Condition-1 Triviality of $q^{(6)}(z)$ under the perturbative anomaly cancellation condition **Condition-2** $e^{i2\pi\check{\eta}(\mathbb{Y}|_{AP})} = 1$ for representative gauge configurations of "bordism" equivalent class following the admissibility condition on the 5-dim lattice space

- It is known that Condition-1 holds in U(1) and $SU(2) \times U(1)$ [M.Luscher, 1999] [YK and Y.Nakayama,2001] \longrightarrow We further confirmed that Condition-2 also holds in those cases
- We gave a proof of Condition-1 in generic non-Abelian gauge theories [JWP and YK, in preparation]

Thank you!

Bordism

- d-dim manifolds Y and Y' are **bordant** if there exist a (d+1)-dim manifold Z such that $\partial Z = Y_1 \sqcup Y_2$
- An amount $\alpha(Y)$ is **bordism invariant** if $\alpha(Y_1) = \alpha(Y_2)$ for bordant Y_1 and Y_2



$SU(2) \times U(1)$ chiral gauge theory

Consider a 5-dim lattice space $\mathbb{L}^5(\mathbb{L}^4_{\mathbb{P}} \times \mathbb{L}_{AP})$ Gauge DOF[ref?]

- 1. U(1) magnetic flux $m_{\mu\nu}$... Defined on $\mathbb{L}^2_{\mathbf{p}}$ among $\mathbb{L}^4_{\mathbf{p}}$
- 2. U(1) Wilson line W_{μ} Defined on $\mathbb{L}^4_{\mathrm{P}}$ and wraps along \mathbb{L}_{AP} direction
- 3. SU(2) Instanton ϕ ... Defined on either $\mathbb{L}^4_{\mathbf{P}}$ or $\mathbb{L}^3_{\mathbf{P}} \times \mathbb{L}_{A\mathbf{P}}$

Result: $SU(2) \times U(1)$ chiral gauge theory

U(1)	U(1)	SU(2)	
magnetic flux	winding	instanton	determinant phase
0	0	on $\mathbb{L}^4_{\mathrm{P}}$	$1 = 1^4$
0	0	on $\mathbb{L}^3_{\mathrm{P}} imes \mathbb{L}^1_{\mathrm{AP}}$	$1 = (-1)^4$
1	0	0	1
1	1	0	1
1	0	on $\mathbb{L}^4_{\mathrm{P}}$	1
1	0	on $\mathbb{L}^3_{\mathrm{P}} imes \mathbb{L}^1_{\mathrm{AP}}$	1
1	1	on $\mathbb{L}^4_{\mathrm{P}}$	1
1	1	on $\mathbb{L}^3_{\mathrm{P}} imes \mathbb{L}^1_{\mathrm{AP}}$	1

 \implies Condition-2 confirmed!

Proof of Condition-1

Setup: 6-dim, $\hat{c}_3 = 0$, $q(x) = \partial^*_{\mu} k_{\mu}(x)$

• Taking complete axial gauge, we define link variables with a product of some independent plaquette variables (from a reference point x_0)

$$\hat{P}\left(x,\mu,\nu;x^{(0)}\right) \sim \prod_{\tau} \prod_{y=x_0}^x \hat{U}(y,\tau)$$

• Introduce a parameter *s*:

$$\hat{P}_s\left(x,\mu,\nu;x^{(0)}\right) \sim \prod_{\tau} \prod_{y=x_0}^x \hat{U}^s(y,\tau) \quad , \quad q_s(x) = q(x)|_{\hat{U} \to \hat{U}_s}$$

• Taylor expansion of $q_s(x)$:

$$q_s(x) = \sum_{n=5}^{\infty} \frac{s^n}{n!} q_0^{(n)}(x)$$

- We can rewrite $q_0^{(j)}(x)$ with gauge-invariant currents form lower degree
- \implies We can show the triviality of the original field q(x) with those currents

Details will be discussed in our paper in prep.