Loop-string-hadron formulation of an SU(3) gauge theory with dynamical quarks

Saurabh Kadam¹

with

Jesse Stryker¹ and Indrakshi Raychowdhury²





1. University of Maryland, USA

2. BITS Pilani Goa Campus, India

Motivation

□ Loop-string-hadron (LSH) formulation in SU(2) : Features and advantages

□ LSH Formulation for SU(3) lattice gauge theory in 1+1 D

Conclusions and Outlook

Non-Abelian gauge theories

- ♦ Strong force \rightarrow Quantum chromodynamics (QCD) $SU_C(3)$
- **\therefore** Strongly coupled at low energies \rightarrow Requires nonperturbative calculations

Path Integral Formulation

- Lagrangian in a discretized space-time
- Wick rotate to Euclidean spacetime
- Monte-Carlo sampling of the functional integral

$$\langle \hat{\mathcal{O}} \rangle = \frac{1}{Z_0} \int \mathcal{D}[\bar{\psi}, \psi] \mathcal{D}[A] \ e^{-\int d^4 x_E \mathcal{L}_E} \mathcal{O}$$

- 1. Very successful
- 2. Sign problem
- 3. Dynamic quantities

Hamiltonian Formulation

Hamiltonian in a discretized space and continuous time

$$\langle \hat{\mathcal{O}(t)} \rangle = \langle 0 | e^{iHt} \hat{\mathcal{O}}(0) e^{-iHt} | 0 \rangle$$

- I. No sign problem
- 2. Both static and dynamic quantities
- 3. Hilbert space scales exponentially with the system size

Quantum simulation and Quantum computation

Hamiltonian formulation of non-Abelian lattice gauge theories

Example: SU(2) gauge theory in 1+1 D with dynamical matter field



Hamiltonian formulation of non-Abelian lattice gauge theories

Example: SU(2) gauge theory in 1+1 D with dynamical matter field



 \blacktriangleright Hilbert space of link operator \leftrightarrow Quantum spherical rigid rotor in body and space frame

Equivalent of generators of rotations in body and space frame $\psi(x) \quad U(x) \quad \psi(x+1) \qquad \qquad H_E = \frac{g^2 a}{2} \sum_x E_L(x)^2 \qquad$

Hamiltonian formulation of non-Abelian lattice gauge theories

Example: SU(2) gauge theory in 1+1 D with dynamical matter field

$$\psi(x) \qquad U(x) \qquad \psi(x+1)$$

$$\vdots$$

$$\vec{E}_R(x-1) \qquad \vec{E}_L(x) \qquad \vec{E}_R(x) \qquad \vec{E}_L(x+1)$$

➢ Gauss's law operator: Full generator of local gauge transformations

 ${\mathcal X}$

$$G^{a}(x) = -E^{a}_{L}(x) + E^{a}_{R}(x-1) + \frac{1}{2}\psi^{\dagger}(x)\sigma^{a}\psi(x) \qquad \text{Pauli matrices} \qquad a = 1, 2, 3$$

x+1

Physical Hilbert space: Gauge invariant states

 $G^{a}(x)|\text{Phys}
angle = 0$ Non-commutative Gauss' law constraints

- Construct the Hilbert space of physical states for simulation:
 - * Different formulations for mapping the vacuum and excited field states to a state basis
 - Truncation of the Hilbert space depending on its formulation
 - Different (dis)advantages of different formulations

Comparison with other formulations

Davoudi, Raychowdhury, and Shaw Phys. Rev. D 104, 074505



Loop-string-hadron formulation*

* Raychowdhury and Stryker Phys. Rev. D 101, 114502

- ✤ DOF: Local gauge singlets namely loops, strings, and hadrons
- Generalizable for higher dimensions*
- * Hamiltonian is made from operators that act directly on local basis states
- ✤ Abelian Gauss' law

