A (2+1)-flavor lattice study of the pion quasiparticle in the thermal hadronic phase at physical quark masses

Ardit Krasniqi

Marco Cè, Tim Harris, Harvey B. Meyer, Csaba Török

PRISMA⁺ Cluster of Excellence & Institut für Kernphysik, JGU, Mainz

arkrasni@uni-mainz.de

12th August 2022

Introduction/Preliminaries

Results

- Modified dispersion relation
- Dey-Eletsky-loffe mixing theorem at finite quark mass
- Quark number susceptibility (QNS)

Conclusion

2 / 20

Introduction

- in the early universe weakly coupled quarks and gluons have been in a hot and dense phase (QGP) \rightarrow no individual color charges
- heavy-ion collisions offer possibility to study QCD matter under these conditions
- expansion \rightarrow universe cooled down \rightarrow phase transition
- how do zero temperature excitations get modified with increasing T? → HRG Model in hadronic phase
- extend studies [Brandt et al. PRD '14, Brandt et al. PRD '15] to the (2+1)-flavor case on an ensemble with quasi-physical quark masses

Table 1: Parameters and lattice spacing of the ensemble analyzed in this work.The lattice spacing determination is from Ref. [Bruno et al. PRD '17].

β/a	L/a	$6/g_0^2$	κı	κ_{s}	<i>a</i> [fm]
24	96	3.55	0.137232867	0.136536633	0.06426(76)

Ø(a) improved Wilson Fermions
single gauge ensemble at quasi-physical quark masses $T = \frac{1}{\beta} = \frac{1}{24a} = (127.9 \pm 1.5) \, \text{MeV}$ $T = 0: \quad m_{\pi} = (128.1 \pm 1.3 \pm 1.5) \, \text{MeV} ,$ $m_{K} = (488.98 \pm 0.3 \pm 5.8) \, \text{MeV} \, [\text{Ce et al. '22, 2206.06582]}$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ 三 のQの

Preliminaries

$$P^{a}(x) = \bar{\psi}(x)\frac{\tau^{a}}{2}\psi(x), \quad V^{a}_{\mu}(x) = \bar{\psi}(x)\gamma_{\mu}\frac{\tau^{a}}{2}\psi(x), \quad A^{a}_{\mu}(x) = \bar{\psi}(x)\gamma_{\mu}\gamma_{5}\frac{\tau^{a}}{2}\psi(x)$$

PCAC relation:
$$\partial_{\mu}A^{a}_{\mu}(x) = 2m_{PCAC}P^{a}(x)$$

$$\hookrightarrow$$
 implies $G_P^s(x_3, T, \mathbf{p} = 0) = -\frac{1}{4m_{PCAC}^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_3^2} G_A^s(x_3, T, \mathbf{p} = 0)$ (*)

static screening correlator

$$G^{s}_{A}(x_{3}, T, \mathbf{p} = 0) = \int \mathrm{d}x_{0} \mathrm{d}^{2}x_{\perp} \langle A^{a, \mathrm{imp}}_{3}(x) A^{a, \mathrm{imp}}_{3}(0) \rangle \stackrel{|x_{3}| \to \infty}{=} \frac{f^{2}_{\pi} m_{\pi}}{2} e^{-m_{\pi}|x_{3}|}$$

12th August 2022

2

5/20

イロト イボト イヨト イヨト

Results: Extracting m_{π} and f_{π}

Fit ansatz for screening correlators

making use of the PCAC-based relation (*) one formulates:

$$G_A^s(x_3, T, \mathbf{p} = 0) = \frac{A_1^2 m_1}{2} \cosh[(m_1(x_3 - L/2)],$$

$$G_P^s(x_3, T, \mathbf{p} = 0) = -\frac{A_1^2 m_1^3}{8m_{\mathsf{PCAC}}^2} \cosh[(m_1(x_3 - L/2))]$$



Ardit Krasniqi (JGU)

Lattice 2022

12th August 2022

6/20

Definition: cosh-mass

$$\frac{G_P(x_3, T, \mathbf{p} = 0)}{G_P(x_3 + a, T, \mathbf{p} = 0)} = \frac{\cosh[m_{\cosh}(x_3 + a/2) * (x_3 - L/2)]}{\cosh[m_{\cosh}(x_3 + a/2) * (x_3 + a - L/2)]}$$



modified dispersion relation [Son et al., PRL, 2001, Son et al., PRD, 2002]

$$\omega_{\mathbf{p}} = u(T) \sqrt{m_{\pi}^2 + \mathbf{p}^2}$$
, for any $T \lesssim T_C$

