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Motivation

Supersymmetry as an extension to the standard model


## Physics Motivation

## Dynamical symmetry breaking

Unbroken symmetry


Spontaneously broken symmetry


Holographic duality


## Practical Motivation



NISQ devices


## Introduction

## Quantum computing

- 0
- 1
Classical Bit


Qubit

- Map d.o.f of bosons/fermions to qubit d.o.f.
- Choose quantum computation
- VQE - variational method to solve for lowest lying eigenvalues
- Time evolution - study dynamics of Hamiltonian


## Mapping degrees of freedom

- Bosonic
- Harmonic oscillator basis with cutoff $\Lambda$ excitations
- $n$ excitation to binary string of length $N^{q},|n\rangle \rightarrow\left|\sum_{i}^{N^{q}-1} a_{i} 2^{i}\right\rangle$


## Matrix Elements

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
|0\rangle\langle 1|=(X+i Y) / 2, & \\
|1\rangle\langle 0|=(X+i Y) / 2, \\
|0\rangle\langle 0|=(1+Z) / 2, & \\
|1\rangle\langle 1|=(1-Z) / 2
\end{array}
$$

- Fermionic

Jordan-Wigner Transformation
$\hat{b}^{\dagger}=\frac{1}{2}(X-i Y), \hat{b}=\frac{1}{2}(X+i Y)$

## Supersymmetry Facts

## Hamiltonian

$H=Q^{2}$

- $E \geq 0$
- Supersymmetry conserved if $\langle\Omega| H|\Omega\rangle=0 \quad$ [Witten, Nucl. Phys. B 188,513 (1981)]
- Non-zero $E$ states appear in pairs
- Lagrangian formalism

Path integral
$\langle\mathcal{O}\rangle=\frac{1}{Z} \int \mathrm{~d} \hat{q} \mathrm{~d} \hat{b} \mathrm{~d} \hat{b}^{\dagger} \mathcal{O} e^{i S\left(\hat{q}, \hat{b}, \hat{b}^{\dagger}\right)}$

- Condition for symmetry breaking

Witten index
$\mathcal{W}=\operatorname{Tr}\left[(-1)^{F} e^{-i H t}\right]=0$
$0+1 D$ supersymmetric quantum mechanics

## $0+1$ dimensional quantum mechanics

## Hamiltonian

$$
H=\frac{1}{2}\left(\hat{p}^{2}+\left[W^{\prime}(\hat{q})\right]^{2}-W^{\prime \prime}(\hat{q})\left[\hat{b}^{\dagger}, \hat{b}\right]\right)
$$

- Superpotentials $W$ studied with complex Langevin [Joseph-Kumar, arxiv:2011.08107]:
- HO: $\frac{1}{2} m \hat{q}^{2} \quad$ preserves supersymmetry
- AHO: $\frac{1}{2} m \hat{q}^{2}+\frac{1}{4} g \hat{q}^{4} \quad$ preserves supersymmetry
- DW: $\frac{1}{2} m \hat{q}^{2}+g\left(\frac{1}{3} \hat{q}^{3}+\hat{q} \mu^{2}\right) \quad$ breaks supersymmetry
- For HO with $\Lambda=2, H=1.5 I^{0} I^{1}+I^{0} Z^{1}-0.5 Z^{0} I^{1}$


## Supersymmetric anharmonic oscillator spectrum



## Supersymmetric anharmonic oscillator spectrum



$$
m=1, g=1
$$

## Double well spectrum



| $\Lambda$ | Exact | VQE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | $9.38 \mathrm{e}-01$ | $9.38 \mathrm{e}-01$ |
| 4 | $1.27 \mathrm{e}-01$ | $1.27 \mathrm{e}-01$ |
| 8 | $2.93 \mathrm{e}-02$ | $2.93 \mathrm{e}-02$ |
| 16 | $1.83 \mathrm{e}-03$ | $6.02 \mathrm{e}-02$ |
| 32 | $1.83 \mathrm{e}-05$ | $6.63 \mathrm{e}-01$ |

(a) Anharmonic oscillator.