Digital Quantum Simulation: Thursday 11:50 AM in Algorithms

Analog Quantum Simulation: Dasgupta and Raychowdhury Phys. Rev. A 105, 023322

A step towards quantum simulation of QCD

Kogut-Susskind Hamiltonian for SU(3)



▶ Physical Hilbert space is given by states that satisfy: $G^a(x)|Phys\rangle = 0$, $\forall a$

Non-commutative Gauss' law constraints

A step towards quantum simulation of QCD





Chaturvedi and Mukunda J. Math. Phys. 43, 5262 (2002) Anishetty, Mathur, and Raychowdhury J.Math.Phys. 50 (2009) 053503 Anishetty, Mathur, and Raychowdhury J.Phys.A 43 (2010) 035403

Anishetty, Mathur, and Raychowdhury J.Math.Phys. 51 (2010) 093504

A step towards quantum simulation of QCD



Construct LSH basis



- Only a subset of them is required to reconstruct the Hamiltonian
- Basis is constructed from all possible gauge invariant combinations of creation operators

 $A^{\dagger}(i) \cdot B^{\dagger}(o)$ Bosonic: $B^{\dagger}(i) \cdot A^{\dagger}(o)$ $\psi^{\dagger} \cdot B^{\dagger}(i)$ Fermionic + Bosonic : $\psi^{\dagger} \cdot B^{\dagger}(o)$ $\psi^{\dagger} \cdot \left(A^{\dagger}(i) \wedge A^{\dagger}(o) \right)$ $\psi^{\dagger} \cdot \left(\psi^{\dagger} \wedge A^{\dagger}(i)\right)$ $\psi^{\dagger} \cdot \left(\psi^{\dagger} \wedge B^{\dagger}(o)\right)$ $\psi^{\dagger} \cdot \left(\psi^{\dagger} \wedge \psi^{\dagger}
ight)$ Fermionic:

Quantum numbers and the state basis



• Local singlets using

$$\delta^{\alpha}_{\beta} \equiv \cdot \text{ or } \qquad \epsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma} \equiv \wedge$$

- Only a subset of them is required to reconstruct the Hamiltonian
- Basis is constructed from all possible gauge invariant combinations of creation operators



Abelian Gauss' laws and non-locality

	Number opera	ators
$\hat{P}_i(x)$	$) = \hat{n}_P(x) + \hat{\nu}_m(x)$	$z)\left[1-\hat{\nu}_o(x)\right]$
$\hat{P}_o(x)$	$) = \hat{n}_P(x) + \hat{\nu}_o(x)$	$\left(1 - \hat{\nu}_m(x)\right)$
$\hat{Q}_i(x)$	$\hat{r}) = \hat{n}_Q(x) + \hat{\nu}_i(x)$	$\left(1-\hat{\nu}_{m}(x)\right)$
$\hat{Q}_o(x)$	$\hat{r}) = \hat{n}_Q(x) + \hat{\nu}_m(x)$	$x)\left[1-\hat{\nu}_i(x)\right]$
	Abalian Causa	, 1 ₀₁₁₁₀
	Abelian Gauss	laws

Abelian Gauss' laws $\hat{P}_o(x) = \hat{Q}_i(x+1) = \hat{P}(x)$ $\hat{Q}_o(x) = \hat{P}_i(x+1) = \hat{Q}(x)$

Non-locality is only through these Abelian Gauss' laws

 $|n_P, n_Q, \nu_i, \nu_m, \nu_o \rangle \propto \left[A^{\dagger}(i) \cdot B^{\dagger}(o) \right]^{n_P} \left[B^{\dagger}(i) \cdot A^{\dagger}(o) \right]^{n_Q} |0, 0, \nu_i, \nu_m, \nu_o \rangle$



A step towards quantum simulation of QCD



LSH Hamiltonian

Fermion-like number operators

$$\hat{\nu}_i(x), \, \hat{\nu}_m(x), \, \hat{\nu}_o(x)$$

$$H_M = m_0 \sum_{x} (-1)^x \left[\hat{\nu}_i(x) + \hat{\nu}_m(x) + \hat{\nu}_o(x) \right]$$

Fermion-like and loop number
operators

$$\hat{P}_o(x) = \hat{n}_P(x) + \hat{\nu}_o(x) \left[1 - \hat{\nu}_m(x)\right]$$

$$\hat{Q}_o(x) = \hat{n}_Q(x) + \hat{\nu}_m(x) \left[1 - \hat{\nu}_i(x)\right]$$

$$\hat{P}_o(x) = \hat{Q}_i(x+1) = \hat{P}(x)$$

$$\hat{Q}_o(x) = \hat{P}_i(x+1) = \hat{Q}(x)$$

$$H_E = \frac{g^2 a}{2} \sum_x \frac{1}{3} \left[\hat{P}(x)^2 + \hat{Q}(x)^2 + \hat{P}(x)\hat{Q}(x) \right] + \hat{P}(x) + \hat{Q}(x)$$

Ladder operators

$$\hat{\chi}_o, \ \hat{\chi}_o^\dagger \rightarrow \ \hat{\nu}_o$$
 quantum number

$$\hat{\Lambda}_{P}^{-}, \hat{\Lambda}_{P}^{+} \rightarrow P$$
 Total gauge flux
quantum number

$$H_{I} = \frac{1}{2a} \sum_{x=0} \left\{ \hat{\chi}_{o}^{\dagger}(\hat{\Lambda}_{P}^{\dagger})^{\hat{\nu}_{m}} \sqrt{1 - \frac{\hat{\nu}_{m}}{\hat{n}_{P} + 2}} \sqrt{1 - \frac{\hat{\nu}_{i}}{\hat{n}_{P} + \hat{n}_{Q} + 3}} \right\}_{x}$$
$$\times \left\{ \sqrt{1 + \frac{\hat{\nu}_{m}}{\hat{n}_{P} + 1}} \sqrt{1 + \frac{\hat{\nu}_{i}}{\hat{n}_{P} + \hat{n}_{Q} + 2}} \hat{\chi}_{o}(\hat{\Lambda}_{P}^{\dagger})^{1 - \hat{\nu}_{m}}} \right\}_{x+1} + \text{h.c.} + \cdots$$

Numerical benchmark: Purely fermionic formulation

17

Conclusions

**Preliminary Results -Kadam, Raychowdhury,, and Stryker



Appendix Slides

Numerical Benchmark

Con	nparing with purely fermionic formulation			
	In 1+1 D with open boundary condition: No dynamical gauge dof			
	Gauge field can be removed by fixing the gauge as:			
	$\psi(x) \to \psi'(x) = \left[\prod_{r < x} U(r)\right] \psi(x)$ implies $U(x) \to U'(x) = 1$			
	Electric field can be rewritten using Gauss' law:			
	$G^{a}(x) \text{Phys}\rangle = 0$ and $G^{a}(x) = -E^{a}_{L}(x) + E^{a}_{R}(x-1) + \frac{1}{2}\psi^{\dagger}(x)\lambda^{a}\psi(x)$			
	implies $E_L^a(x) = \epsilon^a + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{r=0}^{x-1} \psi^{\dagger}(r) \lambda^a \psi(r)$			
\triangleright	Hamiltonian is reformulated in only fermionic dofs			

Hilbert space is spanned by fermionic occupation number basis

> TABLE I. Eigenvalues for N = 2 with $\mu a = 1$ are shown in this table. The eigenvalues are categorized according to according to P_{out} , Q_{out} , and Q quantum numbers of their corresponding eigenstates. $d_{(P_{out},Q_{out})}$ is the dimension of the (P_{out},Q_{out}) irreducible representation of the outgoing chromo-electric flux given by Eq. 82 which is also the multiplicity of degenerate eigenvalues in the purely fermionic formulation.