Son and Stephanov showed that *u* is the ratio of static quant.:

$$u^2 = rac{f_{\pi}^2}{\int_0^{eta} \mathrm{d} x_0 \, G_A(x_0, \mathbf{p} = 0)} \qquad (m_q = 0)$$

assuming that the pion dominates the Eucl. 2-pt. func. of A_0 and P:

$$\omega_{\mathbf{0}}^{2} = \left. \frac{\partial_{0}^{2} G_{A}(x_{0}, T, \mathbf{p} = 0)}{G_{A}(x_{0}, T, \mathbf{p} = 0)} \right|_{x_{0} = \beta/2} = -4m_{\mathsf{PCAC}}^{2} \left. \frac{G_{P}(x_{0}, T, \mathbf{p} = 0)}{G_{A}(x_{0}, T, \mathbf{p} = 0)} \right|_{x_{0} = \beta/2} \Rightarrow$$

Estimators for the pion velocity [Brandt et al., PRD, '14, Brandt et al., PRD, '15]

$$u_{m} = \left[-\frac{4m_{q}^{2}}{m_{\pi}^{2}} \frac{G_{P}(x_{0}, T, \mathbf{p} = 0)}{G_{A}(x_{0}, T, \mathbf{p} = 0)} \bigg|_{x_{0} = \beta/2} \right]^{1/2},$$
$$u_{f} = \frac{f_{\pi}^{2}m_{\pi}}{2G_{A}(\beta/2, T, \mathbf{p} = 0)\sinh(u_{f}m_{\pi}\beta/2)}$$

Ardit Krasniqi (JGU)

12th August 2022

Results: Screening quantities

Table 2: Summary of the results of the E250 thermal ensemble with $N_{\tau} = 24$. The pion quasiparticle mass ω_0 is calculated using $\omega_0 = u_m m_{\pi}$. Analogously: $f_{\pi}^t = f_{\pi}/u_m$.

$m_{\pi} _{T=128 \text{MeV}}$	144(3) MeV
$\omega_0 _{T=128 \text{ MeV}}$	113(3) MeV
$f_{\pi} _{T=128 \text{ MeV}}$	72(2) MeV
$f_{\pi}^{t} _{T=128 \text{ MeV}}$	91(2) MeV
Uf	0.787(15)
U _m	0.786(18)
u _f /u _m	1.001(27)
$m_{\pi} _{T=0 \text{ MeV}}$	128(1) MeV
$f_{\pi} _{T=0 \text{ MeV}}$	87(1) MeV

Dey-Eletsky-loffe mixing theorem at finite quark mass

- in chir. limit in hadr. phase: heat bath dominated by massless pions
- taking only the contr. of the two lowest states into acc., to $O(T^2)$ [Dey et al., Phys. Lett. B, '90, Eletsky et al., PRD, '93]:

$$\rho_V(\omega, \mathbf{p}, T) = (1 - \epsilon)\rho_V(\omega, \mathbf{p}, T = 0) + \epsilon\rho_A(\omega, \mathbf{p}, T = 0), \ \epsilon \equiv \frac{T^2}{6F_\pi^2}$$
$$\rho_A(\omega, \mathbf{p}, T) = (1 - \epsilon)\rho_A(\omega, \mathbf{p}, T = 0) + \epsilon\rho_V(\omega, \mathbf{p}, T = 0)$$

 $\Rightarrow \rho_V(\omega, \mathbf{p}, T) - \rho_A(\omega, \mathbf{p}, T) = (1 - 2\epsilon) \left[\rho_V(\omega, \mathbf{p}, 0) - \rho_A(\omega, \mathbf{p}, 0) \right]$ $\Rightarrow \text{ order parameter for chiral symmetry restoration}$

Dey-Eletsky-loffe mixing theorem at finite quark mass

 \Rightarrow analyze

$$\begin{split} G_V(x_0,\,T,\,\mathbf{p}=0) &- \,G_A(x_0,\,T,\,\mathbf{p}=0) \equiv \\ &- \frac{1}{3} \int \mathrm{d}^3 x \, \sum_{i=1}^3 \left[\langle V_i^a(x) V_i^a(0) \rangle - \langle A_i^a(x) A_i^a(0) \rangle \right] \end{split}$$

even at non-vanishing quark mass

reduction by a factor of $(1 - 2\epsilon)$ compared to $G_V^{\text{rec}} - G_A^{\text{rec}}$

$$G_J^{\text{rec}}(x_0, T, \mathbf{p}) = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} G_J(|x_0 + m\beta|, 0, \mathbf{p}) \qquad (J \in \{V, A\})$$

Dey-Eletsky-loffe mixing theorem at finite quark mass



Quark number susceptibility (QNS)