| $\Lambda$ | Exact | VQE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | $1.08 \mathrm{e}+00$ | $1.08 \mathrm{e}+00$ |
| 4 | $9.15 \mathrm{e}-01$ | $9.15 \mathrm{e}-01$ |
| 8 | $8.93 \mathrm{e}-01$ | $8.93 \mathrm{e}-01$ |
| 16 | $8.92 \mathrm{e}-01$ | $8.94 \mathrm{e}-01$ |
| 32 | $8.92 \mathrm{e}-01$ | $8.95 \mathrm{e}-01$ |

(b) Double well.

| $\Lambda$ | Exact | VQE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | $1.08 \mathrm{e}+00$ | $1.08 \mathrm{e}+00$ |
| 4 | $9.15 \mathrm{e}-01$ | $9.15 \mathrm{e}-01$ |
| 8 | $8.93 \mathrm{e}-01$ | $8.93 \mathrm{e}-01$ |
| 16 | $8.92 \mathrm{e}-01$ | $8.94 \mathrm{e}-01$ |
| 32 | $8.92 \mathrm{e}-01$ | $8.95 \mathrm{e}-01$ |

(b) Double well.
(a) Anharmonic oscillator.

- Similar problems to (a) in BMN matrix model [Rinaldi et al, PRX Quantum 3 (2022)]


## Gate costs for $0+1$


$1+1 D$ Wess-Zumino model

## $1+1 \mathrm{D}$ Wess-Zumino model

## Lattice Hamiltonian

$$
\begin{align*}
H=\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} & {\left[\frac{p_{n}^{2}}{2 a}+\frac{a}{2}\left(\frac{\phi_{n+1}-\phi_{n-1}}{2 a}\right)^{2}+\frac{a}{2} V\left(\phi_{n}\right)^{2}+a V\left(\phi_{n}\right) \frac{\phi_{n+1}-\phi_{n-1}}{2 a}\right.} \\
& \left.+(-1)^{n} V^{\prime}\left(\phi_{n}\right)\left(\chi_{n}^{\dagger} \chi_{n}-\frac{1}{2}\right)+\frac{1}{2 a}\left(\chi_{n}^{\dagger} \chi_{n+1}+\chi_{n+1}^{\dagger} \chi_{n}\right)\right] \tag{1}
\end{align*}
$$

- Potentials
- Linear: $m \phi$

$$
\operatorname{dim}(H)=\Lambda^{N} \times 2^{N}
$$

- Quadratic: $c+\phi^{2}$ [Beccaria, Campostrini, Feo, hep-lat/0109005 (2001)]
- Cubic: $\frac{1}{3} g \phi^{3}-\frac{m^{2}}{4 g} \phi \quad$ [Steinhauer, Wenger, PRL 113, 231601 (2014)]


## 3 site linear potential



$$
m=1
$$

4 site linear potential


$$
m=1
$$

3 site quadratic potential


Conclusion

## Conclusion

Results

- $0+1 D$
- Classical diagonalization works as expected
- VQE struggles with AHO
- Trotter step gate counts appropriate for NISQ
- $1+1 D$
- Classical preparation is severly limited
- Bosonic cutoff introduces more error?
- Energies trending in the correct direction

Ongoing

- Cubic potential for WZ
- Quantum approach to WZ
- Optimizing the quantum approach


## $0+1$ harmonic oscillator spectrum



$$
m=1.0
$$

## $0+1$ harmonic oscillator spectrum

| $\Lambda$ | Exact | VQE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | $0.00 \mathrm{e}+00$ | $5.34 \mathrm{e}-10$ |
| 4 | $0.00 \mathrm{e}+00$ | $1.07 \mathrm{e}-09$ |
| 8 | $0.00 \mathrm{e}+00$ | $4.06 \mathrm{e}-09$ |
| 16 | $0.00 \mathrm{e}+00$ | $1.13 \mathrm{e}-08$ |
| 32 | $0.00 \mathrm{e}+00$ | $4.81 \mathrm{e}-08$ |

(a) Harmonic oscillator.