\overline{Q}	(P_{out}, Q_{out})	$d(P_{out}, Q_{out})$	Eigenvalue
0	(0, 0)	1	0.000
1	(1, 0)	3	-0.387
1			1.721
	(0, 1)	3	-1.535
2			0.868
			3.333
2	(2, 0)	6	1.333
		1	-3.858
2	(0, 0)		-0.497
5	(0, 0)		2.137
			4.884
3	$(1 \ 1)$	8	-0.081
Э	(1, 1)		2.747
			-2.562
4 (1,	(1, 0)	3	1.089
			4.140
4	(0, 2)	6	1.333
5	(0, 1)	2	-1.277
J	(0, 1)	5	2.610
6	(0, 0)	1	0.000

20



FIG. 6. The ratio of the dimension of the Hilbert space in the fully fermionic formulation with OBC, $d^{(F)}$, to the dimension of the physical Hilbert space withing the LSH formulation, $d^{(LSH)}$ is plotted for a range of lattice size values, N. Blue triangles denote the numerical values, and the red line indicates the emperical fit.

One-quark operators		Purely bosonic operators	
$\psi^{\dagger} \cdot B(0)^{\dagger} \equiv \widehat{\bigcirc}$	$\psi^{\dagger} \cdot B(i) \wedge A(i)^{\dagger} \equiv $	$A(i)^{\dagger} \cdot B(o)^{\dagger} \equiv \underline{\qquad}$	$A(i) \cdot B(o) \equiv \dots$
$\psi \cdot B(o) \equiv \widehat{(o)}$	$\psi \cdot A(i) \wedge B(i)^{\dagger} \equiv \widehat{\mathfrak{m}}$	$B(i)^{\dagger} \cdot A(o)^{\dagger} \equiv \underline{\qquad}$	$B(i) \cdot A(o) \equiv \dots$
$\psi^{\dagger} \cdot B(i)^{\dagger} \equiv\widehat{(i)}$	$\psi^{\dagger} \cdot B(o) \wedge A(o)^{\dagger} \equiv \widehat{\textcircled{m}}$	$A(i)^{\dagger} \cdot A(o) \equiv -$	$A(i) \cdot A(o)^{\dagger} \equiv \dots$
$\psi \cdot B(i) \equiv\widehat{(i)}$	$\psi \cdot A(o) \wedge B(o)^{\dagger} \equiv \widehat{\mathfrak{M}}$	Two-quark o	operators
$\psi^{\dagger} \cdot A(o) \equiv \widehat{(i)}$	$\psi^{\dagger} \cdot A(i)^{\dagger} \wedge A(o)^{\dagger} \equiv -\widehat{\textcircled{m}}_{-}$	$\psi' \cdot \psi' \wedge A(o)' \equiv \textcircled{o}$	$\psi \cdot \psi \wedge A(o) \equiv \widetilde{\mathfrak{m}}(\widetilde{o}) -$
$\psi \cdot A(o)^{\dagger} \equiv \widehat{(i)}$	$\psi \cdot A(i) \wedge A(o) \equiv -i\widehat{\widehat{m}}$	$\psi^{\dagger} \cdot \psi^{\dagger} \wedge A(i)^{\dagger} \equiv - \textcircled{0}$	$\psi \cdot \psi \wedge A(i) \equiv -\overline{i} \widetilde{i} \widetilde{m} $
$\psi^{\dagger} \cdot A(i) \equiv \widehat{O}$		Three-quark operators	
$\psi \cdot A(i)^{\dagger} \equiv - \widehat{\widehat{o}}$		$\psi^{\dagger} \cdot \psi^{\dagger} \wedge \psi^{\dagger} \equiv \widehat{} \widehat{} \widehat{} \widehat{} \widehat{} \widehat{} $	$\psi \cdot \psi \wedge \psi \equiv \widehat{(i)} \widehat{\widehat{(i)}} \widehat{\widehat{(i)}} $

FIG. 3.