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{x}_{q}(T) &= \frac{\partial \rho_{q}}{\partial \mu_{q}} \Big|_{\mu_{q}=0} \end{aligned}$$

$$\mathbf{I} \text{ on the lattice: } \chi_{q}(T) &= \beta \int \mathrm{d}^{3} x \left\langle V_{0}(0, \mathbf{x}) \ V_{0}(0, \mathbf{0}) \right\rangle \end{aligned}$$

$$\mathbf{I} \text{ comparison with HRG model: } \chi_{q}(T) &= (\chi_{q})_{\mathrm{mesons}} + (\chi_{q})_{\mathrm{baryons}} \\ \frac{(\chi_{q})_{\mathrm{mesons}}}{T^{2}} &= \frac{2\beta^{3}}{3} \sum_{\mathrm{multiplets}} (2J+1)I(I+1)(2I+1) \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^{3}\mathbf{p}}{(2\pi)^{3}} f_{\mathbf{p}}^{B}(1+f_{\mathbf{p}}^{B}), \\ \frac{(\chi_{q})_{\mathrm{baryons}}}{T^{2}} &= \frac{2\beta^{3}}{3} \sum_{\mathrm{multiplets}} (2J+1)I(I+1)(2I+1) \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^{3}\mathbf{p}}{(2\pi)^{3}} f_{\mathbf{p}}^{F}(1-f_{\mathbf{p}}^{F}), \end{aligned}$$

Alternative: include only pions, but with mod. disp. rel. up to $\Lambda_p = 400 \text{ MeV}$

$$\frac{\chi_q}{T^2} = 4\beta^3 \int_{|\mathbf{p}| < \Lambda_p} \frac{\mathrm{d}^3 \mathbf{p}}{(2\pi)^3} f_{\mathbf{p}}^B(\omega_{\mathbf{p}}) (1 + f_{\mathbf{p}}^B(\omega_{\mathbf{p}}))$$

13/20



Conclusion

pion velocity $u \approx 0.79$

$$T = 0:$$
 pion mass = 128(1) MeV

$$T = 128 \text{ MeV}:$$

$$\underbrace{\omega_0}_{quasip.mass} = 113(3) \text{ MeV}$$

$$\underbrace{m_{\pi}}_{scr.mass} = 144(3) \text{ MeV}$$

chiral symmetry restoration already at an advanced stage:
 V-A correlator difference consistent with an overall 0.68(3) damping of the vacuum V-A spectral function

pion contribution dominates quark number susceptibility

Ardit	Krasniqi	(JGU)

15 / 20

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 >

Thank You for Your Attention!

э

16 / 20

A D N A B N A B N A B N

Definition: PCAC mass [Luscher et al., Nucl. Phys. B, '97]

$$m_{\mathsf{PCAC}}(x_3) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{Z_A(g_0^2)}{Z_P(g_0^2)} \frac{\int \mathrm{d}x_0 \mathrm{d}^2 x_\perp \langle \partial_3^{\mathsf{imp}} A_3^{\mathsf{a},\mathsf{imp}}(x) P^{\mathsf{a}}(0) \rangle}{\int \mathrm{d}x_0 \mathrm{d}^2 x_\perp \langle P^{\mathsf{b}}(x) P^{\mathsf{b}}(0) \rangle} \quad x_\perp = (x_1, x_2) \,,$$
$$A^{\mathsf{a}}_{\mu}(x) \longrightarrow A^{\mathsf{a},\mathsf{imp}}_{\mu}(x) = A^{\mathsf{a}}_{\mu}(x) + \mathsf{ac}_A(g_0^2) \partial_{\mu}^{\mathsf{imp}} P^{\mathsf{a}}(x)$$



Ardit Krasniqi (JGU)

Lattice 2022

12th August 2022 17 / 20

Back Up Slides: Predicted $A0_t$ vs lattice estimate



Ardit Krasniqi (JGU)

Lattice 2022

12th August 2022

18 / 20

Back Up Slides: Error estimation pion screening mass [Bouma et al., PoS, LATTICE2021]



Ardit Krasniqi (JGU)

12th August 2022

Back Up Slides: Chiral effective theory Lagrangian of Son and Stephanov

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{eff}} = rac{f_t^2}{4} \langle
abla_0 \Sigma
abla_0 \Sigma^{\dagger}
angle - rac{f_\pi^2}{4} \langle \partial_i \Sigma \partial_i \Sigma^{\dagger}
angle + rac{m_\pi^2 f_\pi^2}{2} \mathrm{Re} \langle \Sigma
angle$$

\Sigma denotes an SU(2) matrix

$$\nabla_0 \Sigma = \partial_0 \Sigma - \frac{i}{2} \mu_{I5} (\tau_3 \Sigma + \Sigma \tau_3)$$
: covariant derivative

 μ_{I5} : axial isospin chemical potential

Lorentz invar. broken \Rightarrow two indep. decay const. related through u

イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト